Estimations of soil fertility in physically degraded soils through selective accounting of fine earth
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Abstract

Soil fertility and organic carbon (C) stock estimations are crucial to soil management especially that of degraded soils, for productive agricultural use and in soil C sequestration studies. Currently, estimations based on generalized soil mass (hectare-furrow basis) or bulk density (BD) basis are used which may be suitable for normal agricultural soils but not for degraded soils. We measured soil organic C, available nitrogen (N), available phosphorus (P) and available potassium (K), and estimated stocks using three methods: (i) generalized soil mass (GSM, 2 million kg ha\(^{-1}\) furrow soil), ii) bulk density based soil mass (BDSM) and (iii) the proportion of fine earth volume (FEV) method, for soils sampled from physically degraded lands in Eastern Dry Zone of Karnataka State in India. Comparative analyses using these methods revealed that the soil organic C, N, P and K stocks determined by using BDSM were higher than those by GSM method. The soil organic C values were the lowest by the FEV method compared to the other two methods. The GSM method overestimated soil organic C, N, P and K by 9.3-72.1\%, 9.5-72.3\%, 7.1-66.6\% and 9.2-72.3 \%, respectively, compared to FEV based estimations for physically degraded soils. The differences among the three methods of determinations were lower in soils with low gravel content and increased with increase in gravel volume. There was overestimation of soil organic C and soil fertility with GSM and BDSM methods. A reassessment of methods of estimation was, therefore, attempted to provide fair estimates for land development projects in degraded lands.

1 Introduction

Precise soil-fertility and crop-nutrition assessments are important for sustainable productivity in agricultural lands, especially in soils with inherent low carbon or high degradation
relationships are crucial in estimating soil fertility (Hartemink, 2006) and for developing reclamation plans. In recent years, these relationships have been used in soil carbon (C) stock estimations to assess the sink-source potential of soils for atmospheric carbon dioxide (Lorenz and Lal, 2005) and responses from management under different climatic conditions (Zubrzycki et al., 2014; Srinivasarao et al., 2014; Lozano-Garcia and Parras-Alcantara, 2014; Parras-Alcantara et al., 2015, Kaleem Abbasi et al., 2015). The calculations are based on the soil organic C and nutrient concentrations assessed for a few grams of soil translated later to a ‘generalized soil mass’ (GSM) of 2 million t ha⁻¹ to a depth of 15 cm with an often assumed soil bulk density (BD) of 1330 kg m⁻³. This assumed GSM refers mostly to soils that generally have equal proportions of solids and void space, with negligible amount of gravel. In these estimations, therefore, importance is given to total mass instead of the actual soil mass (based on field BD) or the proportional volume of fine soil (without gravel portion). However, in physically degraded soils, gravel content is at least 15% of the total soil volume (Soil Survey Division Staff, 1993). Hence, void space occupied by gravel can hardly be ignored. High gravel content can affect the accuracy of soil fertility estimations in degraded soils if estimations are based only on GSM. However, given the importance of reclaiming degraded soils and exploiting them for agriculture or any other land use under climate change mitigation projects (Mishra et al., 2015), accurate estimation of soil fertility becomes important for location-specific nutrient applications and assessment of CO₂ sink-source potential (Hartemink, 2006). Precise quantitative assessments help land developers and farmers to select management plans best suited to available soil resources, as well as to get realistic responses from management (Karlen et al., 2003, Parras-Alcantara et al., 2015).
Estimations with GSM may not be realistic for all soils as BD values are not the same (Arvidsson, 1999; Hartemink, 2006). Alternatively, the use of undisturbed field BD values in nutrient estimations appears more pragmatic. An increase in gravel content, as seen in degraded soils, adds to the field BD values which can further overestimate soil fertility (Nagaraja and Srinivasamurthy, 2009). In reality, degraded soils have greater proportion of coarse fragments as the fine fractions are physically eroded. This increase in proportion of coarse fragments in soil reduces the volume of space effectively available for water and nutrient retentions, and also for plant root explorations (Nagaraja and Srinivasamurthy, 2009; Rao and Jessy, 2007; Grewal et al., 1984). In other words, the quantity of soil organic C and potentially available nutrients for plant uptake gets reduced with an increase in volume of coarse fragments. Therefore, soil organic C and nutrients are generally expected to decline with an increase in gravel content. This suggests that their estimations would be more realistic if it is based on the fine earth volume instead of a generalized soil mass or BD based estimations.

Eastern dry zones of Karnataka state in South India are considered as bioresource-deficient zones (Ramachandran et al., 2004). Almost 50% of rain is received in Kharif season (July-October). The soils are coarse textured with predominance of gravel. Management of soil fertility in the soils of the region is crucial to support good productivity under water stress which is prevalent during most parts of the year. Soil fertility estimations are crucial, therefore, to plan fertilizer inputs. Hypothetical estimations suggested that the GSM method would overestimate nutrient content for the degraded soils of this region and, therefore, the current practice of using this method needs to be modified. However, this needs validation with actual field data before deciding the methodology for nutrient estimations. Therefore, this study was undertaken, using field sampling of degraded soils from diverse landscapes in Eastern Dry Zone of Karnataka State.
in India, to evaluate the effect of GSM, BD and fine earth volume-based estimation methods for the assessment of soil C and nutrient stocks for these physically degraded soils. These estimations are crucial to land-use and land development programs most often implemented in resource deficient zones, like the one under reference, in other parts of the world.

2 Methods

2.1 Study area

The study area consisted of 18 sites in Eastern Dry Zone of Karnataka state in India, covering parts of Bangalore, Kolar and Tumkur districts (Fig. 1). The annual rainfall in the area ranges from 679 to 889 mm. The predominant soils of the region are red soils overlying granite from which they are formed, with texture from gravelly sandy loam to sandy clay loam (Soils of Karnataka, 1998). A preliminary survey was carried out initially in the entire Eastern Dry Zone. Available information was gathered from various secondary sources such as Departments of Statistics, Agriculture, and Forests to locate the existing physically degraded (eroded) lands in this soil region. Based on the existing secondary information, a physical survey was carried out later by traversing through the region to choose 18 different sites for soil sampling. The locations of the sampling sites are depicted in Figure 1. The exact sampling locations were fixed after giving regard to the visible features such as vegetation, magnitude of erosion and surface gravel content. Samples of agricultural and non-agricultural soils at the same sites were collected to include a wide range of gravel proportions.

2.2 Collection of soil samples for comparative analysis
Surface soil samples up to 15 cm depth were collected from lands exposed to different magnitudes of erosion. The samples were carried to laboratory to analyze the volumetric distribution of fine earth and coarse fragments (gravel) in the soil. The samples were air dried and separated into coarse fragments (> 2 mm) and fine earth (< 2mm) by sieving. These separates were weighed and the proportion of coarse fragments was derived on weight basis. The coarse fragments in the soils were of granite-gneiss origin. Coarse fragments retained on the sieve were washed with a jet of water and their respective volumes were determined by volumetric water displacement method (Jalota et al., 1998). Finally, the volume of coarse fragments was deducted from the bulk soil volume to assess the proportional volume of fine earth.

The undisturbed core method was used for determination of bulk density (Jalota et al., 1998). The SOC was determined using the wet combustion method (Mebius, 1960; Schumacher, 2002), and was then used in estimating SOC stocks for different mass-volume relationships. Available nitrogen (N) was determined according to Subbiah and Asija, 1956, phosphorus (P) was determined colorimetrically using a spectrophotometer (Olsen et al., 1954), and potassium (K) was determined following the method used by Hanway and Heidel (1952).

2.3 Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis of all parameters was done using SAS (2009; SAS Inc., Cary, NC, USA). All parameters were tested using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and separation of means subjected to Tukey’s honestly significant difference test (Steel and Torrie, 1960). Correlation analysis was conducted to identify relationships between the measured parameters. All tests were performed at 0.05 significance level.
3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Effect on soil organic C stock

A hypothetical depiction (Fig. 2a) shows the influence of gravel on soil organic C in degraded lands when 3 different soil mass-volume relationships namely, 2.0 million kg furrow soil (GSM; Scenario 1), BD based soil mass (BDSM, Scenario 2), and the proportion of fine earth volume (FEV, Scenario 3) were used. The soil organic C did not vary with gravel volume when a GSM of 2 million kg was used in the estimations. However, soil organic C estimations based on BD increased with gravel content. Contrastingly, estimations based on the fine earth volume showed a decline in soil organic C. The soil fertility values were also expected to exhibit similar trend as estimation methodology remains the same. Hypothetically, the soil organic C and fertility estimated values could be of the order Scenario 2 > Scenario 1 > Scenario 3.

Analyses based on field collected samples revealed a decline in soil organic C with increase in gravel per cent in all the three methods (Fig. 2b). The soil organic C stocks based on BD (Scenario 2) were found higher than the present GSM method of estimations (Scenario 1). However, the fine earth portion based soil organic C stocks (Scenario 3) remained lower than the other two estimations. The inverse relationships between the soil organic C stocks and the gravel content in field samples may be attributed to the loss of silt and clay during erosion (Lal, 1995; Rezai and Gilkes, 2005). The accumulation of gravel in the soil layer indirectly reflected the extent of loss of fine soil (Grewal et al., 1994; Lal, 1995). The magnitude of differences among the three estimates was found to be the least in soils with low gravel content, and it increased with increase in gravel volume.
In case of hypothetical estimates, the present method of soil organic C stock estimations (GSM, Scenario 1) remained the same at different gravel volumes. Contrastingly, with field samples it declined with increase in gravel content. This is due to the fact that the GSM of 2 million kg and soil organic C of 0.5% were used in hypothetical estimations, whereas the soil organic C content declined with gravel volume in field conditions. In case of BD based estimations (BDSM, Scenario 2), the hypothetical soil organic C stock values increased with gravel content, but in contrast it decreased in the field soil samples. The increased soil mass due to increase in BD values with fixed soil organic C content (Grewal et al., 1984) enhanced the hypothetical soil organic C stocks, while the decrease in soil organic C content in the field samples resulted in their reduction. The soil organic C estimations based on the fine earth proportion declined in both hypothetical (Fig. 2a) and field scenarios (Fig. 2b). This may be attributed to the fact that the fine earth portion will get reduced proportionately with the gravel volume (Nagraja and Srinivasamurthy, 2009; Grewal et al., 1984). Thus, both the hypothetical and the field observations on soil organic C stocks remained the same in the order of Scenario 2 > Scenario 1 > Scenario 3.

3.2 Extent of soil organic C variation

The magnitude of deviations of soil organic C values in alternate estimation methods (BDSM and FEV) from GSM were computed for both hypothetical (Fig. 2a) and field observed (Figure 2b) values. Per cent deviations of the field sample observed soil organic C stocks were calculated separately for both BDSM (Scenario 2) and FEV (Scenario 3) estimations (Fig. 3). The per cent deviation values (Fig. 3) revealed a considerable matching of the predicted and the
observed values. This indicated that the observed differences between the GSM and the other methods in the field estimations can be correlated with those of the hypothetical projections.

Comparative analysis of three different methods revealed that the soil organic C derived using BD (Scenario 2) was found to be higher than the GSM (Scenario 1). The accumulation of gravel in the furrow soil volume could add to the soil mass and BD values. Contrastingly, the soil organic C values were low in the FEV method compared to the other Scenarios. The differences among three estimates were found low in soils with lower gravel levels and they increased with increase in the gravel content. Regression lines developed for three estimates indicated that the differences increased with increase in gravel content. Interestingly, the soil organic C estimates based on the FEV recorded higher $R^2$ values while the BDSM estimations recorded the least. These observations suggested that the mass of the ‘soil’ in the furrow layer is most critical in fertility estimation.

3.3 Effect on available nutrients

Similar observations were recorded for available nutrients (N, P$_2$O$_5$ and K$_2$O) (Fig. 4). The available N (Fig. 4a), P$_2$O$_5$ (Fig. 4b), and K$_2$O (Fig. 4c) revealed the same trends. The explanation for these available nutrient relationships to method of estimation (GSM, BDSM and FEV) could be traced to soil organic C trends. The available N, P$_2$O$_5$ and K$_2$O stocks derived using BDSM (Scenario 2) were found to be higher than the GSM method (Scenario 1). The effects were due to the accumulation of gravel in the furrow soil volume, and adding to soil mass and BD values. The available N, P$_2$O$_5$ and K$_2$O values were least in FEV compared to other two methods. The differences, as in soil organic C, were found to increase with increase in gravel content. Increase in significance (GSM<BDM<FEV) for these comparisons indicated the
impacts it might have on our evaluations based on the method of determination. As the stones do not allow roots to grow and do not possess nutrient retention abilities, the nutrient estimations based on the GSM or BDSM would only lead to overestimations of nutrients.

4 Conclusions

Our study indicates that the conventional methodology of using generalized soil mass (GSM) or bulk density based soil mass (BDSM) in degraded soils would result in overestimation of soil nutrients. These observations indicate that consideration of fine earth volume in the bulk soil could be an important step in nutrient estimation, especially for physically degraded soils with high gravel content. Selective accounting of fine earth portion is more applicable to both moderately (15-35% gravel v/v) and gravely strong (35-85% gravel v/v) soils. The generalized soil mass (GSM) based estimations could be well applicable for soils low in gravel. The extent of variations in the three methods of estimation was low when gravel content is low. However, the magnitude of variations among three estimation methods increases with increase in gravel content as in degraded soils. Thus, selective accounting of fine earth portion in the bulk can be adopted for realistic fertility estimations in degraded soils.
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Figure Captions:

**Figure 1.** The location of sampling sites and study area.

**Figure 2.** Soil Organic-C stocks in relation to different proportions of volumetric gravel content under, a) hypothetical calculations using generalized soil mass of 2.0 million kg furrow soil with 0.5% soil organic carbon, and b) observations using field soil samples. GSM = Generalized soil mass of 2.0 x 10^6 kg furrow soil, BDSM = Bulk density based soil mass, FEV = Fine Earth Volume. Figure 2a is adapted from Nagaraja and Srinivasamurthy, 2009.

**Figure 3.** Deviation of the observed SOC values from the estimated values in different methods of soil fertility estimation, where a) Based on BDSM (Bulk density based soil mass, Scenario 2), and b) Based on FEV (Fine earth volume, Scenario 3).

**Figure 4.** Soil available N, P$_2$O$_5$, and K$_2$O in relation to gravel content with GSM (Generalized soil mass), BDSM (Bulk density based soil mass), and FEV (Fine Earth Volume) based.
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Eastern Dry Zone
Figure 2

(a) Relationship between SOC stock (t ha⁻¹ FS) and gravel (% v/v) for GSM, BDSM, and FEV. The linear regression results are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residual Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GSM</td>
<td>118.404</td>
<td>123.42916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDSM</td>
<td>121.344</td>
<td>123.42916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV</td>
<td>121.344</td>
<td>123.42916</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pearson's r: -0.52, -0.20542, -0.72977
Adj. R-Square: 0.24775, 0.0113, 0.51749

(b) Detailed scatter plots for each soil type with fitted regression lines.
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- Available N (kg ha⁻¹)
  - GSM (R² = 0.28)
  - BDSM (R² = 0.58)
  - FEV (R² = 0.76)

- Available P₂O₅ (kg ha⁻¹)
  - BDSM (R² = 0.20)
  - GSM (R² = 0.49)
  - FEV (R² = 0.70)

- Available K₂O (kg ha⁻¹)
  - BDSM (R² = 0.33)
  - GSM (R² = 0.66)
  - FEV (R² = 0.82)

Gravel (%)