

1 **Changes in soil organic carbon and nitrogen capacities of**
2 ***Salix cheilophila* Schneid. along a revegetation**
3 **chronosequence in semi-arid degraded sandy land of the**
4 **Gonghe Basin, Tibet Plateau**

5
6 **Y. Yu ^{1,*} and Q. Z. Jia ^{1,2}**

7 [1]{Institute of Desertification Studies, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Beijing, China}

8 [2]{Qinghai Gonghe Desert Ecosystem Research Station, Shazhuyu Town, Gonghe County,
9 Qinghai Province, China}

10 [*]{now at: Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
11 Beijing, China}

12 Correspondence to: Y. Yu (theodoreyy@163.com) and Q. Z. Jia (Jiazq@caf.ac.cn)

13

14 **Abstract**

15 The Gonghe Basin is a sandified and desertified region of China, but the distribution of soil
16 organic carbon (SOC) and total nitrogen (TN) along the cultivation chronosequence across
17 this ecologically fragile region is not well understood. This study was carried out to
18 understand the effects of afforestation with *Salix cheilophila* for different periods of time (6,
19 11, 16, 21 years) to test whether it enhanced C and N storage. Soil samples, in four
20 replications from seven depth increments (every 10 cm from 0 to 30 cm, every 20 cm from 30
21 to 50 cm and every 50 cm from 50 to 200 cm), were collected in each stand. Soil bulk density,
22 SOC, TN, aboveground biomass and root biomass were measured. Results indicated that
23 changes occurred in both the upper and deeper soil layers with an increase in revegetation
24 time. The 0–200-cm soil showed that the 6–year stand gained 3.89 Mg C ha⁻¹ and 1.00 Mg N
25 ha⁻¹, which accounted for 40.82% of the original SOC and 11.06% of the TN of the 0-year

1 stand. The 11-year stand gained 7.82 Mg C ha⁻¹ and 1.98 Mg N ha⁻¹ in the 0–200 cm soil
2 layers, accounting for 58.06% of the SOC and 19.80% of the TN of the 0-year stand. The
3 16-year stand gained 11.32 Mg C ha⁻¹ and 3.30 Mg N ha⁻¹ in the 0–200 cm soil layers,
4 accounting for 66.71% of the SOC and 21.98% of the TN of the 0-year stand. The 21-year
5 stand gained 13.05 Mg C ha⁻¹ and 5.45 Mg N ha⁻¹ from the same soil depth, accounting for
6 69.79% of the SOC and 40.47% of the TN compared with the 0-year stand. The extent of
7 these changes depended on soil depth and plantation age. The results demonstrated that as
8 stand age increased, the storage of SOC and TN increased. These results further indicated that
9 afforestation with *S. cheilophila* has positive impacts on the Gonghe Basin and has increased
10 the capacity of SOC sequestration and N storage. Shrub's role as carbon sink is compatible
11 with system's management and persistence. The findings are significant for assessing C and N
12 sequestration accurately in semi-arid degraded high-cold sandy regions in the future.

13

14 1 Introduction

15 Arid and semi-arid regions cover ~30% of the terrestrial land around the globe and
16 desertification affects over 250 million people (Lal, 2001; Reynolds et al., 2007; Lal, 2009;
17 Allington and Valone, 2010). In the largest developing country, China, the most typical and
18 serious form of land degradation is desertification. China is the country with the largest area
19 of desertified or sandified lands in the world. According to statistics, China has a total
20 desertified land area of 26.237×10⁵ km² covering 27.33% of the national territory and a total
21 sandified land area of 17.311×10⁵ km² covering 18.03% of the national territory and which
22 are under threat of land degradation by the end of 2009 (State Forestry Administration, 2011).
23 Desertification is the degradation of land in arid, semi-arid and sub-humid dry areas resulting
24 from various factors, including climatic variations and human activities (UNEP, 1994). It
25 results in soil degradation and severe decreases in land potential productivity. With the
26 exception of land degradation, desertification promotes atmospheric emission of soil C and N
27 as greenhouse gas (Breuer et al., 2006). Measures such as artificial reforestation and grass
28 plantation have worked to improve the ecological benefits of sandstorm control to reduce the
29 damage from sandstorms. Revegetation of degraded land is a major global issue, which has

Artemi Cerdá 14/8/14 15.43

Comentario [1]: You need some citations to show this to the reader

See here some examples

Z. Liu, Z. Yao, H. Huang, S. Wu and G. Liu- 2014. **LAND USE AND CLIMATE CHANGES AND THEIR IMPACTS ON RUNOFF IN THE YARLUNG ZANGBO RIVER BASIN, CHINA. Land Degradation and Development, 25, 203–215.** DOI: 10.1002/ldr.1159

. H.J. König, L. Zhen, K. Helming, S. Uthes, L. Yang, X. Cao and H. Wiggering 2014. **ASSESSING THE IMPACT OF THE SLOPING LAND CONVERSION PROGRAMME ON RURAL SUSTAINABILITY IN GUYUAN, WESTERN CHINA . Land Degradation and Development, 25, 385–396.** DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2164

Zhao, G., Mu, X., Wen, Z., Wang, F., and Gao, P. Soil erosion, conservation, and

Eco-environment changes in the Loess Plateau of China. *Land Degradation & Development*, 24: 499- 510. 2013. DOI 10.1002/ldr.2246

X. H. Li, J. Yang, C. Y Zhao and B. Wang 2014 **RUNOFF AND SEDIMENT FROM ORCHARD TERRACES IN SOUTHEASTERN CHINA. Land Degradation and Development, 25, 184–192.** DOI: 10.1002/ldr.1160

Wang, T., Yan, C. Z., Song, X., Li, S. 2013. Landsat images reveal trends in the aeolian Desertification in a source area for sand and dust storms in China's Alashan plateau (1975–2007). *Land Degradation & Development*, 24: 422- 429. DOI 10.1002/ldr.1138

1 been shown to improve and restore some of the ecosystem services both of the physical and
2 biological processes. It has been widely recognized that revegetation is an effective measure
3 for soil and water conservation, increasing C and N storages and improving land productivity
4 (Grünzweig et al., 2003; Cao et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2008; Lal, 2009; Cao et al., 2011; Li et
5 al., 2012). In desertified areas of northwest China, establishing artificial vegetation and bans
6 on grazing are commonly adopted measures for combating desertification and restoring
7 vegetation. It not only resists the spread of desertification but also restores ecosystem
8 processes that could potentially yield significant gains in nutrients storage (Zhao et al., 2007;
9 Huang et al., 2012). Therefore, land use and management practices to sequester soil organic
10 carbon (SOC), including afforestation and revegetation, are the driving forces that could
11 determine the transition of desertification regions from a C source to a C sink or vice versa.
12 For this reason, the effects of revegetation on soil C and N contents in degraded land have
13 become a concern in recent years.

14 Revegetation on a large-scale in degraded arid and semi-arid lands is likely to have far
15 reaching consequences on the global C cycle and climate change (Lal, 2009). To know the
16 changes in soil C and N content is not only critical to determining the soil physiochemical
17 properties but also to quantifying the influence of changing rates of C and N cycling and
18 storage (Liu et al., 2002). It has been reported that chronosequence or successional stage may
19 be a critical factor affecting changes in C stock and allocation among the different ecosystem
20 components (Li et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 2005; He et al., 2012). Wang (2009) observed that a
21 significant difference in SOC occurred in a semi-arid grassland of an undisturbed steppe, a
22 28-year crop land and a 42-year crop land and the changes depended on soil depth and land
23 age. Chen (2010) and Li (2012) reported that SOC and N increased significantly in different
24 depths with plantation age of Mongolian pine in semi-arid degraded sandy land. Zhou (2011)
25 investigated the dynamics of soil C and N accumulation over 26 years under controlled
26 grazing in a desert shrubland. Su (2005) found that after planting the shrubs *Caragana*
27 *microphylla* Lam. and *Artemisia halodendron* Turcz. ex Bess on shifting sand dunes, SOC
28 and N significantly increased in two upper soil layers (0–5 cm and 5–20 cm) in semi-arid
29 Horqin sandy land. Information on SOC and N concentration in a long-term revegetation

Comentario [2]: See here some citations that should be of interest for you

CH. Srinivasarao, B. Venkateswarlu, R. Lal, A. K. Singh, S. Kundu, K. P. R. Vittal, J. J. Patel and M. M.

Patel2014 **LONG-TERM MANURING AND FERTILIZER EFFECTS ON DEPLETION OF SOIL ORGANIC CARBON STOCKS UNDER PEARL MILLET-CLUSTER BEAN-CASTOR ROTATION IN WESTERN INDIA. Land Degradation and development, 25, 173–183** | DOI: 10.1002/ldr.1158

Guzman, J.G., Lal, R., Byrd, S., Apfelbaum, S.I., and Thompson, L. Carbon life cycle assessment for prairie as a crop in reclaimed mine land. *Land Degradation and Development*. 2014. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2291

Yan-Gui, S., Xin-Rong, L., Ying-Wu, C., Zhi-Shan, Z., and Yan, L. 2013. Carbon fixation of cyanobacterial-algal crusts after desert fixation and its implication to soil organic matter accumulation in Desert. *Land Degradation & Development, 24: 342- 349.* DOI 10.1002/ldr.1131

Jaiarree, S., Chidthaisong, A., Tangtham, N., Polprasert, C., Sarobol, E., y Tyler S.C. (2014): Carbon

Budget and sequestration potential in a sandy soil treated with compost. *Land Degradation and Development 25: 120-129.*

Barbera, V., Poma, I., Gristina, L., Novara, A., Egli, M. 2012. Long-term cropping systems and tillage management effects on soil organic carbon stock and steady state level of C sequestration rates in a semiarid environment. *Land Degradation & Development, 23: 82- 91.* DOI 10.1002/ldr.1055

Barua, A. K., Haque, S. M. S. 2013. Soil characteristics and carbon sequestration potentials of vegetation in degraded hills of Chittagong, Bangladesh. *Land Degradation & Development*. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2291

1 chronosequence is necessary to identify the strategies of degraded land recovery. Despite an
2 increasing number of related studies, the effect of *Salix cheilophila* on soil improvement still
3 remains poorly understood.

4 The Gonghe Basin, located in the northeast Tibet Plateau (35°27' to 36°56' N, 98° 46' to
5 101°22' E), is one of the most seriously desertified and ecologically fragile high-cold regions
6 in the Qing Hai province of China. Arbitrary land use and several decades of overgrazing
7 have led to land degradation and desertification. Frequent sandstorms happened and
8 desertification occurred during the last century. Semi-arid steppe, sandland and shrubland are
9 widely distributed in the Gonghe Basin. Based on the geographic-ecological similarity, one
10 effective approach to improve the fragile ecological environment and control for
11 desertification is to select shrub species that have excellent adaptability and characteristics
12 under natural ecological conditions. Large areas of trees and shrubs have been planted in this
13 region since the 1980s. *Salix cheilophila* is one of the shrub species growing well in degraded
14 land and it can be used for multiple shelterbelts and desertification control. *S. cheilophila*
15 Schneid. is a member of the Salix Family (Salicaceae), is a Chinese endemic species which
16 adapts well to windy and sandy environments and is widely distributed throughout the
17 Northwest of China, especially in the Qing Hai province and Tibet. Because of its adaptability
18 in harsh environmental conditions, *S. cheilophila* is widely cultivated in revegetation
19 programs to control desertification in the Gonghe Basin. The metabolic activities of *S.*
20 *cheilophila* have been extensively studied by Liu (2012) and Liu (2012); however, there
21 remains little knowledge about *S. cheilophila* enhancing soil SOC and N along a
22 chronosequence in this region. It was hypothesized that SOC and N allocation changes with
23 increasing stand age of *S. cheilophila* and soil fertility significantly increases over time. The
24 objectives of our study were to investigate the soil physicochemical properties and quantify
25 the effects of vegetation restoration on the SOC and N in *S. cheilophila* plantations and in
26 lowland among sandy dunes of the Gonghe Basin. Results from this study can provide base
27 data for the parameterization of regional models that can be used to determine SOC and N
28 storages under *S. cheilophila* plantations and provide the basis for soil improvement of
29 high-cold sandy land ecosystem services.

1 2 Materials and methods

2 2.1 Study area

3 The study was conducted at the Gonghe Desert Ecosystem Research Station (latitude N
4 36°19', longitude E 100°16' and altitude 2871 m), which was constructed by the Chinese
5 Academy of Forestry and the Desertification Combating Station of Qinghai Province (Fig. 1).
6 It is one of the stations in the Chinese Desert Ecosystem Research Network located in the
7 Gonghe Basin on the northeast part of the Tibetan plateau. The area has a strong continental
8 semi-arid climate. The growing season is from June to September. The mean annual
9 precipitation is ~246.3 mm, more than 75% of which falls during the growing season, and the
10 mean annual air temperature is 2.4°C. The mean annual potential transpiration is 1716.1 mm,
11 the mean annual number of windy days is 50.6 d and the primary wind direction is
12 north-northwest. The mean annual wind speed is 2.7 ms⁻¹ and the mean length of the
13 frost-free season is 91 d. The vegetation in the desertified sandy land is generally dominated
14 by psammophytes including grasses (e.g., *Leymus secalinus*, *Orinus kokonorica*, *Stipa*
15 *capillata* and *Thermopsis lanceolata*) and shrubs (e.g., *Caragana intermedia*, *Salix*
16 *cheilophila* and *Tamarix chinensis*). *C. intermedia*, a leguminous shrub, is the dominant shrub
17 species on semifixed and fixed sandy dunes. *Salix cheilophila* is the dominant shrub species
18 on land between dunes. Both of them adapt well to the sandy environment, and have been
19 widely used in vegetation re-establishment programs, such as artificial shelter belts, since the
20 1980s. Four stands of *S. cheilophila* of different ages (6, 11, 16, and 21 years) were identified.
21 A plot (0 years old) between dunes was used as a control. All of the stands located in the land
22 between dunes had only rarely been disturbed by human activities and had naturally
23 regenerated after revegetation. The main type of soil in the research region is sandy loam, and
24 clay exists at different soil depth.

25 2.2 Soil sampling and laboratory analysis

26 The field measurements and sampling were completed in the growing season of 2011 and
27 2012 (June to August). Three 20-m × 20-m plots were randomly selected in each stand. In
28 each of the plantation plots, tree basal diameter and average tree height for all of the live *S.*

1 *cheilophila* were recorded using a diameter tape, and canopy height was estimated using a
2 clinometer for all trees within each plot. Five 1-m ×1-m subplots were randomly established
3 within each plot and sampled for both accumulated litter and understory plant biomass.
4 During the study, four trees representing the respective stand-specific basal diameter and
5 height range were selected. A depth of 0–200 cm was divided into seven layers, (every 10 cm
6 from 0 to 30 cm, every 20 cm from 30 to 50 cm and every 50 cm from 50 to 200 cm) and
7 samples were taken with a 6-cm diameter soil core on the edge of the south crown of each
8 standard tree. Therefore, in every plot, a total of 28 composite soil samples were obtained for
9 each soil layer with a total of 112 samples across all plots. The samples were sealed in plastic
10 bags and transported to the laboratory. Soil bulk density (BD) of each depth increment for
11 every sampling site was measured using the core method (stainless steel cylinders with a
12 volume of 100 cm³). All soil samples were air dried and visible plant material was removed,
13 then they were sieved to 0.5 mm for SOC and TN measurements. In each plot, roots of four
14 samples were excavated manually from each of the soil layers. All root samples were
15 transported to the laboratory, and carefully washed on a 60 mm sieve to separate the roots
16 from the soil at once. All washed roots were weighed after oven drying at 65°C for 48 hours.
17 Total SOC was determined by loss on ignition at 500°C (Storer, 1984). Total N concentration
18 was measured by the Kjeldahl procedure (Bremner et al., 1996).

19 **2.3 Calculations and data analysis**

20 The SOC and TN at each depth were obtained by the sum of organic C and N stocks of the
21 seven depths. The SOC mass per unit surface area (kg m⁻²) of a profile is calculated as the
22 weighted average of the SOC mass density of every depth, where the thickness of the horizon
23 is the weighting factor, multiplied by the reference depth (Meersmans et al., 2008; Han et al.,
24 2010). For each depth interval, SOC and TN stocks were calculated with the following
25 equation:

$$26 S = EC \times BD \times T \times k \times 10^{-6},$$

27 where S is the element stocks (kg m⁻²), EC is the element concentration (g kg⁻¹), BD is the
28 bulk density (g cm⁻³), T is the thickness of the horizon and k is the area multiplier.

1 This study did not involve replicated stands of the same age with a similar stand composition,
2 soil type and environmental conditions, because of the complexity of the study site in this area.
3 Data were analyzed to provide mean and standard error for each variable measured at every
4 depth in each stand. Analysis of variance was performed using the MIXED procedure in SAS
5 that computes Wald-type F-statistics using generalized least squares (GLSE) based on
6 restricted maximum likelihood estimates of the variance components (Littell et al., 1996). In
7 the case of significant differences in the Wald-F-statistic at $P < 0.05$, treatment means were
8 compared using a two-sided t test. The regression model was determined with Matlab 8.0
9 software. All statistical analyses were conducted with the SAS software package (SAS,
10 Institute Inc. 2000).

11 **3 Results and discussion**

12 **3.1 Soil bulk density**

13 Soil BD plays a critical role in the assessment of SOC contents. Table 1 shows that the BD
14 values are significantly different in different stand ages and marked differences were found
15 among the different soil depths. This indicated that the soil BD of the 21-year stand was lower
16 compared with other stand ages in each of the seven depths (i.e. 1.49, 1.39, 1.47, 1.46, 1.47,
17 1.52 and 1.53 g cm⁻³ in the 0–10, 10–20, 20–30, 30–50, 50–100, 100–150 and 150–200 cm
18 depths, respectively). The mean BDs decreased with the extension of restoration time. At
19 0–10 cm, the 16- and 21-year stands were significantly different to the other stand ages but
20 not from each other. The 11-year stand was significantly lower than the 6 and 0 year stands
21 but there was no significant difference between the 0- and 6-year stands. At 10–20 cm, the
22 21-year stand was significantly lower than any other stand and the 16-year stand showed no
23 significant difference compared with the 11-year stand but was significantly lower than the 6-
24 and 0-year stands, which in turn were significantly different from each other. At 20–30 cm,
25 the 21-year stand was significantly lower than the other stand ages and there was no
26 significant difference between the 6-, 11- and 16-year stands. The 11-year stand showed no
27 difference with the 0-year area but the 6- and 16-year areas were significantly lower than the
28 0-year area. At 30–50 cm, the only difference from 20–30cm was that there was no significant

1 difference among the 6-, 11-, 16- and 21-year areas; however, all of them were significantly
2 different from the 0-year area, which showed the same changes at 50–100 cm.

3 In subsoil, significant differences in soil BD were also exhibited among the different stand
4 ages. The 21-year stands showed no significant differences from the 16-year stands but were
5 significantly lower than the other stand ages. There was no significant difference among the
6 0-, 6- and 11-year stands at 100–150 cm. At 150–200 cm, significant differences existed
7 among the stand ages. The 21-year stand was significantly different to all stand ages except
8 16 years and there was no significant difference among the 0-, 6-, 11- and 16-year stands. The
9 results indicated that vegetation restoration could affect the soil BD, possibly because of the
10 plant roots (Ryan & Law, 2005).

11 It is also widely believed that soil BD declines with an increase in soil organic matter because
12 of the increase in porosity volume (Whalen et al., 2003). Therefore, the linear relationship
13 between soil BD and SOC was established in various ecosystems. Prior to this study, no data
14 existed on the relationship between BD and SOC for soils in High-Cold Sand land of the
15 Gonghe Basin. The relationship in the *S. cheilophila* chronosequence was modeled with
16 SigmaPlot 2011, and it was found that there was a linear relationship that can be described by
17 the following equation (Fig. 2):

18 $SOC=39.129-22.187 BD (R^2=0.247, P<0.001).$

19 **3.2 Root biomass and aboveground biomass**

20 The data in Table 2 clearly show that revegetation led to significant differences in both
21 aboveground and root biomass, and that root biomass in the deep soil layers also increased
22 significantly with the extension of restoration time. The root biomass in differently-aged
23 stands changed significantly with an increase in depth. The aboveground biomass increased
24 along the chronosequence, and was 776.40 g m⁻² for the 6-year, 1011g m⁻² for the 11-year,
25 2098g m⁻² for the 16-year and 2963g m⁻² for the 21-year stands. Additionally, the root
26 biomass also showed an increasing trend: 281.64 g m⁻² for the 6-year, 363.04g m⁻² for the
27 11-year, 811.54g m⁻² for the 16-year, and 1120.61g m⁻² for the 21-year stands; this was
28 significantly different at different soil depths. The aboveground biomass was nearly three

1 times as large as the root biomass. Therefore, both the aboveground and the root biomass
2 were the dominant source for soil C input in semi-arid degraded sandy land of the Gonghe
3 Basin.

4 The significant increase in total C input with restoration time in the semi-arid degraded sandy
5 area indicated that afforestation is an effective option to sequester C, which could further
6 increase C influx through more efficient plant use of resources for primary production
7 (Nosetto et al., 2006; Li et al., 2012). Therefore, the increase in SOC and N input will
8 subsequently result in increased SOC and N storage.

9 **3.3 Soil organic C and N concentration**

10 The SOC and N storage increased significantly with plantation age but there were different
11 changes as soil depth increased (Fig. 3). The mean was highest but most variable in the
12 topsoil layer and dropped significantly in the subsoil layer (>100 cm). For the total study area,
13 the SOC concentrations peaked at 0–10 cm except at 6 and 0 years, which have the highest
14 amount of SOC at 10–20 cm. For the TN concentration, the 16- and 21-year stands peaked in
15 the surface soil and 0-, 6- and 11-year stands have the highest amount at 10–20 cm. The SOC
16 and TN concentrations were markedly altered by the extension of restoration.

17 In the top 10 cm, SOC was significantly greater in the 21-year stand than in the other stands
18 and the SOC increased significantly with the extension of restoration time. At 10–20 cm,
19 there were no significant differences between 16- and 21-year stands, but the SOC content
20 was significantly greater in both of these than in the other aged stands. Although the SOC
21 content in the 6-year stand was also significantly greater than in the 0-year stand, there was no
22 significant difference between the 6- and 11-year stands. At 20–30 cm, the SOC content of
23 the 21-year stand was significantly greater than that of any other and the 11-year stand
24 showed no significant difference from the 0-year stand, but was significantly lower than the
25 6-year stand. At 30–50 cm, the SOC content in the 21-year stand was not significantly
26 different from the 16-year stand but was significantly greater than for the other ages. There
27 was no significant difference among the SOC contents of the 6-, 11- and 16-year stands,
28 which were significantly greater than the 0-year stand. At 50–100 cm, the SOC content of the

1 21-year stand was significantly greater than that of the 11-year stand, but was not
2 significantly different from that of the 16-year stand. There was also no significant difference
3 between the SOC contents of the 16- and 11-year stands, which were significantly higher than
4 those of the 6- and 0-year stands.

5 The SOC storage in the deep soil showed a significant difference. The SOC in the 21-year
6 stand showed no significant difference from the 16-year stand, and both of them were
7 significantly higher than those in the 6- and 0-year stands, which showed the same changes at
8 150–200 cm. There was no significant difference among the SOC contents of the 11-, 6- and
9 0-year stands at 100–150 cm and that of the 11-year stand was significantly different to the
10 other stand ages at 150–200 cm.

11 The patterns for TN concentration were not substantially different from those for SOC (Fig.
12 4). In particular, although the 0–10 cm layer showed the same variation trend as SOC, there
13 were significant differences in each stand. The TN in the 21-year stand was significantly
14 greater than in the 16-year stand and there was no significant difference among the 11-, 6- and
15 0-year stands, which were significantly lower than the 16-year stand. At 10–20 cm, there was
16 no significant difference in TN among the 16-, 11- and 6-year stands, which were
17 significantly greater than that in the 0-year stand and lower than that in the 21-year stands.
18 The 20–30-cm and 30–50-cm layers showed the same changes in TN as the surface layer. At
19 50–100 cm, the TN content of the 21-year stand was significantly greater than those of the
20 other stands, which were not significantly different from each other. At 100–150 cm, there
21 was a significant difference between the TN of the 11-year and other stands and the 16- and
22 21-year stands were significantly greater than the 6- and 0-year stands. At 150–200 cm, there
23 was no significant difference in TN content among the 11-, 16- and 21-year stands, which
24 were significantly greater than those of the 6- and 0-year stands.

25 The higher SOC and TN content in the upper soil layer than the subsoil layer could be
26 explained by the root growth and decay process. It is widely accepted that plant roots play an
27 important role among the various factors influencing soil structural porosity, especially the
28 fine roots. Most of the roots were located in the upper soil. With the extension of restoration
29 times, the vertical distribution and biomass of the roots increased, soil N was usually moved

1 by roots from subsoil layers to the surface during plant growth, and the soil C and N were
2 retained when the roots died, which resulted in increased C and N concentrations. It was
3 found that the vertical distribution at 21 years could reach 200 cm. Moreover, the growth of
4 the root system led to the changes of BD, which could promote the soil organic matter storage
5 and total nitrogen content. Therefore, models simulated the changes of SOC and BD with the
6 extension of stand age and depth were established (Fig. 5), using the SOC as the dependent
7 variable (z), the BD and stand age as independent variable (x) and (y) respectively, the
8 regression model was established as follow:

$$9 \quad z = -180.253 + 1.2x + 255.136y - 0.011x^2 - 0.474xy - 89.186y^2 \quad (R^2 = 0.458, P < 0.01)$$

10 when used the BD (x) and depth (y) as independent variable, the model was described as:

$$11 \quad z = -359.406 - 0.193x + 518.887y + 0.003x^2 + 0.078xy - 182.25y^2 \quad (R^2 = 0.521, P < 0.01)$$

12 The model of SOC and TN with the extension of stand age and soil depth also established
13 (Fig. 6), using the SOC as the dependent variable (z), the TN and stand age as independent
14 variable (x) and (y) respectively, the regression model was established as follow:

$$15 \quad z = -2.611 + 75.486x + 0.613y + 1867.623x^2 - 6.634xy - 0.011y^2 \quad (R^2 = 0.392, P < 0.01)$$

16 when used the TN (x) and depth (y) as independent variable, the model was described as:

$$17 \quad z = -3.668 + 368.861x - 0.009y - 2186.34x^2 - 0.965xy + 0.001y^2 \quad (R^2 = 0.427, P < 0.01)$$

18 The results indicated that afforestation could affect the BD and especially the shrub could
19 reduce it evidently, the difference in the BD can be caused by the root. Moreover, the content
20 of SOC and TN increased with the BD decreased.

21 **3.4 Soil organic C and N stocks or losses and gains of *Salix***

22 Table 3 shows the gains and losses of the SOC and TN in different stands relative to the
23 0-year stand, based on calculations in which the BD variability, SOC, TN contents and depth
24 were taken into account. The results indicated that the 6-year stand gained 3.89 Mg C ha⁻¹ and
25 1.00 Mg N ha⁻¹ in the 0–200-cm soil layers, which accounted for 40.82% of the original SOC
26 and 11.06% of the TN of the 0-year stand. The 11-year stand gained 7.82 Mg C ha⁻¹ and 1.98
27 Mg N ha⁻¹ in the 0–200 cm soil layers, accounting for 58.06% of the SOC and 19.80% of the
28 TN of the 0-year stand. The 16-year stand gained 11.32 Mg C ha⁻¹ and 3.30 Mg N ha⁻¹ in the

1 0–200 cm soil layers, accounting for 66.71% of the SOC and 21.98% of the TN of the 0-year
2 stand. The 21-year stand gained 13.05 Mg C ha⁻¹ and 5.45 Mg N ha⁻¹ from the same soil depth,
3 accounting for 69.79% of the SOC and 40.47% of the TN compared with the 0-year stand.

4 Although the SOC and TN increased with stand age, different stages showed differences with
5 soil depth. These results indicated that the 11-year stand lost 0.02 Mg C ha⁻¹ at 20–30 cm,
6 decreased 4.5% compared to the 0-year stand. The soil has strong heterogeneity in arid and
7 semi-arid regions. The root, which could be considered as the “bio-management” in the harsh
8 environment, was the primary cause lead to the contents accumulation and consumption of the
9 SOC and TN in different depth and stand age. Laclau (2003) and Li (2012) found that because
10 of the biomass accumulations, soil organic matter increases with the extension of the
11 revegetation time, in semi-arid areas. The present results are consistent with the findings of Su
12 and Zhao (2003), who reported higher SOC in stands of *C. microphylla* shrub than in active
13 sand dunes. Wei (2010) compared the distribution of SOC and N in soils under canopies and
14 in outer tree canopies in semi-arid areas and found that dry climate, low C soils had a
15 potential for C sequestration after grassland to woodland conversion. Hu (2008) documented
16 a significant potential for soil C sequestration with afforestation in Horqin Sandy Land and Li
17 (2012) revealed that Mongolian pine plantations in Horqin Sandy Land have a great potential
18 to sequester C, which agreed with the present research. The Gonghe Basin has experienced
19 intensive desertification in recent decades. *S. cheilophila* also has a great potential to
20 sequester C. Therefore, it is important to comprehensively evaluate the effects of these
21 plantations on ecosystem C sequestration in the Gonghe Basin. Although depth research on
22 soil C studies varies (Guo and Gifford, 2002; Post and Kwon, 2008; Fu et al., 2010), many
23 studies have only considered SOC changes in the upper soil layers to investigate the impacts
24 of land use change on soil properties and C storage. The subsoil also has a large SOC storage
25 capacity (Jobbágy and Jackson, 2000; Knops and Bradley, 2009; Carter and Gregorich, 2010;
26 Chang et al., 2012). Therefore, more studies focusing on the subsoil SOC are necessary to
27 accurately evaluate the changes in soil C pools following afforestation. The present results
28 showed that significant responses occurred in the subsoil layer because of root distributions.
29 In light of global warming, scientists have recognized the potential of soil as a C sink to

1 counteract the increasing trend of atmospheric CO₂ concentration (Grace, 2004). Therefore,
2 revegetation of degraded land, especially in desertified or sandified lands such as those in the
3 Gonghe Basin, is an effective way not only to combat desertification but also to provide a C
4 sink. Understanding the impact of revegetation and afforestation on the SOC storage and
5 increasing the capability of soil C sequestration is a challenge for the future.

6 **4 Conclusions**

7 This study demonstrated the significant increases in SOC and TN over time in *S. cheilophila*
8 plantation soils in the Gonghe Basin of Qinghai, China. The establishment of *S. cheilophila* in
9 the semi-arid high cold sandy land had positive impacts on the soil C sequestration and N
10 storage. Soil organic C and TN increased significantly with plantation age. The difference
11 indicated that the inputs of aboveground and root biomass were sufficient to increase the SOC
12 and TN with the extension of revegetation time. The responses were observed among
13 different stand ages not only in the top soil layer but also in the deeper soil. Plant roots played
14 an important role in soil C sequestration especially in the study area characterized by the low
15 SOC because of the sandy soil texture. It is necessary to focus on the changes in SOC in the
16 deeper soil layers to assess C sequestration accurately. This study identified that afforestation
17 with *S. cheilophila* in high-cold sandy land of the Gonghe Basin is a positive way to improve
18 soil quality and prevent desertification in these semi-arid regions.

19

20

21 **Acknowledgements**

22 We would like to thank Dengxian Wei, Xuebin Zhao, Henghua Yang for assistance with field
23 work. Funding assistance by The Forestry Public Benefit Scientific Research Special Project
24 of P.R. China (201204203)

25

26

1 **References**

- 2 Allington, G., and Valone, T.: Reversal of desertification: the role of physical and chemical
3 soil properties, *J. Arid Environ.*, 74, 973–977, 2010.
- 4 Bremner, J., Sparks, D., Page, A., Helmke, P., Loeppert, R., Soltanpour, P., Tabatabai, M.,
5 Johnston C., and Sumner, M.: Nitrogen–total, *Methods of soil analysis. Part 3–chemical*
6 *methods*, 1085–1121, 1996.
- 7 Breuer, L., Huisman, J., Keller, T., and Frede, H.: Impact of a conversion from cropland to
8 grassland on C and N storage and related soil properties: Analysis of a 60–year
9 chronosequence, *Geoderma*, 133, 6–18, 2006.
- 10 Cao, C., Jiang, D., Teng, X., Jiang, Y., Liang, W., and Cui, Z.: Soil chemical and
11 microbiological properties along a chronosequence of *Caragana microphylla* Lam.
12 plantations in the Horqin sandy land of Northeast China, *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, 40, 78–85, 2008.
- 13 Cao, C., Jiang, S., Ying, Z., Zhang, F., and Han, X.: Spatial variability of soil nutrients and
14 microbiological properties after the establishment of leguminous shrub *Caragana microphylla*
15 Lam. plantation on sand dune in the Horqin Sandy Land of Northeast China, *Ecol. Eng.*, 37,
16 1467–1475, 2011.
- 17 Carter, M., and Gregorich, E.: Carbon and nitrogen storage by deep–rooted tall fescue
18 (*Lolium arundinaceum*) in the surface and subsurface soil of a fine sandy loam in eastern
19 Canada, *Agric. Ecosystems Environ.*, 136, 125–132, 2010.
- 20 Chang, R., Fu, B., Liu, G., Wang, S., and Yao, X.: The effects of afforestation on soil organic
21 and inorganic carbon: A case study of the Loess Plateau of China, *Catena*, 95, 145–152,
22 2012.
- 23 Chen, F., Zeng, D., Fahey, T.J., and Liao, P.: Organic carbon in soil physical fractions under
24 different–aged plantations of Mongolian pine in semi–arid region of Northeast China, *Appl.*
25 *Soil Ecol.*, 44, 42–48, 2010.
- 26 Fu, X., Shao, M., Wei, X., and Horton, R.: Soil organic carbon and total nitrogen as affected

1 by vegetation types in Northern Loess Plateau of China, *Geoderma*, 155, 31–35, 2010.

2 Huang, G., Zhao, X., Li, Y., and Cui, J.: Restoration of shrub communities elevates organic
3 carbon in arid soils of northwestern China, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 2012, 123–132. 2012.

4 Grünzweig, J., Lin, T., Rotenberg, E., Schwartz, A., and Yakir, D.: Carbon sequestration in
5 arid–land forest, *Global Change Biol.*, 9, 791–799, 2003.

6 Grace, J.: Understanding and managing the global carbon cycle, *J.Ecol.*, 92, 189–202, 2004.

7 Guo, L., and Gifford, R.: Soil carbon stocks and land use change: a meta analysis, *Global*
8 *Change Biol.*, 8, 345–360, 2002.

9 Liu, H., Jia, Z., Zhu, Y., Yu, Y., and Li, Q.: Water physiological characteristics and leaf traits
10 of different aged *Salix cheilophila* on alpine sandy land, *J. Appl. Ecol.*, 23, 2370–2376,
11 2012. (in Chinese with English abstract)

12 Han, F., Hu, W., Zheng, J., Du, F., and Zhang, X.: Estimating soil organic carbon storage and
13 distribution in a catchment of Loess Plateau, China, *Geoderma*, 154, 261–266, 2010.

14 He, N., Zhang, Y., Dai, J., Han, X., and Yu, G.: Losses in carbon and nitrogen stocks in soil
15 particle–size fractions along cultivation chronosequences in Inner Mongolian Grasslands, *J.*
16 *Environ. Qual.*, 41, 1507–1516, 2012.

17 Hu, Y., Zeng, D., Fan, Z., Chen, G., Zhao, Q., and Pepper, D.: Changes in ecosystem carbon
18 stocks following grassland afforestation of semiarid sandy soil in the southeastern Keerqin
19 Sandy Lands, China, *J. Arid Environ.*, 72, 2193–2200, 2008.

20 Jobbágy, E.G. and Jackson, R.B.: The vertical distribution of soil organic carbon and its
21 relation to climate and vegetation, *Ecol. Appl.*, 10, 423–436, 2000.

22 Knops, J.M. and Bradley, K.L.: Soil carbon and nitrogen accumulation and vertical
23 distribution across a 74–year chronosequence, *Soil Sci.Soc. Am. J.*, 73, 2096–2104, 2009.

24 Laclau, P.: Biomass and carbon sequestration of ponderosa pine plantations and native
25 cypress forests in northwest Patagonia, *Forest Ecol. Manage.*, 180, 317–333, 2003.

26 Lal, R.: Potential of desertification control to sequester carbon and mitigate the greenhouse

- 1 effect, *Climatic Change*, 51, 35–72, 2001.
- 2 Lal, R.: Sequestering carbon in soils of arid ecosystems, *Land Degrad. Dev.*, 20, 441–454,
3 2009.
- 4 Li, S., Zhao, A., and Chang, X.: Several problems about vegetation succession of Horqin
5 Sandy Land, *J. Desert Res.*, 17, 25–32, 1997. (in Chinese with English abstract)
- 6 Li, Y., Awada, T., Zhou, X., Shang, W., Chen, Y., Zuo, X., Wang, S., Liu, X., and Feng, J.:
7 Mongolian pine plantations enhance soil physico–chemical properties and carbon and
8 nitrogen capacities in semi–arid degraded sandy land in China, *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, 56, 1–9,
9 2012.
- 10 Littell, R., Milliken, G., Stroup, W., and Wolfinger, R.: SAS system for mixed models, SAS
11 Institute, Cary, NC, 1996.
- 12 Liu, L., Jia, Z., Zhu, Y., Li, H., Yang, D., Wei, D., and Zhao, X.: Water use strategy of *Salix*
13 *cheilophila* stands with different ages in Gonghe Basin, Qinghai Province, *Forest Res.*, 25,
14 597–603, 2012. (In Chinese with English abstract)
- 15 Liu, S., Fu, B., Lü, Y., and Chen, L.: Effects of reforestation and deforestation on soil
16 properties in humid mountainous areas: a case study in Wolong Nature Reserve, Sichuan
17 province, China, *Soil Use Manage.*, 18, 376–380, 2002.
- 18 Meersmans, J., De-Ridder, F., Canters, F., De-Baets, S., and Van-Molle, M.: A multiple
19 regression approach to assess the spatial distribution of Soil Organic Carbon (SOC) at the
20 regional scale (Flanders, Belgium), *Geoderma*, 143, 1–13, 2008.
- 21 Noretto, M., Jobbágy, E., and Paruelo, J.: Carbon sequestration in semi–arid rangelands:
22 Comparison of *Pinus ponderosa* plantations and grazing exclusion in NW Patagonia, *J. Arid*
23 *Environ.*, 67, 142–156, 2006.
- 24 Post, W. M., and Kwon, K. C.: Soil carbon sequestration and land–use change: processes and
25 potential, *Global Change Biol.*, 6, 317–327, 2008.
- 26 Reynolds, J. F., Smith, D. M. S., Lambin, E.F., Turner, B. L., Mortimore, M., Batterbury, S.

- 1 P., Downing, T.E., Dowlatabadi, H., Fernández, R. J, and Herrick, J. E.: Global
2 desertification: building a science for dryland development, *Science*, 316, 847–851, 2007.
- 3 Ryan, M.G. and Law, B.E.: Interpreting, measuring, and modeling soil respiration,
4 *Biogeochemistry*, 73, 3–27, 2005.
- 5 SAS Institute Inc.: The SAS system for windows, SAS Publishing. SAS Inst.: Cary, NC.2002.
- 6 P.R.C. State Forestry Administration.: A bulletin of status of desertification and sandification
7 in China, pp. 1–2, Peking, 2011.
- 8 Storer, D. A.: A simple high sample volume ashing procedure for determination of soil
9 organic matter, *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 15, 759–772, 1984.
- 10 Su, Y., Zhao, H.: Soil properties and plant species in an age sequence of *Caragana*
11 *microphylla* plantations in the Horqin Sandy Land, north China, *Ecol. Eng.*, 20, 223–235,
12 2003.
- 13 Su, Y., Zhang, T., Li, Y., and Wang, F.: Changes in soil properties after establishment of
14 *Artemisia halodendron* and *Caragana microphylla* on shifting sand dunes in semiarid Horqin
15 Sandy Land, Northern China, *Environ. Manage.*, 36, 272–281, 2005.
- 16 UNEP: United Nations convention to combat desertification in those countries experiencing
17 serious drought and/or desertification, particularly in Africa, United Nations Environment
18 Programme for the Convention to Combat Desertification (CCD). pp. 1–2, Geneva, 1994.
- 19 Wang, Q., Zhang, L., Li, L., Bai, Y., Cao, J., and Han, X.: Changes in carbon and nitrogen of
20 chernozem soil along a cultivation chronosequence in a semi–arid grassland, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*,
21 60, 916–923, 2009.
- 22 Wei, X., Shao, M., Fu, X., and Horton., R.: Changes in soil organic carbon and total nitrogen
23 after 28 years grassland afforestation: effects of tree species, slope position, and soil order,
24 *Plant Soil*, 331, 165–179, 2010.
- 25 Whalen, J. K., Willms W. D., and Dormaar, J. F.: Soil carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus in
26 modified rangeland communities, *J. Range. Manage.*, 665–672, 2003.

- 1 Zhang, J., Zhao, H., Zhang, T., Zhao, X. and Drake, S.: Community succession along a
2 chronosequence of vegetation restoration on sand dunes in Horqin Sandy Land, *J. Arid*
3 *Environ.*, 62, 555–566, 2005.
- 4 Zhao, H., Zhou, R., Su, Y., Zhang, H., Zhao, L., and Drake, S.: Shrub facilitation of desert
5 land restoration in the Horqin Sand Land of Inner Mongolia, *Ecol. Eng.*, 31, 1–8, 2007.
- 6 Zhou, Z., Li, F., Chen, S., Zhang, H., and Li, G.: Dynamics of vegetation and soil carbon and
7 nitrogen accumulation over 26 years under controlled grazing in a desert shrubland, *Plant*
8 *Soil*, 341, 257–268, 2011.

1 Table 1. Soil bulk density (g cm^3) in different stand ages at different soil depth.

Depth /cm	Stand age /yr				
	0	6	11	16	21
0-10	1.56±0.01Aab	1.54±0.03ABc	1.53±0.01Bbc	1.51±0.01Cb	1.49±0.02Cbc
10-20	1.54±0.02Aa	1.44±0.04Ba	1.42±0.02BCa	1.41±0.01Ca	1.39±0.01Da
20-30	1.58±0.01Ac	1.53±0.01Bc	1.57±0.01ABc	1.53±0.03Bb	1.47±0.02Cb
30-50	1.56±0.02Abc	1.48±0.05Bab	1.51±0.02ABb	1.47±0.06Bab	1.46±0.01Bb
50-100	1.57±0.01Abc	1.52±0.02ABbc	1.50±0.05ABb	1.49±0.04Bab	1.47±0.02Bb
100-150	1.57±0.02Abc	1.55±0.02ABc	1.56±0.01ABc	1.53±0.04BCb	1.52±0.01Cd
150-200	1.57±0.02Abc	1.57±0.02Ac	1.57±0.01Ac	1.56±0.02ABb	1.53±0.03Bd

2 Different uppercase letters indicate significant differences in different stand ages, different

3 lowercase letters indicate significant differences in different soil depths ($P < 0.05$).

4