Experimental study on the electrical conductivity of quartz andesite at high temperature and high pressure: evidence of grain boundary transport
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ABSTRACT In this study, the electrical conductivity of quartz andesite was in situ measured under conditions of 0.5−2.0 GPa and 723−973 K using a YJ-3000t multi-anvil press and a Solartron-1260 Impedance/Gain-phase Analyzer. Experimental results indicate that grain interior transport controls the higher frequencies (10^2−10^6 Hz), whereas the grain boundary process dominates the lower frequencies (10^1−10^2 Hz). For a given pressure and temperature range, the relationship between log σ and 1/T follows the Arrhenius relation. As temperature increased, both the grain boundary and grain interior conductivities of quartz andesite increased; however, with increasing pressure, both the grain boundary and grain interior conductivities of the sample decreased. By the virtue of the dependence of grain boundary conductivity on pressure, the activation enthalpy and the activation volume were calculated to be 0.87−0.92 eV and 0.56±0.52 cm^3/mol, respectively. The small polaron conduction mechanism for grain interior process and the ion conduction mechanism for grain boundary process are also discussed.
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1. Introduction

Studies of the electrical conductivity of rocks at high temperatures and high pressures have found that similar to temperature and pressure, grain boundary greatly affecting the electrical properties of rocks. Grain boundary, a general property of rocks, is therefore receiving increasing attention from researchers. Peridotite is the most important rock in the upper mantle, and the influence of grain boundary on its electrical conductivity has been studied in detail (Tyburczy and Roberts, 1990; Roberts and Tyburczy, 1991, 1993, 1994; Xu et al., 1998, 2000; ten Grotenhuis et al., 2004; Watson et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2010). However, the relation between the total conductivity, grain boundary and grain interior conductivity for andesite remains unclear till now.

Andesite is representative calcium alkaline neutral lava that forms in plate subduction settings, and is thus widely distributed in the orogenic belts bordering the Pacific Ocean. Extensive studies of its electrical conductivity have achieved notable results. Waff and Weill (1975) measured the electrical conductivities of andesite of varying components (Na$_2$O: 4.96–7.83 wt.%; FeO: 4.99–13.7 wt.%) using a direct current (DC) method at room pressure and different oxygen partial pressures of CO$_2$ and H$_2$. They found that increasing alkali ion content significantly increased the electrical conductivity of andesite whereas oxygen fugacity and iron content had little effect (Waff and Weill, 1975). Tyburczy and Waff (1983) employed the alternating current (AC) at pressures of 0–2.55 GPa and temperatures of 1473–1673 K to observe the electrical conductivity of andesite melt from Crater Lake. By combining the electrical conductivity data from andesite melt and tholeiite to model the high conductivity zone, they concluded that the electrical conductivity of andesite melts increases with the rise of pressure, and that a minimum melt fraction of 5–10 % can account for the anomalously high electrical conductivity of the upper mantle in typical andesite regions (Tyburczy and Waff, 1983). More recently, Laumonier et al. (2015) measured the electrical conductivity of dacitic melts with H$_2$O contents up to 12 wt.% at pressures of 0.15–3.0 GPa and temperatures of 673–1573 K, and demonstrated that
the electrical conductivity is strongly dependent on the water content. Likewise, the influence of pressure on the activation enthalpy is strongly correlated with the sample’s water content. By means of T-P-H\textsubscript{2}O model, crustal and mantle wedge conductive bodies have been interpreted by the presence of silica-rich, hydrous, partially crystallized magma (Laumonier et al., 2015). However, previous studies mainly focused on the grain interior conductivity of andesite rather than the effect of grain boundary conductivity.

In this study, the grain boundary electrical conductivity of quartz andesite was measured at pressures of 0.5–2.0 GPa and temperatures of 723–973 K within the frequency range of $10^{-1}$ to $10^{6}$ Hz. The characteristic parameters of the electrical conductivity of quartz andesite acquired here include the activation enthalpy and the activation volume. These parameters allow discuss of the relationship between the contributions from the grain interior and grain boundary conductivity, and the total conductivity. The conduction mechanism was also discussed.

2. Experimental Procedure

2.1 Sample preparation

Quartz andesite was collected from Shizhu Town, Yongkang City, Zhejiang Province, China. The samples were fresh and unaltered, and appeared pale-yellow in color. According to observation under the optical microscope, the quartz andesite mainly consists of fine-grained plagioclase, amphibole, quartz, and feldspathic matrix, without any accessory mineral.

Before experiment, the samples were cut into cylinders of 6 mm diameter and 6 mm height, and cleaned ultrasonically using deionized water, acetone, and ethanol in turn. Finally, they were placed in an oven at 323 K for 24 h. The chemical composition and mineralogical proportion of the sample (Table 1) were analyzed by X-ray fluorescence spectrometer (XRF) and electron microprobe analysis (EPMA) at the State Key Laboratory of Ore Deposit Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang, China.
2.2 High-pressure conductivity cell and impedance measurements

The electrical conductivity in situ measurements at high pressures and high temperatures were performed in a YJ-3000t multi-anvil apparatus and a Solartron-1260 Impedance/Gain-phase Analyzer at the Key Laboratory of High-Temperature and High-Pressure Study of the Earth’s Interior, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang.

The equipment and experimental process are described in detail by Dai et al. (2012) and Hu et al. (2014). A diagram of the cross-section of high-pressure cell assembly is shown in Figure 1. In order to avoid the effect of dehydration on the impedance spectroscopy measurement, a pyrophyllite (32.5 × 32.5 × 32.5 mm) pressure-transmitting medium was heated at 1173 K for 12 h in a muffle furnace. The heater was composed of three-layer stainless steel sheets (total thickness: 0.5 mm) in the shape of a tube. Similar to previous studies (Dai et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2014), an alumina and magnesia sleeve were used to ensure that the sample was in a relatively insulated environment. A grounded 0.025-mm-thick nickel foil located in the middle of the alumina and magnesia sleeve shielded against external electromagnetic and spurious signal interference. The electrodes were composed of two nickel disks (0.5 mm in thickness and 6 mm in diameter). Temperature was monitored using a NiCr-NiAl thermocouple in contact with the middle of the sample.

During the experiment, Solartron-1260 Impedance/Gain-phase Analyzer was adopted to collect the impedance spectroscopy with a signal voltage of 1 V and frequency range of $10^{-1}–10^{6}$ Hz. To explore the influence of pressure on electrical conductivity, electrical conductivity was conducted in the pressure range of 0.5–2.0 GPa. With pressure increased at 1.0 GPa/h to each designated pressure, sample was then heated at 100 K/h, and the complex impedance of quartz andesite was measured at temperature intervals of 50 K. To obtain credible data, the temperature was stabilized for several minutes at each step before measurement. Experimental errors in
the temperature and pressure gradients during each measurement were no more than
±10 K and ±0.1 GPa, respectively. The obtained impedance spectra were fitted by an
equivalent circuit made of a series of $R_1$-$C_1$ and $R_2$-$C_2$-$W$ ($R_1$ and $C_1$ correspond
respectively to the resistance and capacitance of grain interior conduction process, and
$R_2$, $C_2$, and $W$ correspond respectively to the resistance, capacitance, and Warburg
element of grain boundary conduction process.

3. Experimental Results

In this study, the Nyquist and Bode plots, respectively, for the complex
impedance of typical quartz andesite were obtained under conditions of 1.0 GPa,
723−973 K and $10^{-1}−10^6$ Hz (Figures 2 and 3). Similar results were also obtained
under different pressures. The presence of different relaxation time constant led to the
appearance in the Nyquist plot of both a semicircular arc and a 45° slope in the
complex impedance plane at the given frequency range. The first semicircle
impedance arc ($10^2−10^6$ Hz) represents the grain interior conduction mechanism; it
crosses the origin, and its center lies on the real axis. The 45° slope in the complex
impedance plane at the end of the first semicircle ($10^{-1}−10^2$ Hz) represents grain
boundary diffusion. With the rise of temperature, the diameter of impedance arc and
value of impedance decreased rapidly; hence, the electrical conductivity increased.
The Bode plot (Figure 3) reflects the dependence of modulus ($|Z|$) and phase angle ($\theta$)
on frequency. From high to low frequency, the impedance modulus increased rapidly,
and the absolute value of the phase angle tended toward zero. Impedance
spectroscopy theory (Nover et al., 1992; Huebner and Dillenburg 1995; Huang et al.,
2005) relates the real part ($Z'$), imaginary part($Z''$), modulus ($|Z|$) and phase angle ($\theta$)
as follows: $Z' = |Z| \cos \theta$ and $Z'' = |Z| \sin \theta$. According to previous studies (Dai
and Karato, 2014a, b), the resistance of the grain interior can be determined by
modeling the electrical response with equivalent circuit of resistance and capacitance
(R_jC_j). However, the impedance at low frequency is not a wholly semicircular. By
combing the 45° of slope in the complex impedance at low frequency, a Warburg
element was adopted to fit the grain boundary resistance. The equivalent circuit is
shown in Figure 2. Another equivalent circuit composed of a resistor and capacitor in
parallel was used simultaneously to fit the total resistance. Furthermore, the grain
interior, grain boundary and total electrical conductivity are in accordance with the
following expression:
\[ \sigma = \frac{L}{SR} \]  
(1)
where \( L \) is the sample length (m), \( S \) is the cross-sectional area of the electrode (m\(^2\)),
and \( R \) is the resistance for the given conduction process (Ω).

Figures 2–3

At pressures of 0.5–2.0 GPa and temperatures of 723–973 K, the relationship
between the electrical conductivity (\( \sigma \)) of the quartz andesite and reciprocal
temperature (1/\( T \)) was fitted using the Arrhenius relation:
\[ \sigma = \sigma_0 \exp(-\Delta H / kT) \]  
(2)
where \( \sigma_0 \) is the pre-exponential factor (S/m), \( \Delta H \) is the activation enthalpy (eV), \( k \) is
the Boltzmann constant, and \( T \) is the absolute temperature (K). The relationship
between activation energy \( \Delta U \) (eV), pressure \( P \) (GPa) and activation volume \( \Delta V \)
(cm\(^3\)/mol) is expressed as:
\[ \Delta H = \Delta U + P \times \Delta V \]  
(3)

The grain interior, grain boundary, and total conductivity at different pressures
and temperatures are plotted against reciprocal temperature in Figures 4–6. Figures 4
and 5 show the plots for grain interior and grain boundary conductivity, respectively.
The relationship between grain interior, grain boundary and total conductivity at 1.0
GPa is shown in detail in Figure 6, and the value of electrical conductivity under 1.0
GPa is summarized in Table 2. Similar results were obtained under 0.5–2.0 GPa. The
ratio of grain boundary (\( \sigma_{gb} \)) to grain interior (\( \sigma_{gi} \)) conductivity represents their
respective contributions to total conductivity; it varies with temperature and pressure, and is plotted in the range 0.5–2.0 GPa in Figure 7. Fitting parameters of the grain interior and grain boundary conductivity are listed in Table 3.

4. Discussions

In the present work, the grain interior ($\sigma_{gi}$), grain boundary ($\sigma_{gb}$) and total electrical conductivity ($\sigma_t$) of quartz andesite were in situ measured at the pressures of 0.5–2.0 GPa and temperatures of 723–973 K. With the rise of pressure, the grain boundary conductivity decreases, while the activation enthalpy and pre-exponential factor increase (Figure 4 and Table 3). From Figure 6, it is clear that the grain boundary conductivity was higher than either the grain interior or total conductivity, and the total conductivity was lower than the grain interior conductivity.

The activation energy and activation volume for grain boundary conduction process under the experimental conditions were 0.90±0.10 eV and 0.56±0.52 cm$^3$/mol, respectively. The ratio of grain boundary to grain interior conductivity ($\sigma_{gb}/\sigma_{gi}$) at 0.5–2.0 GPa (Figure 7) gradually decreased with increasing temperature and pressure; and thus the contribution of grain boundary conductivity to the total conductivity of quartz andesite continually decreased with increasing temperature and pressure. Dai et al. (2008) presented a functional model of the variation of grain boundary conductivity with pressure in which the grain boundary conductivity of peridotite varies with the width of grain boundary, as follows:

$$\sigma_{gb\text{-micro}} = \sigma_{gb\text{-bulk}} \left( \frac{d}{D} \right)$$

where $\sigma_{gb\text{-micro}}$ is the microscopic grain boundary conductivity (S/m), $\sigma_{gb\text{-bulk}}$ is the bulk grain boundary conductivity (S/m), $d$ is the grain boundary width ($\mu$m), and $D$ is the grain size ($\mu$m). According to equation (4), the diffusivity of cements between feldspar and amphibole in the quartz andesite increased with the rise of pressure, reducing the grain boundary width along the direction of current transmission and
decreasing the grain conductivity accordingly. These results are consistent with those of ten Grotenhuis et al. (2004) and Dai et al. (2008) on the effect of pressure on the grain boundary electrical conductivity of peridotite.

Figure 5 shows that the grain interior conductivity of the quartz andesite decreased with increasing pressure; the activation enthalpy and pre-exponential factor increased accordingly. The variation of grain interior conductivity with pressure observed here is similar to previous studies which were concentrated on the effect of partially molten andesite (Waff and Weill, 1975; Tyburczy and Waff, 1983; Laumonier et al., 2015) (Figure 8). The activation enthalpy (0.81–1.05 eV) and activation volume (4.96±0.52 cm³/mol) of quartz andesite are within the same range as results for andesite (0.78–1.17 eV and 3.25–17.9 cm³/mol, respectively) from Crater Lake (Tyburczy and Waff, 1983), and are also similar to those of dacitic melts (0.69–1.0 eV and 3.9–24.7 cm³/mol, respectively) measured by Laumonier et al. (2015). However, discrepancies in pressure, temperature, melting conditions, and chemical composition of the samples are the important factors that might have led to 1–2 orders of magnitudes lower found here, compared with previous studies.

On the other hand, the logarithmic conductivity (Log σ) and reciprocal temperature (T⁻¹) show a strong linear relationship (>99%). On the base of the result, including FeO=5.02 wt.% in the quartz andesite (Table 1), ΔH=0.81–1.05 eV and ΔV=4.96±0.52 cm³/mol (Table 3), it imply that there is only one single dominant conduction mechanism for quartz andesite. Numerous studies have reported similar results, indicating that the conduction mechanism is the small polaron (Xu et al., 1998; Scarlato et al., 2004; Dai et al., 2008; Yang and Heidelbach, 2011). We consider that the conduction mechanism of grain interior conduction process is the small polaron conduction. The hopping process can be described as follows:

\[
Fe^{x}_{Mg} + h^\ast \rightleftharpoons Fe^{\ast}_{Mg}
\]

In ferromagnesian silicate, the presence of Fe³⁺ Mg generating an extra positive charge which repulses cations causing the lattice deformation is small polaron (Dai et
The small polaron is an important conduction mechanism at low temperature; it is characterized by the transfer of an electron hole (h\(^{-}\)) from Fe\(^{3+}\) to Fe\(^{2+}\) (Schmidbauer et al., 2000; Huang et al., 2005; Poe et al., 2008; Dai et al., 2014, 2015). In light of the above mentioned results, the low energy barrier for the transmission process resulted in the low activation enthalpy of quartz andesite. Two further factors, oxygen fugacity and iron content, also affect the small polaron conduction of quartz andesite. The proportion of ferric iron in the total iron (Fe\(^{3+}/\Sigma\)Fe) increases with increasing oxygen fugacity; with the rise of iron content, the charge carrier concentration also increases. However, the effects of oxygen fugacity and iron content on the grain interior conductivity of quartz andesite require further research.

As mentioned above, a Warburg element was adopted to fit the grain boundary resistance; and it indicates that the grain boundary conduction process occurred via ion diffusion. A large quantity of alkali ions are contained in the quartz andesite (Na\(_2\)O: 4.98 wt.%; K\(_2\)O: 4.16 wt.%), and requires only a low activation energy (Hu et al., 2014). Combing the activation energy of grain boundary conduction process (0.87–0.92 eV), we conclude that the grain boundary conduction mechanism for quartz andesite is the ion conduction. However, the effects of alkali iron content on the grain boundary conductivity of quartz andesite require further research.

5. Conclusions

At pressures of 0.5–2.0 GPa and temperatures of 723–973 K, and within the frequency 10\(^{-1}\)–10\(^{6}\) Hz, the grain boundary conductivity of quartz andesite ranged from 10\(^{-4.2}\)–10\(^{-2.2}\) S/m; the activation enthalpy and activation volume were 0.87–0.92 eV and 0.56±0.52 cm\(^3\)/mol, respectively. The grain boundary conductivity varied greatly with pressure, temperature. Its effect on the total conductivity increased with the rise of temperature. The grain boundary conductivity tended to decrease with increasing pressure. At 0.5–2.0 GPa, the total conductivity of quartz andesite is slightly lower than grain interior conductivity due to the presence of grain boundary. These obtained physical parameters, combined with data on the chemical and mineralogical composition of the andesite, suggest that the conduction mechanism for...
grain interior of quartz andesite is the small polaron conduction, and for grain boundary is the ion conduction.
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Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Experimental setup of electrical conductivity measurements

Fig. 2. Nyquist plot of the complex impedance of quartz andesite under conditions of 1.0 GPa and 723–973 K

Fig. 3. Bode plot of dependence of modulus and phase angle on frequency quartz andesite under conditions of 1.0 GPa and 723–973 K

Fig. 4. The relationship of the logarithmic grain boundary conductivity vs. reciprocal temperature under conditions of 0.5–2.0 GPa and 723–973 K

Fig. 5. The relationship of the logarithmic grain interior conductivity vs. reciprocal temperature under conditions of 0.5–2.0 GPa and 723–973 K

Fig. 6. The relationship of grain interior, grain boundary and total conductivity under conditions of 1.0 GPa and 723–973 K

Fig. 7. Grain boundary/grain interior conductivity ($\sigma_{gb}/\sigma_{gi}$) versus reciprocal temperature ($1/T$) under conditions of 1.0 GPa and 723-973 K. The ratio represents the leading role of grain boundary or grain interior conductivity in the conduction process.

Fig. 8. A comparison of grain interior conductivity of quartz andesite with previous studies
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The graph shows the relationship between \( \log \sigma_{gi} \) (S/m) and \( 10^4 / T (K^{-1}) \) for different pressures: 0.5 GPa (squares), 1.0 GPa (triangles), 1.5 GPa (circles), and 2.0 GPa (downward-pointing triangles). The data indicates a negative correlation between the electric conductivity and the reciprocal temperature at various pressures.
Figure 8
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log $\sigma$ (S/m) vs. $10^4 / T$ (K$^{-1}$)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxides</th>
<th>XRF for whole rock (wt.%)</th>
<th>EPMA for Anorthoclase (wt.%)</th>
<th>EPMA for Albite (wt.%)</th>
<th>EPMA for Amphibole (wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>66.47</td>
<td>59.56</td>
<td>65.25</td>
<td>52.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>13.57</td>
<td>26.20</td>
<td>19.75</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>15.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>12.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>6.99</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>11.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO$_2$</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.O.I</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.55</td>
<td>99.26</td>
<td>98.73</td>
<td>96.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. The value of grain interior, grain boundary and total electrical conductivity under 1.0 GPa and 723–973 K. The estimate error for grain interior conductivity is lower than 5%, for grain boundary conductivity is lower than 7%, and for total conductivity is lower than 5%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Log $\sigma_{gi}$ (S/m)</th>
<th>Log $\sigma_{gb}$ (S/m)</th>
<th>Log $\sigma_t$ (S/m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>723 K</td>
<td>-3.62</td>
<td>-3.88</td>
<td>-3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>773 K</td>
<td>-3.21</td>
<td>-3.52</td>
<td>-3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>823 K</td>
<td>-2.83</td>
<td>-3.22</td>
<td>-2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>873 K</td>
<td>-2.50</td>
<td>-2.83</td>
<td>-2.539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>923 K</td>
<td>-2.21</td>
<td>-2.61</td>
<td>-2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>973 K</td>
<td>-1.95</td>
<td>-2.29</td>
<td>-1.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Fitted parameters for the grain interior and grain boundary conductivity of quartz andesite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$T$ (K)</th>
<th>$\log \sigma_0$ (S/m)</th>
<th>$\Delta H$ (eV)</th>
<th>$^2$</th>
<th>$\Delta U$ (eV)</th>
<th>$\Delta V$ (cm$^3$/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>723-973</td>
<td>2.36±0.13</td>
<td>0.87±0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td>99.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>723-973</td>
<td>2.24±0.13</td>
<td>0.88±0.02</td>
<td>99.70</td>
<td>0.90±0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>boundary</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>723-973</td>
<td>2.30±0.11</td>
<td>0.92±0.02</td>
<td>99.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>723-973</td>
<td>1.94±0.12</td>
<td>0.89±0.02</td>
<td>99.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>723-973</td>
<td>1.63±0.03</td>
<td>0.81±0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td>99.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>723-973</td>
<td>1.90±0.02</td>
<td>0.93±0.01</td>
<td>99.99</td>
<td>0.76±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interior</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>723-973</td>
<td>2.41±0.01</td>
<td>1.05±0.02</td>
<td>99.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>723-973</td>
<td>2.03±0.01</td>
<td>1.02±0.03</td>
<td>99.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>