Review 1

This manuscript presents the results of a very interesting experimental study on the permeability of a ductile shear zone with a brittle overprint. These data will be very useful for geothermal exploration. The paper is well written and well organised. I recommend publication in Solid Earth after the following minor comments have been suitably considered.

1. Page 2, line 23: Are the authors just talking about boreholes that have intersected fossil ductile shear zones? If not, there are plenty of boreholes that target faults in crystalline rock in, for example, the Upper Rhine Graben. If of use, the drilling is summarised in the following recent paper:


Author response: yes the focus was meant to be ductile, we changed to read “fossil ductile shear zones” and included the following reference:

“Drilling into fractured crystalline rock for geothermal exploitation has been ongoing since the 1970s [Vidal and Genter, 2018].”

2. Page 2, lines 25-26 and Page 16, line 4: Rocks from a borehole are, of course, shielded from weathering. However, rocks collected at the surface for laboratory studies typically show little to no evidence of the hydrothermal alteration that often characterises rocks sampled at depth. Perhaps ductile shear zones are less altered, but brittle fault zones are often riddled with hydrothermal alteration. If the authors agree, perhaps a subtle change in wording is in order?

Author response: added: “However, precaution should be taken when assessing the extent and timing of hydrothermal alteration associated with faults at depth.”

3. Page 2, line 30: There are a couple of recently published papers that discuss geothermal energy exploitation in the ductile crust. Perhaps these could be cited here?


Author response: We agree and have now cited these publications.

4. Figure 1: Is Figure 1d a photograph or a scan? Some clarification would help.
5. Page 5, lines 4-5: Is this because short samples cannot be measured in the apparatus used, or because there are problems associated with measuring the elastic wave velocities of short samples? On that note, permeability measurements on samples with a length to diameter ratio less than 1:1 are not recommended. However, I completely understand that these borehole samples are both rare and difficult to core/prepare. Perhaps the authors could indicate which samples are below 1:1 in Table 2 (I guess these are the samples with no elastic wave velocity measurements)?

Author response: The paragraph was reordered and reworded to make this point clearer and follow additional recommendations from ‘Reviewer Comment 2:’

New paragraph: In order to determine the spatial relationship of the physical properties in the shear zone a continuous set of samples was cored every 0.1 m in the transition zone from 19.6 m to the boarder of the first MFC at 20.1 m. Abundant fractures in the damage zone between the two MFCs prevented continuous coring. Two mutually perpendicular core samples, one parallel (x1) and one perpendicular (x3) to the Grimsel granodiorite foliation were taken to characterize the physical property and anisotropy changes as a gradient away from the fault core. Sampling farther than 19.5 m was not possible due to previously made overcoring stress measurements (Figure 1c). In order to optimize the number of samples, the x1 direction was taken ~15 degrees off axis from the lineation (Figure 1d). Foliation perpendicular samples could not be taken at 19.5 and 20.1 m because of breaks in the core. The x1 and x3 samples were bored out of the core using a diamond drill bit (~2.54 cm inner diameter) with water as the cooling fluid. The 2.49 to 5.56 cm long samples were grinded and polished to craft parallel ends. To characterize the MFC, parallel and perpendicular to foliation samples were taken at 20.2 and 23.6 m, respectively. A maximum length (~ 2.49 cm) to diameter (~ 2.53 cm) ratio of approximately 1:1 in the MFC samples, due to the extremely fissile nature of these rocks. Additionally, these two samples come from separate but similar MFC at the base of the borehole due to limited sample material. Since the seismic velocity measurements require longer samples due to signal noise and wave propagation issues, the MFC samples are only long enough to perform only permeability measurements. Additionally, two sets of perpendicular samples were taken 5 and 7 m from the start of the borehole as a background Grimsel granodiorite reference.

6. Line 14: Recent laboratory experiments have shown that thermal microcracking in granite starts at a temperature of 70 °C (see reference below). I’d recommend, in future studies, that the authors use a lower temperature to dry their samples.


Author response: thanks for the recommendation, we will consider this for future drying.
7. Page 6, line 1: Impermeable means that fluids cannot pass. Please change "impermeable" to "low-permeability".

Author response: changed to: "the sample permeability was so low..."

8. Page 6, line 3: I understand the issues associated with performing long-term permeability measurements. However, I think it would be interesting to quote the magnitude of the pressure drop used in these super low permeability measurements, to give the reader a rough idea.

Author response: For these samples, only the beginning part of the partial pressure gradient equilibration has been assessed (correlating to a pressure drop of <0.1 MPa).

9. Page 6, line 16: I think it's worth mentioning that the permeability measurements (at 10 MPa) were performed before the elastic wave velocity measurements (up to 260 MPa). Exposure to 260 MPa could have influenced rock microstructure.

Author response: This would be especially important in sandstones and shales, or soft rocks that would undergo substantial plastic deformation at high confining pressures. The granodiorite rocks that we measure are elastically stiff. We have performed seismic velocity experiments under loading and unloading conditions and observe minimal seismic velocity changes in the low confining pressure experiments. Therefore, we expect the variation in permeability measurements to be within the experimental error.

10. Page 6, line 12: Is it not interesting to calculate a permeability anisotropy factor, as the authors do for their elastic wave velocity measurements? The permeability anisotropy factor could then be plotted on Figure 3.

Author response: We feel that it is an interesting component, but one can see the permeability values in Table 2 are sometimes double or more in the parallel samples compared to the perpendicular samples. But this isn’t the case. Thus, there would be some samples with permeability anisotropy of > 100% and some less than 10% which is not graphically aesthetic. We feel the permeability curves alone allow us to compare permeability to seismic properties.

11. Page 6, line 26: Are t_sample and t_rock the same?

Author response: yes, they are both t_sample now.

12. Page 6, line 30: I guess this is the full pressure range of the apparatus? Although subtle, it might be worth clarifying this point so that people don’t assume these pressures relate to borehole depths.

Author response: This now reads: “Measurements were recorded across the full
pressure range of 30 to 260 MPa of the apparatus”

13. Page 9, lines 23 and 24: “Table”, not “Tabel”.

Author response: Of course, thanks!

14. Page 10, lines 7-8: Based on comments earlier in the manuscript (that there are no microcracks) these sentences have the ability to confuse. Figure 4 shows that the elastic wave velocities increase with increasing confining pressure. So, are there microcracks? Intact granite can have a permeability as low as 10-22 m² (see paper below). Perhaps there are microcracks in these rocks? Maybe grain-boundary microcracks that are difficult to see in thin section?


Author response: Correct, while they might not be visible, the velocities suggest there is compaction. The sentence was changed in the ‘Results – characterization” section now reads: “In general, the samples do not have visible open microcracks, thus the porosity occurs between grain contacts (i.e., intergranular micropores).”

15. Page 10, line 18: I think this point should be made clearer in the methods section (Page 5, line 3). I would also be useful to see photographs/microscopic images of these cores to assure the reader that the comparison is reasonable. However, since this sample also contains a quartz-filled vein, for which the authors blame for the higher permeability perpendicular to foliation, perhaps it’s best to remove this 23.6 sample altogether?

Author response: The reworded paragraph, formerly Page 5, line 3, hopefully clarifies the difficulty in sampling these mylonitic fault cores. Especially the sentence: “Additionally, these two samples come from separate but similar MFC at the base of the borehole due to limited sample material.” While sample 23.6 does not necessarily help our case, it does not hurt our case either, and we think keeping the data point with its description keeps transparency in place.

16. Figure 3: It should be noted somewhere in the discussion that temperature and fluid content can modify the measured elastic wave velocities. See papers by:


Author response: A reference to these two works is now included in the second sentence of the second paragraph in ‘Discussion – Shear zone characterization.’
17. “Additionally, temperature and fluid content can modify measured elastic wave velocities (e.g., Griffiths et al., 2018; Nur and Simmons, 1969)”

Page 15, line 18: If of use, the above-mentioned Violay et al. (2017) paper provides data on the evolution of porosity during the high-pressure, high-temperature deformation of Westerly granite (and also some permeability measurements). There may also be useful information in:


**Author response:** Reference to Violay et al., 2017 is now added with a description of the author's experimental work:

“Violay et al., 2017 performed triaxial deformation experiments in Westerly granite across the brittle-ductile transition with simultaneous measurements of porosity. The authors found that the deformation in the ductile regime is associated with compaction, while the brittle regime is primarily dilatant.”

In addition, a sentence has been added in the introduction describing these experiments:

“Violay et al., 2017 performed triaxial deformation experiments across the brittle-ductile transition in Westerly granite and show that porosity changes in the ductile regime is compactant, while the brittle regime is marked by dilation.”

18. Page 15, line 27: Please give a reference for “…is dissimilar to models derived from brittle fault zones”.

**Author response:** Added citation to previously referenced Caine et al., 1996 and Faulkner et al., 2010.

19. Page 15, line 33: Please give a reference for “velocities would be expected to decrease due to microfracturing”.

**Author response:** added reference to:


20. Page 16, line 5: “losing”, not “loosing”.

**Author response:** corrected

21. Page 19, line 4: I agree that, due to the foliation, there’s likely a large strength
anisotropy. As the authors suggest, a large strength anisotropy has important ramifications for the design of engineering structures. There are a couple of papers on this topic, which may be of interest here:


Author response: We give reference to the suggested papers: “Mechanical anisotropy and heterogeneous pore geometries have been shown to have considerable influence on damage evolution and failure mode [Rawlings et al., 2002; Baud et al., 2005; Griffiths et al., 2017].”
Review 2

Wenning et al. present a solid dataset about permeability and elastic properties of core rock materials coming from a shear zone in an underground research facility, with the aim to better understand seismic properties and potential for exploitation of such shear zones. The paper is well written, and combines some microstructural work with solid laboratory measurements of permeability and seismic wavspeeds.

1. My only major comment on this manuscript is with regard to the terminology used to present the data. The manuscript is presented, in particular in the abstract, as a study of brittle fault zone overprinting a ductile shear zone. Judging from the microstructures presented in figure 2 and from the location of samples in figure 1, measurements were performed only on cores of a ductile shear zone rather than a brittle fault (i.e. on mylonites and ultramylonites). The authors often refer to ultramylonitic shear bands as "fault core" and mention the importance of inheritance of ductile structures on brittle structures. It is true that localized small scale fracturing, possibly concomitant with hydrothermal alteration and dissolution-precipitation mechanisms, may have acted during the exhumation of the shear zone in the so-called "transition zone" TZ. However the shear zone appeared to be essentially ductile, with only a late reactivation as a brittle fault in the zone named "DZ" within the ultramylonites. DZ rocks, i.e. the only clearly brittle rocks presented, were not characterized in this study, which in turn focused on essentially purely ductile shear zones. Therefore the brittle overprint, supposedly influenced by ductile structures, were not investigated. The conceptual model of the shear zone is correctly depicted by the authors in the discussion section, however I think that they should clearly term the ultramylonites "shear zones" and not fault cores, and avoid using the common terminology of brittle faults, to avoid confusion in the readership. The think that the title may be misleading in the same way. Something like "permeability and seismic velocity anisotropy of ductile shear zones enveloping a brittle fault" would be more appropriate, I reckon.

Author Response: We are in agreement that a change in terminology is in order. We have chosen to change the previously named mylonitic fault core (MFC) to simply mylonitic core (MC). By removing fault, we remove association to brittle deformation. We choose to keep the term 'core' since the transition zone and mylonitic core are all part of the whole shear zone (as depicted in Figure 1c). We acknowledge the suggestion for the title change, but feel that the current title will reach a broader audience studying ductile-brittle transitions.

A part from this point I have only a few other minor comments on this manuscript (below) and I think this should be accepted for publication after minor modifications.

Telemaco Tesei (Durham University)

2. Minor Comments P=page L=line P1, L15-16: a few references could be appropriate here.

Author response: The two references in the previous sentence serve as the foundation
for this paragraph, and the following paragraphs describe in detail the work that has been done. We changed the references to read (see reviews: Sibson, 1994; Faulkner et al., 2010) in the sentence before as opposed to ‘e.g.’ in the previous sentence.

3. P2, L16-17: a couple of references to mechanical/geological studies of reactivation of previously ductile faults/materials:


Author response: We agree, these references has been added.

4. P3, L1: are these two shear zones “ductile”? Or are they clay-rich fault cores/principal slip zones?

Author response: They are ductile. Added “foliated ductile shear zones”

5. P4: L13 “boundaries”.

Author response: corrected the spelling error.


Author response: made the reference to figure 2.

7. P5, L4: I would state clearly state the dimensions of the core here (or add a table, but it is impractical to read), before saying that they are not suitable for deformation experiments or seismic velocity experiments, and therefore only permeability measurements were performed on these samples.

P5, L7. the samples size mentioned here suggest that for some samples the length/width ratio may be between roughly 1 and 2, contrary to previously stated

Author response: The paragraph was reordered and reworded to make this point clearer and follow additional recommendations from ‘Reviewer Comment 1:’

New paragraph: In order to determine the spatial relationship of the physical properties in the shear zone a continuous set of samples was cored every 0.1 m in the transition zone from 19.6 m to the boarder of the first MFC at 20.1 m. Abundant fractures in the damage zone between the two MFCs prevented continuous coring. Two mutually perpendicular core samples, one
parallel (x1) and one perpendicular (x3) to the Grimsel granodiorite foliation were taken to characterize the physical property and anisotropy changes as a gradient away from the fault core. Sampling farther than 19.5 m was not possible due to previously made overcoring stress measurements (Figure 1c). In order to optimize the number of samples, the x1 direction was taken ~15 degrees off axis from the lineation (Figure 1d). Foliation perpendicular samples could not be taken at 19.5 and 20.1 m because of breaks in the core. The x1 and x3 samples were bored out of the core using a diamond drill bit (~2.54 cm inner diameter) with water as the cooling fluid. The 2.49 to 5.56 cm long samples were grinded and polished to craft parallel ends. To characterize the MFC, parallel and perpendicular to foliation samples were taken at 20.2 and 23.6 m, respectively. A maximum length (~ 2.49 cm) to diameter (~ 2.53 cm) ratio of approximately 1:1 in the MFC samples, due to the extremely fissile nature of these rocks. Additionally, these two samples come from separate but similar MFC at the base of the borehole due to limited sample material. Since the seismic velocity measurements require longer samples due to signal noise and wave propagation issues, the MFC samples are only long enough to perform only permeability measurements. Additionally, two sets of perpendicular samples were taken 5 and 7 m from the start of the borehole as a background Grimsel granodiorite reference.

8. P8, L2. The “void” is strange in this sentence. I would simply say “lack of ” or “don’t have” open microcracks.

Author response: changed to “… the samples do not have visible open microcracks,…”

9. P8 L10: indicate that . . .

Author response: we prefer “…, indicating hydrothermal alteration occurred.”

10. Figure 1: “Mapped” is spelled wrong in the inset of Fig. 1A.

Author response: Good catch, it is now corrected.

11. Figure 3: in the caption, mention the experimental conditions, in particular the effective confining pressure.

Author response: Added the measurement conditions to the figure caption.

12. Figure 4 caption: mention which core the measurements are taken from, and at which experimental conditions.

Author response: Added that the depth corresponds to the sample name in Table 2.
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Abstract. This study characterizes the elastic and fluid flow properties systematically across a ductile-brittle fault zone in crystalline rock at the Grimsel Test Site underground research laboratory. Anisotropic seismic velocities and permeability measured every 0.1 m in the 0.7 m across the transition zone from the host Grimsel granodiorite to the mylonitic core show that foliation-parallel \( p \)- and \( s \)-wave velocities systematically increase from the host rock towards the mylonitic core, while permeability is reduced nearest to the mylonitic core. The results suggest that although brittle deformation has persisted in the recent evolution, antecedent ductile fabric continues to control the matrix elastic and fluid flow properties outside the mylonitic core. The juxtaposition of the ductile strain zone next to the brittle zone, which is bounded inside the two mylonitic cores, causes a significant elastic, mechanical, and fluid flow heterogeneity, which has important implications for crustal deformation and fluid flow, and exploitation and use of geothermal energy and geologic waste storage. The results illustrate how physical characteristics of faults in crystalline rocks change in fault zones during the ductile to brittle transitions.
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1 Introduction

Brittle faults and ductile shear zones and their associated damage and high strain zones have a localized yet influential impact on crustal mechanics and fluid flow (see reviews: Sibson, 1994; Faulkner et al., 2010). Physical properties in and around the fault core and damage zone in the brittle regime generally differ from the host rock by several orders of magnitude, asserting tremendous influence on fluid flow, deformation, earthquake rupture, and the development of economically exploitable resources. Less is known about the nature of the physical properties of ductile shear zones, and their role in crustal mechanics and fluid flow distribution once they are exhumed (e.g., Bolognesi and Bistacchi, 2016; Donath, 1961). Thus, understanding the nature of the geometrical distribution and temporal evolution of the properties associated with ductile shear zones and their transition into brittle faults and damage zones is integral to assess crustal mechanics and fluid flow distribution.

Characterization of brittle faults and damage zones has received much attention (see review Faulkner et al., 2010). Previous studies from the laboratory (cm) to field outcrop (km) scale have developed into generalized models for the mechanical and
hydraulic behavior of fault zones (e.g., Chester and Logan, 1986; Caine et al., 1996; Faulkner et al., 2003, 2010). These models suggest that the fault zone consists of single or multiple high-strain cores surrounded by a damage zone where the physical properties are a function of the rock matrix, fracture density, and fault core. Brittle faults generally increase in fracture density in the damage zone towards the fault core (Vermilye and Scholz, 1998; Wilson et al., 2003; Mitchell and Faulkner, 2009), thereby increasing permeability and reducing elastic and mechanical strength from the intact rock towards the central fault core. Mitchell and Faulkner (2012) show that the microfracture density that enhances permeability around brittle faults scales with displacement of the fault. Laboratory experiments on Westerly granite indicate that increasing permeability due to microfracturing occurs regardless of the tectonic faulting regime (Faulkner and Armitage, 2013).

In the ductile deformation regime, shear zones are understood to develop anisotropic properties due to mineral alignment of anisotropic minerals in preferred elongation directions (shape preferred orientation or SPO) and/or alignment of the crystallographic axis (crystallographic preferred orientation or CPO) of minerals (Mainprice, 2007). The characteristics of ductile shear zones have been studied in terms of their anisotropic velocity structure to assess observations in middle to lower crustal seismic reflectivity (see review Almqvist and Mainprice, 2017). Rey et al. (1994) suggested that the physical properties in ductile shear zones should also be considered as transitional (i.e., the seismic velocities would grade into the ductile shear zone core). The strength of ductile shear zones is typically studied in terms of viscous rheology (e.g., Sibson, 1983). However, these shear zones are often ‘frozen in’ and preserve their textural features that when exhumed behave with elastic and frictional failure criteria in the upper crust. In preserved ductile shear zones, mechanical and fluid flow properties have typically been studied separately. Géraud et al. (1995) studied the porosity and mineral structure across a mylonitic shear zone. Using empirical relationships between porosity and pore throat diameter, these authors were able to discern that the permeability decreases in the highest strained sample. Violay et al. (2017) performed triaxial deformation experiments across the brittle-ductile transition in Westerly granite and show that porosity changes in the ductile regime is compactant, while the brittle regime is marked by dilation.

The models for elasticity and permeability through brittle and ductile shear zones have been mostly derived from outcrop examples (Faulkner et al., 2010, and references therein). To date, there have been limited systematic mechanical and fluid flow studies on boreholes that directly penetrate fossil ductile shear zones. Drilling into fractured crystalline rock for geothermal exploitation has been ongoing since the 1970s (Vidal and Genter, 2018). Recent drilling through the Alpine Fault in New Zealand revealed how ductile mylonites have been exhumed, altering the rocks to a typical brittle fault damage zone in the vicinity to the fault (Allen et al., 2017). Although much precaution is taken in outcrop studies, core material provides the opportunity to sample systematically into a fault zone eliminating issues of surface weathering and processes that may alter physical properties. However, precaution should be taken when assessing the extent and timing of hydrothermal alteration associated with faults at depth. Additionally, focus on the relationship between elasticity, mechanical strength, and permeability in the transition zone and core of faults has been inherently focused on brittle structures. However, with the vitality of meeting sustainable energy demands via geothermal energy and promoting safe geological waste disposal, the impact of preserved ductile structures in granitic rocks on mechanics and fluid flow are also of increasing importance (Violay et al., 2017; Watanabe et al., 2017).
Recent drilling at the Grimsel Test Site (GTS), an underground research laboratory owned and operated by NAGRA located in central Switzerland, penetrated a \(\sim 5\) m thick ductile-brittle fault damage zone relict in the Grimsel granodiorite host rock (Amann et al., 2017). Alpine tectonism produced multiple stages of ductile and subsequently brittle deformation in the Grimsel granodiorite, a member of the Aar massif (e.g., Rolland et al., 2009; Belgrano et al., 2016; Wehrens et al., 2016, 2017). The relict shear zone penetrated by the borehole is bounded by two foliated ductile shear zones, which initially localized ductile deformation and further reactivated brittlely between the two foliated shear zones. This study concentrates on characterizing the elastic and fluid flow properties from the surrounding granodiorite rock mass through the ductile transition zone into the bounding foliated shear zone. Seismic \(p\)- and \(s\)-wave velocities (\(V_p\) and \(V_s\)) and gas permeability (\(k\)) were measured on core samples in the laboratory. This paper emphasizes the mineralogical changes entering the shear zone influence changes in physical properties near the ductile-brittle damage zone. The results also provide insight on the transient behavior of faults during the transition from ductile to brittle regimes through exhumation processes, and provide insight on their effect on economic exploitation of such shear zones in terms of geothermal energy or geological waste disposal.

2 Geologic setting and core details

The GTS is located in the Aar Massif in central Switzerland (Figure 1a). The underground laboratory is situated in Grimsel granodiorite in the Haslital valley. Schaltegger and Corfu (1992) place the age of the Grimsel granodiorite at \(299 \pm 2\) Ma. From field relations and dating the Grimsel granodiorite has the same age as the Central Aar granite. These intrusions post-date Variscan collision, and there are no identified pre-Alpine deformation structures.

Detailed deformation histories of the Grimsel region are available (Challandes et al., 2008; Rolland et al., 2009; Belgrano et al., 2016; Wehrens et al., 2016, 2017), which are summarized here. From argon-argon and rubidium-strontium dating, as well as field relations, beginning around 21 Ma and continuing until approximately 10 Ma, ductile deformation resulting from transpression created NNE-SSW, E-W, and NW-SE striking shear zones with steep dips to the south (Figure 1a). Ductile deformation is believed to occur in two stages: 1) from 21-17 Ma NNW-vergent thrusting and 2) from 14-10 Ma transpression causing dextral shearing of preferentially oriented oversteepened stage 1 structures. Beginning around 9 Ma steady exhumation caused retrograde ductile-brittle deformation in the form of discrete fractures, and subsequent embrittlement of these shear zones, which has produced fault breccias, cataclasites, and fault gouge.

The Aar granites experienced 300-450\(^\circ\)C and 150 to 250 MPa peak conditions during Alpine metamorphism (Steck, 1968; Rolland et al., 2009; Wehrens et al., 2016, 2017). Our thin section observation shows fracturing of feldspar and undulose extinction along with subgrain boundaries in quartz, which are consistent with the inferred metamorphic temperatures.

In 2015, a series of boreholes were drilled in the Grimsel granodiorite (Figure 1b) for stress measurements, petrophysical property characterization, and hydraulic stimulation of the shear zones (Amann et al., 2017). The core material used in this study comes from the borehole drilled from an offset of the main tunnel in the GTS that penetrates two parallel shear zones. The well was drilled from 480 m below the ground surface in a subhorizontal trajectory with an azimuth of 319\(^\circ\). The well penetrates \(\sim 20\) m of mostly non-fractured granodiorite (Figure 1c). The granodiorite is foliated and at 20.2 m intersects a 20
Figure 1. a) Geologic map of the Grimsel pass region (after Wehrens et al., 2016, 2017), b) borehole orientations (this study - red borehole) with respect to the underground research laboratory (after Krietsch et al., 2017; Amann et al., 2017), c) the borehole in this study depicting the location of the damage zone with coring and stress measurement locations projected along the borehole. The shear zone at the base is divided into three components: 1) transition zone (TZ), 2) mylonitic core (MC), and 3) damage zone (DZ). d) Photograph of a saw cut cross section through part of the transition zone and the mylonitic core (black ellipses show subcored cylinders inclined to the cut surface).

cm thick foliated mylonitic shear zone, also defined as a foliated mylonitic core (MC). The foliation intensity in the granodiorite decreases towards the host rock ~ 0.5 m from the MC through the transition zone (TZ) and is concordant with the foliation in the steeply dipping E-W oriented MC (Krietsch et al., 2017). The TZ has a gradual decrease in grain size of both matrix
grains and the felsic clasts with more frequent mylonitic shear bands towards the MC (Figure 1d and 2). The MC itself is heterogeneously banded with mylonite and ultramylonite layers. A brittle damage zone (DZ) mixed with small <5 cm thick mylonitic shear zones is bounded between the MC at 20.2 m and another 20 cm thick MC at the end of the borehole (Figure 2). Less than 1 mm aperture quartz filled fractures intersect the MCs originating from within the damage zone. However, these do not appear to penetrate entirely through the MCs.

3 Methods

3.1 Sample selection, preparation, and characterization

In order to determine the spatial relationship of the physical properties in the shear zone a continuous set of samples was cored every 0.1 m in the transition zone from 19.6 m to the boarder of the first MC at 20.1 m. Abundant fractures in the damage zone between the two MCs prevented continuous coring. Two mutually perpendicular core samples, one parallel \((x_1)\) and one perpendicular \((x_3)\) to the Grimsel granodiorite foliation were taken to characterize the physical property and anisotropy changes as a gradient away from the mylonitic core. Sampling farther than 19.5 m was not possible due to previously made overcoring stress measurements (Figure 1c). In order to optimize the number of samples, the \(x_1\) direction was taken \(\sim 15^\circ\) off axis from the lineation (Figure 1d). Foliation perpendicular samples could not be taken at 19.5 and 20.1 m because of breaks in the core.

The \(x_1\) and \(x_3\) samples were bored out of the core using a diamond drill bit (2.54 cm inner diameter) with water as the cooling fluid. The 2.49 to 5.56 cm long samples were grinded and polished to craft parallel ends. To characterize the MC, parallel and perpendicular to foliation samples were taken at 20.2 and 23.6 m, respectively. A maximum length (\(\sim 2.49\) cm) to diameter (\(\sim 2.53\) cm) ratio of approximately 1:1 in the MC samples, due to the extremely fissile nature of these rocks. Additionally, these two samples come from separate but similar MC at the base of the borehole due to limited sample material. Since the seismic velocity measurements require longer samples due to signal noise and wave propagation issues, the MC samples are only long enough to perform only permeability measurements. Additionally, two sets of perpendicular samples were taken 5 and 7 m from the start of the borehole as a background Grimsel granodiorite reference.

Thin sections were prepared directly from the ends of the samples and observed under optical microscopy. Quantitative mineral analysis was obtained at the University of Geneva using QEMSCAN® Quanta 650F, an automated scanning electron microscope with mineral identification based on a combination of back-scattered electron values, energy-dispersive X-ray spectra, and X-ray count rates. High resolution mineralogical and petrographic maps were obtained with the QEMSCAN® at a scanning resolution of 5 \(\mu m\), which measures the mineral coverage in percent area.

3.2 Density, porosity, and permeability measurements

Measurements of matrix volume and mass were performed after the samples were dried in an oven at 100°C for 24 hours for the granodiorite samples and 40°C for the fragile MC samples. The matrix volume was measured using a helium pycnometer (AccuPyc 1330, Micromeritics®). The dry mass was measured with a precision balance. The bulk rock density \(\rho_{bulk}\) was
calculated as the dry mass divided by the matrix volume of the sample. The porosity ($\phi$) of each cylindrical sample was calculated from the geometrical volume ($V_{\text{tot}}$) and the matrix volume ($V_m$) from the helium pycnometer $\phi = (V_{\text{tot}} - V_m)/V_{\text{tot}}$.

A hydrostatic pressure vessel was used to measure the gas permeability of each sample (detailed description of the apparatus and measurement technique in Pini et al. (2009)). The hydrostatic pressure vessel is equipped to measure samples of 2.5 cm in diameter and up to $\sim$ 5 cm in length at confining pressures up to 20 MPa. Hydraulic oil is used as the confining fluid, which is controlled with a screw type displacement pump that regulates the confining pressure within $\pm$ 0.05 MPa. The sample assembly consists of the cylindrical rock specimen placed between two stainless steel disks fastened by a soft PVC tube to isolate the sample from the confining fluid. The two stainless steel disks have interconnected circular grooves to distribute the fluid across the cross sectional area of the sample. The disks are connected via a plumbing system to the upstream and downstream reservoirs, which can be isolated and filled with the injected gas. The upstream and downstream reservoir, plus their associated plumbing network, have volumes of 50.8 cm$^3$ and 21.2 cm$^3$, respectively. The gas pressure in the two reservoirs is measured within 0.05%.

Due to the low porosity and permeability in the granodioirite and MC, the transient step technique was used to perform and analyze the flow experiments (Brace et al., 1968). Experiments were performed at room temperature and an effective pressure of 10 MPa, chosen to represent the effective stress conditions in the GTS. Three confining pressure and pore pressure configurations that preserved an effective pressure of 10 MPa were performed to assess the so-called Klinkenberg gas slippage effect (Klinkenberg, 1941). For each sample a pressure difference of 0.5 MPa was imposed between the upstream ($P_{\text{us}}$) and downstream ($P_{\text{ds}}$) reservoir and allowed to equilibrate at each of the pore pressure configurations (e.g., $P_{\text{us}}$ and $P_{\text{ds}} = 1.0$ and 0.5 MPa, 3.0 and 2.5 MPa, and 7.0 and 6.5 MPa, respectively). In some cases, the sample permeability was so low that reaching a full equilibrium between the up and down stream reservoir was not possible within laboratory timescale. For these samples, only the beginning part of the partial pressure gradient equilibration has been assessed (correlating to a pressure drop of $\sim$0.1 MPa).

Hsieh et al. (1981) developed a full analytical solution to the differential equation describing the gas pressure inside the sample as a function of the distance along the sample and time to estimate permeability. Dicker and Smits (1988) developed a simple analytical expression to estimate permeability from the measured pressure curves. The simple analytical solution:

$$k = \frac{\beta \mu \phi L^2 s}{f(V_{sa}/V_{us}, V_{sa}/V_{ds})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

is a function of the compressibility, $\beta$, and viscosity, $\mu$, porosity, $\phi$, length of the sample, $L$, slope of the differential pressure vs. time, $s$, and a function of the ratio between the volume of the sample ($V_{sa}$) and the volume of the up and downstream reservoirs ($V_{us}$ and $V_{ds}$, respectively). The solution is accurate within 0.3% of the full expresion if the pore volume is less than the reservoir volumes, which is true for our experiments. Since the pressure difference in the two reservoirs is small we used an average pore pressure to determine the compressibility and viscosity of the argon gas using the NIST database (NIST, 2017).
3.3 Elastic wave velocity measurements and calculations

A separate hydrostatic oil-medium pressure vessel, capable of reaching high confining pressures, was used to measure the \( p \)- and \( s \)- ultrasonic elastic wave velocities using the pulse transmission technique (Birch, 1960). The measurements were conducted on the mutually perpendicular samples up to 260 MPa and at room temperature conditions (detailed description of the measurements described in Zappone et al. (2000)). The mechanical impulse is directed into the sample by mounting the lead zirconate titanate piezoceramic transducer inside a ‘head’ assembly that also contains a buffer rod, reducing the dispersion of energy. The setup is configured so that one transducer transforms the electrical impulse (1 MHz resonance frequency) and emits a mechanical wave at the coupling of the transducer with the sample. After passing through the sample, another transducer converts the mechanical wave back into an electrical signal. The electronic system consists of a Hewlett-Packard® 214B Pulse Generator that is connected to the transducers with coaxial cables and the output is recorded directly with a computer. To prevent oil seepage from the confining fluid into the sample, a thin polyolefin heat shrink tube is fitted over the ends of the transducers and the sample.

The velocity in the rock is given by

\[
V_{p,s} = \frac{L}{t_{\text{sample}}} \quad \text{with} \quad t_{\text{sample}} = t_{\text{total}} - t_{\text{system}}
\]  

(2)

where the \( p \)- and \( s \)- wave velocities, \( V_{p,s} \), are a function of the travel time through the sample, \( t_{\text{sample}} \), and its length, \( L \).

The travel time through the sample is determined by subtracting the travel time of the cabling in the source/receiver system, \( t_{\text{system}} \), from the total time of flight of the impulses recorded, \( t_{\text{total}} \).

The waveforms are recorded at stepwise increases or decreases in pressure in the loading and unloading cycles performed for each \( p \)- and \( s \)-wave experiment. Measurements were recorded across the full pressure range of 30 to 260 MPa of the apparatus to investigate the properties closest to present day low pressure conditions at the GTS (Minimum principal stress 8 to 12 MPa, maximum principal stress 13-17 MPa Krietsch et al. (2017)) and to study the poro-elastic effect on seismic velocities after crack closure at high pressure (Birch, 1960). The measurements were made at room temperature and dry, undrained conditions. Recordings of the waveform were measured within \( \pm 2 \) MPa and a travel time accuracy of \( \pm 0.01 \) \( \mu \)s.

Velocity anisotropy (AV) was estimated from the maximum, minimum, and mean velocities using

\[
AV_{p,s} = \frac{V_{p,s,\text{max}} - V_{p,s,\text{min}}}{V_{p,s,\text{mean}}} * 100
\]  

(3)

Estimates of the dynamic elastic moduli were also calculated for each experiment. The \( p \)- and \( s \)-wave moduli are represented in the general form as \( c_{xx} = \rho V_{p,s}^2 \). The \( p \)-wave moduli for the vertical (\( x_3 \)) and maximum horizontal (\( x_1 \)) samples are represented by \( c_{33} \) and \( c_{11} \), respectively. Similarly, the \( s \)-wave moduli, also known as shear modulus (\( \mu \)), for the vertical and maximum horizontal samples are represented by \( c_{44} \) and \( c_{66} \), respectively. The elastic moduli are estimated by applying the isotropic equations to the vertical and horizontal components separately in order to estimate the \( p \)- and \( s \)- wave moduli (Mavko et al., 2009). Sone and Zoback (2013) show the error in applying the isotropic equations to the vertical and horizontal components.
separately in the absence of having the 45°-oriented sample is negligible. The dynamic Young’s moduli are approximated for the parallel \((E_1)\) and perpendicular \((E_3)\) components using the following equations:

\[
E_1 = \frac{c_{66}(3c_{11} - 4c_{66})}{c_{11} - c_{66}} \tag{4}
\]
\[
E_3 = \frac{c_{44}(3c_{33} - 4c_{44})}{c_{33} - c_{44}} \tag{5}
\]

The dynamic Poisson’s ratio for the parallel \((\nu_1)\) and perpendicular \((\nu_3)\) sample is calculated using the isotropic equation

\[
\nu = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{V_p}{V_s} \right)^2 - 2 \left( \frac{V_p}{V_s} \right)^2 - 1 \tag{6}
\]

The dynamic bulk modulus for the parallel \((K_1)\) and perpendicular \((K_3)\) sample is calculated using the isotropic equation

\[
K = \rho \left( \frac{4}{3} V_s^2 \right) \tag{7}
\]

5 4 Results

4.1 Characterization

Table 1. Summary of sample composition: Sample name refers to depth in the borehole, rock type refers to either the host granodiorite, transition zone (TZ), and mylonitic core (MC), dry bulk density and porosity is reported as an average of individual measurements for each sample \((x_1\) and \(x_3\)), and mineral composition is derived from the QEMSCAN analysis of the \(x_1\)-thin section in % area. Mineral abbreviations: Bt = biotite, Phl = phlogopite, Ms = muscovite, Ep = epidote, Ab = albite, Kfs = K-feldspar, and Qz = quartz.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Rock type</th>
<th>Density [g/cm²]</th>
<th>Porosity [%]</th>
<th>Bt+Phl [%]</th>
<th>Ms [%]</th>
<th>Ep [%]</th>
<th>Ab [%]</th>
<th>Kfs [%]</th>
<th>Qz [%]</th>
<th>Other [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>Host</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>Host</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S19.5</td>
<td>TZ</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S19.6</td>
<td>TZ</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S19.7</td>
<td>TZ</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S19.8</td>
<td>TZ</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S19.9</td>
<td>TZ</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S20.0</td>
<td>TZ</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S20.1</td>
<td>TZ</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S23.6</td>
<td>MC</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In general there is a decrease in grain size in the TZ toward the MC (Figure 1d). Additionally, mm thick shear bands become more frequent nearer to the MC until reaching the sharp boundary with the MC. The MC itself is heterogeneously layered and folded. The compositional and microstructural transition from the ‘host’ granodiorite, through the transition zone (TZ), and the
The mylonitic core (MC) are depicted in Figure 2 and the rock composition is summarized in Table 1. The density of granodiorite samples irrespective of their proximity to the MC varies between 2.72 and 2.78 g/cm$^3$ with porosity varying between 0.4 to 1% (Table 1). In general, the samples do not have visible open microcracks, thus the porosity occurs between grain contacts (i.e., intergranular micropores). The density of the MC from both sampling locations is 2.80 and 2.84 g/cm$^3$ and porosity estimates are 0 and 1%, respectively.

The samples (S5 to S20.1) from the granodiorite are made up of various amounts of plagioclase (albite), quartz, K-feldspar, biotite/phlogopite, muscovite, and epidote. The amount of each mineral phase and microstructure depends on the vicinity to the mylonitic core. In the samples taken from the ‘host’ granodiorite (S5 and S7) as well as samples farthest from the mylonitic core (S19.5 and S19.6) the microstructure and composition is similar. Plagioclase is the most abundant mineral phase (~ 40%). The sub mm to >10 mm big plagioclase grains are rounded to subangular. The grain size of plagioclase varies from sub-mm to >10 mm. Needle like sericite inclusions (<0.1 mm) form within the plagioclase cleavage planes, indicating hydrothermal alteration occurred. Quartz subgrains also develops along the boundaries and within large plagioclase grains. In larger plagioclase grains brittle fractures are filled with biotite and quartz. Quartz is the second most abundant mineral phase (~ 17 to 25%). Quartz grains of variable size (<1-2 mm) typically occur as many rounded to subhedral individual subgrains that form lenses or develop in the strain shadows of plagioclase clasts (Figure 2). The main difference between the ‘host’ granodiorite (S5 and S7) and the beginning of the transition zone (S19.5 and S19.6) is the K-feldspar concentration, which is ~ 15 to 17% and ~ 5%, respectively. Phyllosilicates in the form of biotite and muscovite form anastomosing lenses of mixed muscovite and biotite with variable thickness across the thin section, which comprise about 15 to 18% of the total mineralogy. Biotite forms <0.1 to 1 mm grains, of which the individual grains are randomly oriented in the anastomosing lenses.

A progressive change in the overall microstructure, state of the individual minerals, and the mineral composition is observed in the transition zone between samples S19.7 and S20.1. In Sample S19.7 the foliation becomes more continuous across the thin section when compared to the ‘host’ granodiorite samples. Plagioclase deforms brittlely in the form of fracturing (Figure 2), while grain boundary migration, undulose extinction, and subgrain rotation is observed in the quartz grains indicates ductility. Plagioclase and quartz grain size are similar to ‘host’ granodiorite. Lenses of biotite and muscovite extend across the thin section more continuously, however the lenses form variable thicknesses that wrap around the intermixed plagioclase and quartz. The anastomosing lenses are still present. In the samples nearest the MC (S20.0 and S20.1) the continuity and thickness of the mica-rich layers across the sample are the most developed. The foliation planes orient with the parallel alignment of the individual grains. Biotite and muscovite grains are especially larger in samples S20.0 and S20.1, where individual grains can be >5 mm long. While lenses of fine grained phyllosilicates occur, the overall grain size, continuity, layer thickness, and orientation of the individual grains is greater and more continuous. The total phyllosilicate amount increases from ~ 15-18% in samples S5 to S19.6 to ~ 30% in samples S20.0 and S20.1, with the other tectosilicates (plagioclase, K-feldspar, and quartz) reducing as a result. Biotite and quartz appear in the strain shadows of the plagioclase clasts (Figure 2).

The MC sample (S23.6) is constituted of very fine grained ultramylonitic (more than 90% grain size reduction) plagioclase (31%), biotite (27%), quartz (22%), and epidote (12%) making up the main mineral constituents. The foliation is defined by the biotite-quartz/plagioclase preferred shape orientation (layers typically <0.1 mm). Recrystallized plagioclase and quartz form
rotated clasts within the biotite-quartz foliation. The shear zones in the region are often interpreted as former mafic dykes (e.g., Wehrens et al., 2017). The MC has a gradient of deformation between the Grimsel granodiorite in the TZ and the MC, and most notably there is a heterogeneous layering within the ultramylonite with larger grain lenses that are compositionally similar to the granodiorite (e.g., Figure 1d). We interpret this structure more broadly as a mylonitic shear zone with a strain gradient of decreasing deformation away from the mylonitic cores.

4.2 Velocity measurements and elastic moduli calculation

Seismic velocities (Figure 3 and Table 2) are reported for the 30 MPa confining pressure measurement (i.e., the closest measurement to the stress magnitudes in the GTS). The measured velocities parallel to foliation at 30 MPa, (Figure 3 and Table 2) show an increase across the ~0.5 m transition zone. In the two samples taken from the ‘host’ granodiorite (S5 and S7) p-wave velocity parallel to the foliation ($V_{px1}$) are ~ 5.5 km/s. Samples S19.5 to S19.7 taken from the beginning of the transition zone have comparable velocities to the ‘host’ granodiorite samples (5.55 to 5.61 km/s). Transitioning towards the mylonitic core the $V_{px1}$ increases steadily and reaches a maximum in sample S20.1 (6.14 km/s) directly adjacent to the MC at 20.2 m. The s-wave velocity follows a similar trend where the host samples and the samples farthest from the mylonitic core have a $V_{sx1}$ of ~ 3.42 to 3.54 km/s and the velocities increase steadily and reach a maximum nearest the MC (S20.1: $V_{sx1} = 3.83$ km/s).

The velocities measured perpendicular to the foliation, $V_{px3}$ and $V_{sx3}$ fluctuate without a consistent trend from 4.98 to 5.21 km/s and 3.20 to 3.35 km/s, respectively. The p- and s-wave anisotropy is generally lower away from and higher near the MC. However, there are outliers (e.g., S19.6), which can be attributed to bias from either a slower $x_3$ velocity or a faster $x_1$ velocity. The seismic velocities are in general agreement with previous measurements on Grimsel granodiorite (Keusen et al., 1989) and other granodiorite samples (Jones and Nur, 1982).

The dynamic elastic moduli behave like the velocities because the density remains consistent for each sample. Therefore, the velocities exert greater influence on the $x_1$ and $x_3$ moduli. Approaching the MC each respective dynamic elastic moduli increases by 10 to 20 GPa for the $x_1$ sample, while the $x_3$ remains almost constant, which corroborates previous measurements on Grimsel granodiorite (Keusen et al., 1989). The dynamic Poisson’s ratio remains relatively uniform throughout.

Additionally, seismic velocities were measured up to confining pressures of 260 MPa in order to determine the intrinsic crack free velocities of the rocks (Figure 4). Both $V_p$ and $V_s$ velocity contours show that for a given confining pressure, the velocities parallel to foliation tend to increase to maximum values closest to the mylonitic core and that there is minimal sporadic variation in the perpendicular to foliation velocity measurements.

4.3 Permeability measurements

Permeability decreases (Figure 3 and Table 2) from the host granodiorite and farthest samples in the transition zone (0.99 to 8.38 × 10^{-19} m²) towards the samples nearest the mylonitic core (0.03 to 1.89 × 10^{-19} m²) along the $x_1$ direction. The permeability perpendicular to the foliation $x_3$ fluctuates from 0.52 to 4.14 × 10^{-19} m². Permeabilities of similar host Grimsel granodiorite at 5 MPa are 10^{-18} to 10^{-20} m² (David and Wassermann, 2017) and measurements on Kola granodiorite samples range from approximately 10^{-18} to 10^{-20} m² at effective pressures of 10 to 50 MPa (Morrow et al., 1994). Directional permeability of
Table 2. Summary of density, porosity, elastic properties and anisotropy, and permeability obtained from laboratory measurements. Laboratory velocities measured at 30 MPa confining pressure and permeability measured at 10 MPa effective pressure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>$V_p$ [km/s]</th>
<th>mean $V_p$ [km/s]</th>
<th>$AV_p$ [%]</th>
<th>$V_s$ [km/s]</th>
<th>mean $V_s$ [km/s]</th>
<th>$AV_s$ [%]</th>
<th>$E$ [GPa]</th>
<th>$\mu$ [GPa]</th>
<th>$K$ [GPa]</th>
<th>$\nu$</th>
<th>$k$ [m$^2$] $\times 10^{-19}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBH5</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>8.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBH7</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>5.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBH19.5</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>79</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBH19.6</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>11.55</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>10.01</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBH19.7</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>10.79</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>5.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBH19.8</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>12.14</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>5.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBH19.9</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>14.43</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>8.28</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBH20.0</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>13.85</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>11.55</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBH20.1</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>95</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBH20.3</td>
<td>$x_3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBH23.6</td>
<td>$x_1$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the mylonitic core was measured on two samples from separate foliated shear zones due to difficulties in sample preparation (i.e., $x_3$ is from 20.3 m depth and $x_1$ is from 23.6 m depth). The permeability is $0.03 \times 10^{-19}$ m$^2$ parallel to foliation and $0.57 \times 10^{-19}$ m$^2$ perpendicular to the foliation. Flow along the boundary of a quartz-filled vein that cross-cuts the perpendicular sample is believed to cause the increase in permeability perpendicular to foliation in the mylonitic core.
**Figure 2.** Optical microscopy and QEMSCAN® images of thin sections for each x1 sample (S7x, S19.5x, S19.7x, S20.0x, and S23.6x). The left column shows the cross polarized light image of the sample with the corresponding QEMSCAN® area in the middle column. The right column depicts a particular microstructure of the sample. S7x - typical host rock texture with twinned feldspar and quartz. S19.5x - elongated feldspar with quartz in strain shadows between fine grained mica. S19.7x - fractured feldspar with quartz grains filling the fracture. S20.0x - Rounded sericitic feldspar clast with fine grained quartz and mica infilling the strain shadows between lenses of large mica grains and fine recrystallized quartz/mica. S23.6x - very fine grained foliated biotite and quartz grains surrounding euhedral plagioclase. Sample locations depicted in Figure 1.
**Figure 3.** Sample composition, seismic velocity, and permeability across the transition zone into the mylonitic core. Depth corresponds to sample name in Table 2. Top panel: tectosilicates - quartz, plagioclase, and K-feldspar (red), phyllosilicates - biotite and muscovite (green), and epidote (grey). Middle panels: p- and s-wave velocity parallel to foliation (orange) and perpendicular to foliation (blue). Measured at 30 MPa hydrostatic confining pressure. Bottom panel: permeability parallel to foliation (orange) and perpendicular (blue). Permeability is reported as the median value measured for each sample, and the bars show the range of values in terms of minimum and maximum. Measured at 10 MPa effective pressure. For all panels the shaded region depicts the range of values from the host granodiorite samples (S5 and S7), the circular markers show the values measured through the transition zone (TZ), and the triangular markers show the values measured in the mylonitic core (MC). Error bars are depicted where error is larger than the marker size.
Figure 4. Contour plots showing the influence of confining pressure on seismic velocities along the core in the transition zone. Depth corresponds to sample name in Table 2. Top panel displays the results for foliation parallel velocities ($x_1$) separated into $V_p$ (left) and $V_s$ (right). Bottom panel displays the results for foliation perpendicular velocities ($x_3$) separated into $V_p$ (left) and $V_s$ (right). For $V_p$ and $V_s$, red colors indicate faster velocities and blue colors depict slower velocities (magnitude defined on the colorbar).
5 Discussion

5.1 Shear zone characterization

Many studies on the transition of elastic and fluid flow properties in and around fault cores and damage zones have been concentrated on outcrop material of brittle faults (Faulkner et al., 2010). Caine et al. (1996) present models for fault core geometries, with fault cores composed of fault gouge or cataclasite. Faulkner et al. (2010) expand this model to include both single fault core damage zones and damage zones made up of several anastamosing faults. Laboratory measurements on samples from natural fault systems have led to the development of brittle fault permeability and elastic or mechanical properties that are microfracture dependent. In such systems, damage is concentrated in the fault core, which produces fault gouge or cataclasite that can either be higher or lower in permeability than the surrounding host rock. In the host rock directly contacting the fault zone, microfracturing due to strain displacement around the fault leads to increased permeability and decreased elastic or mechanical strength (Faulkner et al., 2006). Permeability decreases and elastic or mechanical strength increases moving away from the damage zone core, as the microfracture intensity decreases away from the shear zone (e.g., Vermilye and Scholz, 1998; Wilson et al., 2003; Mitchell and Faulkner, 2009).

In ductile shear zones the alignment of anisotropic minerals in CPO or SPO due to strain accumulation has been a central focus for crustal reflectivity (e.g., Fountain et al., 1984; Jones and Nur, 1984; Kern and Wenk, 1990). Additionally, temperature and fluid content can modify measured elastic wave velocities (e.g., Griffiths et al., 2018; Nur and Simmons, 1969). Rey et al. (1994) discuss the existence of transition zones in which the strain progressively increases towards the ductile shear zone core, causing gradual changes in the physical properties around such faults. The permeability across a mylonitic ductile shear zone was estimated from relationships between porosity and pore throat radius (Géraud et al., 1995). They show that permeability is reduced in the central shear zone core, but is higher in the surrounding strain gradient, which is higher than the host rock. Violay et al. (2017) performed triaxial deformation experiments in Westerly granite across the brittle-ductile transition with simultaneous measurements of porosity. The authors found that the deformation in the ductile regime is associated with compaction, while the brittle regime is primarily dilatant. For shear zones that have undergone the transition from ductile to brittle deformation a competing process between microfracture and mineral orientation controlled physical properties can be envisaged.

The shear zone selected for measurements of seismic velocities and permeability in this study preserve both ductile and overprinting brittle structures. The shear zone penetrated by the borehole at GTS is characterized by foliation aligned with the mylonitic core that developed under viscous flow deformation conditions (Wehrens et al., 2016). The foliation intensity is highest nearest the mylonitic core and decreases into the host granodiorite. The brittle fractures, which are bounded between the mylonitic cores, formed during a later brittle overprint. Figure 3 shows that the elastic and permeability transition into the mylonitic core is dissimilar to models derived from brittle fault zones (e.g., Caine et al., 1996; Faulkner et al., 2010), even though brittle deformation is evident in the damage zone. The measurements from GTS show a trend increasing velocities and stable to decreasing permeability in the plane parallel to foliation in the transition zone. In the direction perpendicular to foliation the velocities and permeability have minor fluctuation in the vicinity to the mylonitic core. The ductile strain gradient
in the transition zone does not appear to be influenced by the later stages of brittle deformation, as indicated by the increased seismic velocities and slightly decreasing permeability parallel to foliation towards the core in the transition zone. Should there be a brittle overprint, velocities would be expected to decrease due to microfracturing, however this is not the case (Birch, 1961; Siegesmund et al., 1991).

Instead, within the transition zone the both elastic and fluid flow properties are controlled by mineralogical changes in the rocks. Microfractures in thin section are scarce, thus most of the < 1% porosity are intergranular micropores. It is important to note that these changes are localized within ~1 m of the ductile mylonitic core. Since the material is bored from an underground research lab, alteration processes and weathering should be suppressed in such samples. The mineralogy of the samples shows a gradual change in composition, losing tectosilicates (Pl, Fsp, and Qz) and gaining phyllosilicates (Bt and Ms), through the transition zone (Figure 3). There is an increase in foliation intensity towards the mylonitic core. The faster foliation-parallel velocities are controlled by the alignment of the platy phyllosilicate minerals (Lloyd et al., 2011b, a). Higher foliation-parallel permeability compared to flow perpendicular, as measured in the GTS samples, has been measured in previous studies (e.g., Faulkner and Rutter, 1998; Leclère et al., 2015; Wibberley and Shimamoto, 2003; Uehara and Shimamoto, 2004). In low grade to ductile deformation changes in the mineralogy and foliation structure alters the connection of intergranular micropores of the platy phyllosilicate and tectosilicate minerals (e.g., Faulkner and Rutter, 1998; Leclère et al., 2015; Géraud et al., 1995). In this study the changes in mineralogy, most notably the phyllosilicate to tectosilicate ratio, is a driver in both the velocity and permeability anisotropy, where microcracks do not have a driving role due to their scarcity.

Outside the MCs, fractures along the borehole wall are uncommon, as indicated by optical televiewer images (Krietsch et al., 2017). Between the MCs the density of fractures is high enough to have been termed damage zone. Although some fractures penetrate the MCs, they are typically quartz-filled and generally do not connect the granodiorite on either side of the mylonitic core. In the damage zone itself, fluid flow properties and elasticity are governed by the micro and macroscopic fractures. In the damage zone the velocities are decreased and the permeability increases indicated by logging and pump tests in the borehole (Jalali et al., 2017). Since the microfracturing does not appear to have influence outside the MCs, displacement of these brittle features is likely small (Mitchell and Faulkner, 2012). Similar mapped faults in the region have cataclastic gouge or fault breccia (Belgrano et al., 2016), indicating that the fault at the GTS in not mature and has not accommodated much of the brittle displacement since the ductile structure is still preserved.

### 5.2 Ductile-brittle transition in the fault zone

The measurements at the GTS leads us to hypothesize how fault properties might vary not only in geometry, but also in the transient evolution of the fault itself. For the transition from a ductile to brittle fault system in crystalline rock, two end member behaviors can be envisaged, ductile and brittle. While the rock is undergoing ductile deformation and localizing along the mylonitic core shear zones the deformation processes would be accommodated by crystal-plastic flow. The highly strained and extreme recrystallization in the ultramylonite in the mylonitic core, along with the seritization of the plagioclase in the transition zone indicate that fluids were present and likely localized in the mylonitic core during deformation. However, once the deformation and ductile structures were frozen in, the ductile transition zone behaves in a manner where elasticity parallel...
to foliation increases transitioning from the host rock to the ductile core parallel to the foliation, and permeability decreases in the core. On the other hand in the brittle damage zone model, microfracturing induces permeability enhancement and weak elasticity nearest the fault core (e.g., Caine et al., 1996; Faulkner et al., 2010). This case study from the GTS is a hybrid between the two end member systems.

During the two ductile deformation phases slip was accommodated along localized foliated shear zones that are mylonitic and ultramylonitic (Challandes et al., 2008; Rolland et al., 2009; Belgrano et al., 2016; Wehrens et al., 2016, 2017). The transition from highly foliated and extremely recrystallized mylonitic cores towards the host granodiorite represents a strain gradient, which is ~0.5 m thick in this study (Figure 5a). The highest foliation intensity in the granodiorite nearest the mylonitic core also creates a change in bulk mineralogy (i.e., more phyllosilicates and less tectosilicates, Figure 3) and microstructure (i.e., more laminated, Figure 1d and 2), which alter the petrophysical properties that once ‘frozen in’ behave as those measured in the transition zone and mylonitic core in this study (i.e., higher seismic velocity parallel to foliation and lower permeability nearest the mylonitic core). Fluid flow channelization in ductile shear zones have been argued based on mobile elements (Ca, Mg, Na, and K) concentration, stable isotopes ($\delta^{18}O$), and fluid phase observations (Etheridge et al., 1983; Marquer and Burkhard, 1992). However, in this study and the study by Géraud et al. (1995) the lowest permeability measurements come from the mylonitic core. While the current measurements come from the ‘frozen in’ ductile microstructure, dynamic porosity changes might be occurring during deformation (e.g., Mancktelow et al., 1998), which could enhance the permeability in the mylonitic core. It is possible that the ductile shear zone would behave in such a way that the long term permeability of the shear zone is low, creating a pressure seal. Then during rupture, the seal releases pore pressure and causes short term permeability enhancement in the form of microfractures and micropores around grains. This is corroborated by fractures in the feldspar grains, while at semi-ductile conditions with quartz recrystallization.

The later stages of brittle deformation formed along the suitably oriented ductile shear zones resulting in the current fault zone geometry at the GTS shear zone (Figure 5b). The brittle deformation is bounded by the mylonitic cores. Due to the lack of damage outside these mylonitic cores this system is believed to be an ‘immature’ fault, with minimal brittle slip. Outside the mylonitic cores the properties are governed by the ‘frozen in’ ductile structures. Inside the mylonitic cores the properties are heterogeneously dispersed due to the macrofractures and their associated small scale microfractures, which reduce the seismic velocity. Recent borehole measurements from pump tests in the damage zone indicate that the transmissivity in the damage zone is ~$10^{-8}$ to $10^{-7}$ m$^2$/s, while the host granodiorite has a transmissivity of ~$10^{-13}$ to $10^{-12}$ m$^2$/s (Jalali et al., 2017).

Finally, in the Grimsel region there are more ‘mature’ brittle faults with a more pronounced damage zone and altered fault core composition (Wehrens et al., 2016; Belgrano et al., 2016). These mature brittle fault cores consist of gouges, cataclasites, and fault breccias in the middle of a fractured damage zone (Figure 5c). The properties are expected to behave similar to the fault zone model of Faulkner et al. (2010), where there is an inverse relationship between low seismic velocity (i.e., elasticity) and high permeability around the fault core arises due to the extensive microfracturing in the brittle damage zone. The fault core in such a brittle fault typically has lower permeability than the surroundings due to the clay minerals in the gouge, cataclasite, or fault breccia (e.g., Jefferies et al., 2006; Scholz, 1988; Leclère et al., 2015).
Figure 5. Conceptual model for the characteristics of faults in crystalline rock and their associated petrophysical properties during transition from ductile to brittle deformation conditions. a) Formation of ductile shear zones with increasing foliation in the transition zone (TZ) nearest the mylonitic core (MC), b) immature brittle fault with ductile transition zone preserved outside the MCs and the damage zone (DZ) between, and c) mature brittle fault and DZ, where the brittle features dominate the fluid flow and elastic properties near the brittle fault cores dominated by gouge (FC-G) and breccia/cataclasite (FC-B/C) (after Faulkner et al., 2010).

As rocks are exhumed and cooled, this system would transition from the ductile shear zone to a brittle damage zone. Thus, their mechanical properties and how fluids percolate through the entire shear zone would be highly dependent on the transient condition (depth or fault maturity) in which the fault occurs.

5.3 Implication for geothermal energy production and waste disposal

In crystalline rocks the elastic, mechanical, and fluid flow properties are important characteristics for successful exploitation of natural resources. Mechanically, bulk strain can localize in the fault zone and the mechanical properties can govern earthquake rupture and fracture propagation. In terms of fluid flow, fault zones can act as both fluid conduits and barriers (e.g., Caine et al., 1996). These can be significant in terms of building or releasing pore fluid pressures closely coupled to earthquake rupture (Sibson, 1990; Leclère et al., 2015). The elastic, mechanical, and fluid flow properties of fault zones are also directly linked to geothermal projects (Rowland and Sibson, 2004), as well as the security of long term waste storage (Barton et al., 1993; Hudson et al., 2011). With the technological advance of horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing the influence and interplay of mechanical and fluid flow anisotropy and heterogeneity are important when addressing stimulation in structurally complex environments (e.g., Smart et al., 2014; Busetti et al., 2014).
The case at GTS emphasizes the interplay between properties controlled by matrix mineral and fracture-controlled properties. The shear zone at GTS serves as a proxy structure expected in a geothermal reservoir. Understanding the orientation of subsurface foliation and proximity to shear zones can assist the efficiency of energy production. The interplay of matrix and fracture flow in such systems should be considered as an additional complexity. When considering the circulation of fluids, well placement in a geothermal injection/production system would need to address the geometry of subsurface heterogeneities. Present day hydrothermal fluids in the Grimsel region are flow in ‘pipe’-like channels (i.e., not uniform across the shear zone) (Belgrano et al., 2016). Mapping such structures in crystalline basement will prove to be a challenge for successful development of geothermal energy as a resource. Hydraulic stimulation is almost certainly required to enhance fluid flow in such crystalline systems. Mechanical anisotropy and heterogeneous pore geometries have been shown to have considerable influence on damage evolution and failure mode (e.g., Rawling et al., 2002; Baud et al., 2005; Griffiths et al., 2017). The importance of understanding the effect of mechanical discontinuities on hydraulic stimulation is shown with numerical models of damage propagation across mechanical layers (e.g., Smart et al., 2014). The heterogeneous and anisotropic elastic and fluid flow properties at GTS show that mechanical/elastic foliation heterogeneity must be determined, along with stress magnitude and orientation when planning the optimal borehole placement, trajectory, and stimulation design. The low permeability in the ductile mylonitic cores measured in this study suggest that there might be significant compartmentalization around such structures.

6 Conclusions

The shear zone at the GTS displays contrasting behavior in a single shear zone due to the fault evolution from ductile to brittle deformation. The ductile history is ‘frozen in’ outside the mylonitic cores and is characterized by a transition zone of increasing foliation intensity from the host granodiorite towards the mylonitic cores. In the transition zone, the seismic velocity of the foliation parallel samples increases towards the mylonitic core, while the velocity perpendicular to the foliation remains fairly constant. The permeability is also anisotropic and is lower in the samples nearest to and within the mylonitic core, suggesting that both permeability and seismic velocities in the transition zone are greatly influenced by the amount and texture of phyllosilicates in the rock mass. Recent brittle deformation is bounded between the foliated mylonitic cores, and constitutes macroscopic fractures and associated microfractures that rarely penetrate through the mylonitic cores.

The evolution of the system from the formation of the localized shear zones in the earliest observed ductile regime (ca. 21 Ma) and the current brittle regime follows three steps: 1) the localization of ductile deformation, 2) shearing along the rheological discontinuity causing higher foliation intensity in the granodiorite nearest to and mylonitization of the mylonitic core, and 3) subsequent brittle deformation along the foliated mylonitic cores. We hypothesize that the properties of this shear zone suggest that brittle deformation is ‘immature’ in the sense that the overprint has not effected the ductile transition zone.

Encountering such structures in geothermal reservoirs or waste disposal sites would prove to be challenging. The elastic, mechanical, and fluid flow heterogeneity caused by the mylonitic cores and their juxtaposition to a brittle damage zone would need to be considered for optimal engineering design of any reservoir usage system.
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