Response to comments 3
This manuscript presents a interesting aspect of using furfual and its biochar in soil ammendments not so normally found in the literature.
Even I am not a native in english I see that there are several parts of this manuscript that should be improved for a better understanding for potential readers.
There is one point where I want to see clarify and is the type of statistical test applied.
That is my major concern, it is not a good way to applied a one way ANOVA as they present with these results and I am concern then about their conclusions. Please, think about the statistical test and improve it.

Answer: Thank you for your kindly review. I felt so sorry for misunderstanding here. In the present study, we focused on the effects of treatments or incubation time on the soil properties. That is we only compare the differences among the treatments at one incubation time or the incubation time at one treatment. And we did not compare them both at the same time. As a result, we think a one-way ANOVA can be OK with this kind of work. At the same time, we also referenced some similar research. For example, Novak (2009) used one-way ANOVA to study the effects of different amount of biochar on soil properties at one incubation time. Zhang(2012) also used this statistics method to study the gas emissions with different amount of biochar application. I present more detailed information of the biochar in revised edition.
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