Characterisation of the magmatic signature in gas emissions from Turrialba volcano, Costa Rica
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Abstract

The equilibrium composition of volcanic gases with their magma is often overprinted by interaction with a shallow hydrothermal system. Identifying the magmatic signature of volcanic gases is critical to relate their composition to properties of the magma (temperature, $f_{O_2}$, gas-melt segregation depth). We report measurements of the chemical composition and flux of the major gas species emitted from Turrialba volcano during March 2013. Measurements were made of two vents in the summit region; one of which opened in 2010 and the other in 2012. We determined an average $SO_2$ flux of $2.40 \pm 0.75 \, \text{kg s}^{-1}$ using scanning ultraviolet spectroscopy, and molar proportions of $H_2O$, $CO_2$, $SO_2$, $HCl$, $CO$ and $H_2$ gases of 94.16, 4.03, 1.56, 0.23, 0.003 and 0.009 %, respectively, by open-path Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometry and a multi-species gas sensing system. Together, these data imply fluxes of $41$, $4$, $0.2$, $2 \times 10^{-3}$ and $5 \times 10^{-4} \, \text{kg s}^{-1}$ for $H_2O$, $CO_2$, $HCl$, $CO$ and $H_2$ respectively. Although $H_2S$ was detected, its concentration could not be resolved. HF was not detected. The chemical signature of the gas from both vents was found to be broadly similar. Following the opening of the 2010 and 2012 vents we found limited to negligible interaction of the magmatic gas with the hydrothermal system has occurred and the gas composition of the volcanic plume is broadly representative of equilibrium with the magma. The time evolution of the gas composition, the continuous emission of large quantities of $SO_2$ and the physical evolution of the summit area with new vent opening and more frequent eruptions all point towards a continuous drying of the hydrothermal system at Turrialba’s summit at an apparently increasing rate.

1 Introduction

Measurement of gas emissions from volcanoes in a state of unrest can provide valuable information regarding the evolution of the magmatic system and play a key role in eruption forecasting strategies (e.g. Merapi, 2010 crisis; Surono et al., 2012). In recent
years tremendous progress in instrumentation has been made with the development of miniature UV spectrometers (e.g. Oppenheimer, 2010), Open-path Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy (e.g. Horrocks et al., 2001) and multi-species gas sensing systems (e.g. Aiuppa et al., 2006) making rapid measurement of all major gas species a relatively straightforward endeavour given favourable conditions.

Turrialba volcano is located at the southern tip of the “Cordillera Central” (Fig. 1) a Holocene volcanic belt formed by the subduction of the Cocos Plate beneath the Caribbean Plate. Turrialba lies 35 km away from San José and 15 km away from Cartago, the first and second largest cities in Costa Rica. Since its last major eruption in 1884–1886 (Reagan et al., 2006), the volcano has been passively degassing via a rapidly changing fumarolic system (Vaselli et al., 2010). Three craters make up the summit area of Turrialba; the East crater, Central crater and West crater. The fumarole activity is concentrated around the West crater in three main regions (Fig. 2); the Northern fumarole field, the 2010 vent and 2012 vent. At the time of our fieldwork in March 2013, gas emitted from the 2012 vent reached up to 700–800°C, while gas emitted from the 2010 vent was up to 400°C. These temperatures were measured using a hand-held thermal camera (at a range of ~350 m and ~20 m respectively) and were not corrected for atmospheric transmission. These thus indicate minimum estimates of the true gas temperature.

Following an episode of intense fumarolic activity in 2008 and persistent acid rain ever since, the vegetation within a 1 to 2 km radius of the summit has been strongly affected (Martini et al., 2010). Livestock have also been affected and a large portion of the local population has left the area after suffering economic losses or health issues (Martini et al., 2010). On 5 January 2010, a small phreatic eruption opened a new vent (2010 vent) and resulted in ash falls reaching the suburbs of San José (OVSICORI 2010; González et al., 2014). On 12 January 2012, a new vent opened on the southeast flank of the West Crater and ash fell as far as Tres Rios (27 km SW from the vent) (OVSICORI 2012; González et al., 2014). The national park of Turrialba closed following this event and has remained so since. On 21 May 2013, an eruption from the 2010
and 2012 vents resulted in ash falls more than 40 km away (OVSICORI 2013; Red Sismologica Nacional, 2013). The recent change in the style of degassing together with the increasing frequency of minor ash eruptions underlines the importance of investigation of the composition of the gas emissions, in order to understand the evolution of the magma-hydrothermal system.

Here we report measurements of the gas emissions from the 2010 and 2012 vents at Turrialba volcano using (i) scanning ultraviolet differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS) (ii) open-path FTIR spectroscopy and (iii) multi-species gas sensing systems (“multi-gas”). Reported measurements of the gas chemistry and flux at Turrialba have been few and sporadic. Vaselli et al. (2010) characterised the change in gas composition of fumaroles from the hydrothermal system from 1998 to 2008 while (Martini et al., 2010) and Campion et al. (2012) reported measurement of the SO$_2$ flux from 2002 to 2008 and 2009 to 2011 respectively. The opening of the 2010 vents and associated increase in SO$_2$ flux was interpreted as the beginning of open vent degassing (Campion et al., 2012). The present investigation spans a period from 22–26 March 2013. The aim of this investigation is to provide measurements of the magmatic gases emitted from Turrialba at an early stage in its new open-vent style activity in order to provide a reference point for future investigations of the gas emissions from this potentially hazardous volcano. Such studies, especially if they are repeated, are important in identifying the evolution of volcanic unrest, particularly in the case of reactivation of long-dormant volcanoes.

2 Methods

2.1 Scanning DOAS

Horizontal scans transecting the plume just above the crater were made from a site 2 km away from the summit. The plume rose vertically to approximately 400 m before drifting horizontally with the wind, such that scans always cleared the plume on
both sides. Data acquisition was paused whenever cloud formed within the field of view. The acquisition of data and subsequent retrieval of SO₂ flux values followed the standard DOAS methodology (Galle et al., 2003; Platt and Stutz, 2008). Scans were taken by mounting a telescope (coupled to the spectrometer by a fibre) on a small, custom-made, USB-powered scanning stage. The spectrometer recorded continuously as the stage was rotated back and forth and angles were assigned to each spectrum in post-processing using their timestamps (recorded with millisecond precision) and an angle-versus-time log file created by the scanning software (code available at: http://code.google.com/p/avoscan/). Rise speeds for the plume were estimated using a dual wide field of view (DW-FOV) spectrometer system similar to that described by (Boichu et al., 2010). This was operated alongside the scanning unit, and data from the two telescopes were cross-correlated using a window length of 300 s to determine the rise speed. We used the solar spectrum measured by (Chance and Kurucz, 2010) and SO₂ and O₃ reference spectra measured by Vandaele et al. (1994) and Burrows et al. (1999), respectively. All DOAS retrievals were implemented using a combination of the DOASIS software (https://doasis.iup.uni-heidelberg.de) and scripts written by Vitchko Tsanev (http://www.geog.cam.ac.uk/research/projects/doasretrieval/).

The scanning stage uses a stepper motor with a 99 : 1 planetary gearbox, giving it an accuracy of ±0.01°. Note that errors due to crash-back in the gearbox are systematic for a single scan, and therefore do not affect the integrated column amount. The precision of the timestamps in the spectrum files (millisecond) has a small effect on the accuracy of the angles. Using Eq. (1) and the standard error propagation equation the error in the angle (δθτ) of a spectrum recorded at time τ can be calculated by:

\[ \delta_{\theta \tau} = |\theta_\tau| \cdot \sqrt{\delta^2_\theta + \left(\frac{d\theta}{dt}\right)^2 \cdot \left(2\delta^2_\theta + \frac{\delta^2_\tau}{(\tau - \tau_0)^2}\right)} \] (1)

where δθ = 0.01° is the uncertainty in the angle of the stepper motor and δτ = 0.001 s is the uncertainty in the capture time of the spectrum.
Error in the retrieved SO$_2$ column amount is estimated by the DOASIS software by analysing the residual from the fitting of the reference and Ring spectra. Propagating these errors along with those in the angles through Eq. (2) gives the following as an estimate of the error in the flux ($\delta\Phi$):

$$\delta^2\Phi = \Phi^2 \left[ \left( \frac{k v \delta_{ICA}}{\Phi} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\delta_v}{v} \right)^2 \right]$$

(2)

where $\delta_v = 1$ m s$^{-1}$ is the uncertainty in the plume rise speed (corresponding to one standard deviation on measured rise speed) and $\delta_{ICA}$ is the error in the integrated column amount given by:

$$\delta^2_{ICA} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i^2 \left[ \left( \frac{\sqrt{\delta^2_{\theta_i} + \delta^2_{\theta_{i+1}}}}{\theta_{i+1} - \theta_i} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\sqrt{\delta^2_{A_i} + \delta^2_{A_{i+1}}}}{A_{i+1} - A_i} \right)^2 \right]$$

(3)

### 2.2 FTIR spectroscopy

Open-path FTIR measurements were made on 25 and 26 March 2013. The spectrometer (MIDAC M4411-S) was equipped with a Stirling-cooled MCT detector and a 3 inch telescope. We collected interferograms with a nominal optical path difference (retardation) of 2.0 cm corresponding to a spectral resolution of 0.5 cm$^{-1}$. On 25 March, the spectrometer was pointing directly at the 2012 vent, using it as the infrared radiation source (optical path length $\sim$ 350 m). During acquisition, gases emitted from the 2012 vent were rising straight up at high velocity (observed with a thermal camera) such that volcanic gas plume was approximately 2 m thick. On 26 March a 15 cm-diameter infrared lamp provided the source of radiation and was positioned $\sim$ 35 m from the spectrometer, both deployed on the southern edge of the western crater, directly south of the 2010 vent. Measurements were collected as the plume passed through the optical
path. Interferograms were collected at \( \sim 1 \) Hz. Raw interferograms were inverse Fourier transformed to yield single beam spectra using a Mertz phase correction and triangular apodization. Column amounts of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{CO}_2, \text{CO}, \text{SO}_2 \) and \( \text{HCl} \) were retrieved from their absorption fingerprint in single beam spectra using a well-tested code (Burton et al., 2007, 2000; Horrocks et al., 2001) that simulates and fits atmospheric transmittance in discrete wavebands. Fitting parameters are given in Table 1.

### 2.3 In situ gas measurements

Electrochemical and non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) sensors ("multi-gas") measurements were performed on 24, 25 and 26 March at a sample rate of 0.5 Hz. The instrument was deployed on the southern edge of the western crater, directly south of the 2010 vent at an altitude of 3280 m a.s.l. On 25 March the instrument was located a few meters away from the IR lamp. The multi-gas instrument incorporated \( \text{H}_2, \text{H}_2\text{S}, \text{CO} \) and \( \text{SO}_2 \) electrochemical sensors, a NDIR sensor for \( \text{CO}_2 \), and a sensor for temperature and humidity measurements. In this type of instrument, the sampled gas is circulated via a miniature 12 V rotary pump through the sensors (e.g. Aiuppa et al., 2011). This instrument, including the electrochemical sensors it contains is the same unit that has been previously deployed at Erebus volcano (Antarctica) and is described in detail by (Moussallam et al., 2012).

All sensors were calibrated in the laboratory on 5 February 2013 with target gases of known concentration. The response time of all sensors was rapid but the \( \text{H}_2, \text{H}_2\text{S} \) and \( \text{CO} \) sensors were found to respond more slowly than \( \text{SO}_2 \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \) sensors (see Fig. S1). The differences in response time for the different sensors were corrected by finding the lag times from correlation analysis of the various time series.
3 Results

3.1 SO$_2$ flux

Emission rates of SO$_2$ are shown in Fig. 3 as flux in kg s$^{-1}$ and t d$^{-1}$ for measurements made on the 22, 23 and 25 of March 2013. We report only fluxes obtained during cloud-free periods where the plume was well defined and was cleared by the scan on both sides. The average SO$_2$ emission rate on 22 March is $4.0 \pm 0.5$ kg s$^{-1}$ (equivalent to $350 \pm 50$ t d$^{-1}$), on 23 March is $2.3 \pm 0.5$ kg s$^{-1}$ (equivalent to $200 \pm 45$ t d$^{-1}$) and on 25 March is $2.1 \pm 0.5$ kg s$^{-1}$ (equivalent to $190 \pm 40$ t d$^{-1}$) giving a three day average of $2.4 \pm 0.7$ kg s$^{-1}$ (equivalent to $210 \pm 65$ t d$^{-1}$).

3.2 Chemistry

3.2.1 Multi-gas

We obtained 6.8 h of good quality observations of the 2010 vent chemistry using the multi-gas instrument. H$_2$ and H$_2$S sensors were found to be sensitive to changes in temperature, drifting strongly at temperature above 26°C. We therefore only report 2.1 h of H$_2$ measurements which were acquired at sampled air temperatures between 20 and 25°C, close to the laboratory calibration temperature. The H$_2$S signal was strongly dominated by its 10–15% cross-correlation with SO$_2$ and after correction H$_2$S was not detected. CO was likewise not detected by the electrochemical sensor. Figure 4 shows four scatter plots for continuous measurements acquired on 24–26 March. Scatter plots for the CO$_2$ and SO$_2$ measurements yield CO$_2$/SO$_2$ molar ratios varying between 1.66 and 1.79 (Fig. 4a–c), with a weighted average of 1.76. The H$_2$ and SO$_2$ scatter plot (Fig. 4d) measurements yield a H$_2$/SO$_2$ molar ratio of 0.006. It is to be noted that the hydrogen level above atmospheric background is very weak and hence has a large associated error. The significant scattering seen in the Multi-gas data is not solely a reflection of the measurement error but also reflects the variability.
of the gas sampled. Indeed while the largest contributor to the analysed gas plume appeared to be the 2010 vent, contributions from the 2012 vent, nearby low temperature fumaroles and occasionally the northern fumarolic field are likely. The reported multi-gas measurements are therefore an approximation of the bulk plume composition and not strictly a reflection of the gas chemistry of the 2010 vent.

3.2.2 FTIR

A total of 2162 spectra were collected on 26 March and analysed for H$_2$O, CO$_2$, SO$_2$, CO, HCl, HF, CH$_4$ and N$_2$O. Figure 5a–d shows the scatter plots for CO, CO$_2$, H$_2$O and HCl column amount plotted against SO$_2$. A total of 3983 spectra for the 2012 vent plume were collected on 25 March and analysed for the same species. Figure 5e–g shows the column amount scatter plots for CO$_2$, H$_2$O and HCl plotted against SO$_2$. The scatter is much greater in measurements of the 2012 vent due to the passive sensing field setup (short path-length of gas relative to total path-length and low infrared source strength) and contamination of the absorption signal by IR radiation from the hot gases. The latter issue made it particularly difficult to make useful measurements and all retrievals showing unreasonable amounts (e.g. negative) of HCl and H$_2$O were dismissed. Column amounts of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O were stable throughout the measurement period indicating that these species were present in the ambient atmosphere but were not detected in the plume. HF was not detected in any of the spectra and CO was below the detection limit for measurements of the 2012 vent emissions, possibly due to the short path-length of gas relative to the total path-length but most probably due to the low energy from the source. The CO$_2$ intercept of $2.19 \times 10^{20}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$, from Fig. 5e, corresponds to an atmospheric mixing ratio of 364 ppmv over a 350 m path length, which agrees reasonably well with the expected ambient abundance of CO$_2$. Similarly the CO$_2$ intercept of $2.05 \times 10^{19}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$, from Fig. 5b, corresponds to an atmospheric mixing ratio of 336 ppmv over a 35 m path length (based on an ambient air temperature of 290 K and a pressure of 700 hPa).
By converting the molar ratios of volcanic gas species illustrated in Figs. 4 and 5 to mass ratios and multiplying by the average SO$_2$ flux obtained from scanning DOAS, we can estimate emission rates of each individual gas from Turrialba. We obtained fluxes of 41, 4, 0.2, $2 \times 10^{-3}$ and $5 \times 10^{-4}$ kg s$^{-1}$ for H$_2$O, CO$_2$, HCl, CO and H$_2$ respectively (Table 2) with associated error of $\pm$ 12, 1.2, 0.7, 0.06, $6 \times 10^{-4}$ and $1 \times 10^{-4}$ kg s$^{-1}$ for H$_2$O, CO$_2$, HCl, CO and H$_2$ respectively (based on error from SO$_2$ flux measurement).

4 Discussion

4.1 Gas flux

Few measurements of SO$_2$ emissions from Turrialba have been published so far; (Martini et al., 2010) reported SO$_2$ fluxes increasing from 0 to $\sim$ 10 kg s$^{-1}$ from 2002 to 2008. Campion et al. (2012) reported SO$_2$ fluxes between $< 5$ kg s$^{-1}$ and 9 kg s$^{-1}$ between September and October 2009, i.e. before the 5 January 2010 eruption, followed by SO$_2$ fluxes of up to 58 kg s$^{-1}$ directly after the eruption, decreasing linearly with time down to 18 kg s$^{-1}$ by January 2011. Conde et al. (2014) reported SO$_2$ fluxes between 8 and 11 kg s$^{-1}$ a week prior to our investigation. The average SO$_2$ emission rate data for three days of measurements in March 2013 is $2.4 \pm 0.7$ kg s$^{-1}$ (equivalent to $210 \pm 65$ t d$^{-1}$). This is comparable to the lowest values recorded by previous investigations but indicates the sustained emission of a significant amount of sulphur to the atmosphere from the volcano. The fluxes of other species (CO$_2$ excepted, Table 2), are the first such measurements to be reported since the opening of the 2010 and 2012 vents. Fluxes of H$_2$O and CO$_2$ are about ten time lower and HCl flux is 40 times lower than the flux measured in nearby Masaya volcano in 1998–1999 (Nicaragua; Burton et al., 2000).
4.2 Gas composition

While the Multi-gas instrument deployed directly south of the 2010 vent records a CO$_2$/SO$_2$ molar ratio of 1.76, the FTIR spectrometer, located a few meters to the east, yields a CO$_2$/SO$_2$ molar ratio of 2.59. This discrepancy can be fully attributed to unequal degrees of contribution from the Northern fumarolic field. Indeed the Multi-gas instrument, located slightly further to the west compared to the FTIR-lamp setup is likely to be more affected by the fumaroles’ emissions. Future field campaigns should try to avoid this issue by deploying these instruments further to the east. We note that the CO$_2$/SO$_2$ molar ratio we obtained are within error of the one reported by Conde et al. (2014) (CO$_2$/SO$_2$ molar ratio of 1.96 to 2.98) during their investigation earlier in the same month.

The resemblance in chemistry, with near similar H$_2$O/SO$_2$ and HCl/SO$_2$ molar ratio (Table 2) between the mixed plume (dominated by degassing from the 2010 vent) and the 2012 vent suggests a common magmatic source. This has been made apparent by the May 2013 eruption, in which both vents emitted ash (Fig. 7b). However, there are some differences, most evident in the C/S ratios between the 2010/mixed plume and the 2012 vent with a CO$_2$/SO$_2$ molar ratio of 2.59 in the mixed plume compared to 7 in the 2012 vent. This difference might simply reflect the large error in the passive FTIR measurements. Indeed imposing a regression through a 400 ppm CO$_2$ background would yield a CO$_2$/SO$_2$ ratio of 2 ($R^2 = 0.12$), identical to the measured ratio of 2 at the 2010 vent with active source. If the slight difference in chemistry is real however, one possible scenario explaining the higher C/S ratio for the 2012 vent is a more efficient scrubbing of SO$_2$ in the 2012 vent or conversely, more efficient removal of CO$_2$ from the 2010 vent emissions. However, we discard this hypothesis as the 2010 and 2012 vent emissions were at least 400°C and 750°C, respectively, i.e. higher than the temperature at which scrubbing operates (Gerlach et al., 2008; Symonds et al., 2001). More efficient scrubbing of CO$_2$ than SO$_2$ is particularly unlikely as discussed in Gerlach (2008). Another scenario is that gases emitted from the
2012 vent reflect equilibrium with a deeper level of the magma hence higher C/S and S/Cl and lower H₂O/CO₂. This hypothesis is consistent with the observation that gas emitted from the 2012 vent is more pressurized than that from the 2010 vent. This last point however could also simply reflect different vent geometry (small vent compared to the flux). Lastly the difference in chemistry might reflect contamination of our 2010 vent chemistry estimate by contribution from the Northern fumarolic field. Our preferred conclusion, given the limited dataset, is that the apparent difference in the C/S ratio between the two vents is solely a reflection of the high error on the 2012 vent measurements.

Table 3 compares the mixed plume chemistry from Turrialba to that of other arc volcanoes. The Turrialba plume chemistry most closely resembles that of the Nicaraguan volcanoes (Momotombo and Masaya), especially Masaya. The SO₂/HCl molar ratio is much higher than that measured at other arc volcanoes presented here (7.8 compared to an average of 1.5) and is closer to volcanoes such as Etna and Surtsey (10 and 6.2 respectively; Allard et al., 2005; Gerlach, 1980).

4.3 Oxygen fugacity

The oxygen fugacity of the degassing magma can be estimated using the measured CO₂/CO molar ratio of 1334 from the mixed plume based on the reaction:

\[
\text{CO} + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 = \text{CO}_2
\]

At atmospheric pressure, the fugacity of a gas is equal to its partial pressure (assuming ideal behaviour, which is reasonable for atmospheric pressure) and the oxygen fugacity can be calculated as:

\[
f_{O_2} = \left(K \frac{x_{\text{CO}_2}}{x_{\text{CO}}} \right)^2
\]

2304
where $x_i$ the mole fraction of the $i$th species and $K$ is the equilibrium constant for Eq. (4) and is related to the change in Gibbs' free energy via:

$$K = \exp(-\Delta G / RT)$$

where $R$ is the gas constant and $T$ the temperature. The change in Gibbs' free energy ($\Delta G$) can be computed from the thermochemical JANAF tables for a large range of temperatures. Since the temperature of the magma is unknown we used an estimated temperature for the suspected andesite to basaltic-andesite magma. Estimated temperatures of 900°C, 1000°C and 1100°C yield $fO_2$ values of 2.8, 2.9 and 3.1 log units above the QFM buffer, respectively. In the temperature range considered, using the measured $H_2O/H_2$ ratio would yield $fO_2$ estimates about 4 log units above the QFM buffer. Because the $H_2O/H_2$ ratio is obtained using the Multi-gas instrument, which as mentioned above shows a greater amount of contamination from the Northern fumarolic field and since non-magmatic $H_2O$ cannot be excluded, we regard the $fO_2$ estimate from the $CO_2/CO$ ratio as more robust. The oxidized $fO_2$ measured in the gas emissions corresponds to equilibrium conditions with the magma at shallow level and is fairly typical, although slightly high, for arc volcanoes. At Masaya volcano, the matrix glass from basaltic scoria record close, yet slightly more reduced conditions at 1.4 to 2.3 ± 0.2 log units above the QFM buffer (de Moor et al., 2013).

### 4.4 Current state of the degassing

Vaselli et al. (2010) reported substantial changes in gas composition of fumaroles in the summit area from 1998 to 2008 with the detection of $SO_2$ in 2002 and a constant change in gas chemistry (increasing $S/CO_2$ and $(HF + HCl)/CO_2$ ratio) since then. They interpreted this change from low temperature hydrothermal-dominated to high temperature magmatic-dominated chemistry to be related to a cyclic evolution of the hydrothermal system. Since then the 2010 vent opened, releasing $1 \pm 0.3$ Tg of $SO_2$ from January 2010 to January 2011 (Campion et al., 2012). Subsequently the 2012 vent opened up and in May 2013 both vents erupted ash (Fig. 7b). The chronology of
events and the evolution of gas emission rates and chemistry is summarised in Fig. 6. From Fig. 6 it appears that the plume chemistry we measured (in term of $S/CO_2$ but this is also true in term of $(HF + HCl)/CO_2$ ratio) is similar to that recorded by Vaselli et al. (2010) during the intense fumarolic activity of late 2007 to 2008. The $SO_2$ fluxes measured in March 2013 are similar to that measured by Campion et al. (2012) a few months prior to the 2010 phreatic eruption, which is interesting when considering that the 2013 eruption occurred two months after our measurements. Although the current dataset is too disparate to conclude with any confidence, this last point does hint of a recurring process. A conceivable scenario is that low $SO_2$ flux is indicative of a decrease in porosity in the upper part of the edifice, leading periodically to small scale eruptions (with or without the opening of a new vent) following pressure build-up at shallow levels.

The increasing frequency of ash eruptions, the decreasing activity of low temperature fumaroles since the opening of the 2010 and 2012 vents, the elevated ($400^\circ$C and $750^\circ$C respectively) temperatures they show, and the very oxidized gas signature all point towards (in accordance to Campion et al., 2012; Vaselli et al., 2010) a progressive drying out of the hydrothermal system and degassing that is controlled by near open-vent processes with limited-to-negligible interaction with the hydrothermal system. This scenario is depicted in schematic form in Fig. 7a, intentionally drawn in the same style as Fig. 7 in (Campion et al., 2012) to show the evolution of the system since their investigation in 2010–2011.

## 5 Conclusions

We measured the composition and flux of gases emitted from Turrialba volcano in March 2013 using a scanning ultraviolet spectrometer, FTIR spectroscopy and Multi-gas sensors. The compositions measured using FTIR are largely consistent with those measured with the Multi-gas instrument, and discrepancies may be explained by differing amounts of contamination from fumaroles. The gas composition reflects magmatic
degassing with a limited contribution from hydrothermal sources, suggesting near completion of the progressive drying up of the hydrothermal system in the summit region of Turrialba volcano or isolation of these main vents from the hydrothermal system. FTIR measurements of the gas emissions from the two main vents reveals only minor difference in their chemistry. Repeated gas monitoring campaigns of the sort presented here are encouraged in order to capture the future evolution of the state of unrest at Turrialba.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/sed-6-2293-2014-supplement.
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Table 1. Spectral micro-window and all other parameter used for fitting the target gas species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Target gas</th>
<th>Target gas Spectral window (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Order of background polynomial</th>
<th>Species also included in the fit</th>
<th>Field of view (radiant)</th>
<th>Reference wavenumber (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Volcanic gas pressure (hPa)</th>
<th>Volcanic gas temperature (K)</th>
<th>Atmospheric gas temperature (K)</th>
<th>Volcanic gas pathlenght (km)</th>
<th>Atmospheric pathlenght (km)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25 Mar 2013</td>
<td>SO$_2$</td>
<td>2440–2540</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>H$_2$O, CH$_4$, N$_2$O</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>2490</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>(for) 450</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>FTIR to small incandescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>2020–2100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>H$_2$O, CO</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>2060</td>
<td>(for Turrialba summit)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>2020–2100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CO, CO$_2$</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>2060</td>
<td></td>
<td>(for Turrialba summit)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>2690–2830</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>H$_2$O, CH$_4$, N$_2$O</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2750</td>
<td></td>
<td>(for Turrialba summit)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2012 vent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Mar 2013</td>
<td>SO$_2$</td>
<td>2440–2540</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>H$_2$O, CH$_4$, N$_2$O</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>2490</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>(for Turrialba summit)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>FTIR to Lamp. 400 ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>2020–2100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>H$_2$O, CO</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>2060</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>2020–2100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CO, CO$_2$</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>2060</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>2020–2100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>H$_2$O, CO$_2$</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>2150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>2690–2830</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>H$_2$O, CH$_4$, N$_2$O</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 2. $X/\text{SO}_2$ molar and mass ratios measured by FTIR spectroscopy and Multi-gas (for $\text{H}_2$) and gas composition of the mixed plume and 2012 vent. The inferred flux range of each species is based on an $\text{SO}_2$ flux estimate of 210.7 t day$^{-1}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Mixed Plume molar ratio ($X/\text{SO}_2$)</th>
<th>Mixed Plume mass ratio ($X/\text{SO}_2$)</th>
<th>Mixed Plume composition (mol%)</th>
<th>Inferred flux (t day$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Inferred flux (kg s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>2012 vent molar ratio ($X/\text{SO}_2$)</th>
<th>2012 vent composition (mol%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>60.48</td>
<td>17.01</td>
<td>94.16</td>
<td>3583</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>57.72</td>
<td>86.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CO}_2$</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>11.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SO}_2$</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{HCl}$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CO}$</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>&lt;DL</td>
<td>&lt;DL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{H}_2$</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Characterisation of the magmatic signature in gas emissions

Y. Moussallam et al.

Table 3. Gas emission composition (in mole %) and molar ratio from several arc volcanoes. Data from Turrialba – this study, Villarrica (Sawyer et al., 2011), Poas (Symonds et al., 1994), Momotombo (Menyailov et al., 1986), Masaya (Martin et al., 2010), Soufriere Hills (Hammouya et al., 1998), Mt St Helens (Gerlach and Casadevall, 1986), Kudryavy (Taran et al., 1995), Usu (Symonds et al., 1994), Showa-shinzan (Symonds et al., 1996), Satsuma Iwo Jima (Shinohara et al., 1993) and Merapi (Le Guern et al., 1982).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arc volcanoes</th>
<th>Magma</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>H₂O</th>
<th>CO₂</th>
<th>SO₂</th>
<th>H₂S</th>
<th>HCl</th>
<th>HF</th>
<th>H₂O/CO₂</th>
<th>SO₂/HCl</th>
<th>CO₂/SO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turrialba (2013)</td>
<td>Basaltic andesite</td>
<td>&gt; 800</td>
<td>94.16</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villarrica (2009)</td>
<td>Basaltic andesite</td>
<td>nm</td>
<td>90.54</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poas (1981)</td>
<td>Basaltic andesite</td>
<td>1045</td>
<td>96.69</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momotombo (1985)</td>
<td>Basalt</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>92.93</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masaya (2009)</td>
<td>Basalt</td>
<td>nm</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soufriere Hills (1996)</td>
<td>Andesite</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt. St. Helens (1980)</td>
<td>Dacite</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>92.42</td>
<td>7.01</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kudryavy (1991)</td>
<td>Basaltic andesite</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usu (1979)</td>
<td>Dacite</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Showa-Shinzan (1957)</td>
<td>Dacite</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>99.54</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>255.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satsuma Iwo Jima (1990)</td>
<td>Rhyolite</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>97.97</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>306.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merapi (1979)</td>
<td>Andesite</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>89.91</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Location map showing Turrialba volcano at the southern tip of the Cordillera Central volcanic belt.
Figure 2. (a) Summit area of Turrialba looking due south, showing (not drawn to scale) the location of the three main vents and fumarolic fields together with the various locations of the FTIR spectrometer and “multi-gas” instrument. (b) Close up view of the 2012 vent at sunset. (c) Close up view of the 2010 vent. (d) Picture of the instrumental setup on 25 March 2013 with the FTIR spectrometer pointing at the 2012 vent (courtesy of Kayla Iacovino). (e) Picture of the instrumental setup on 26 March 2013 with the FTIR spectrometer pointing at an infrared lamp across the wind-blown mixed plume directly south of the 2010 vent.
Figure 3. SO$_2$ fluxes shown in t day$^{-1}$ and kg s$^{-1}$ for three days of observations using DOAS horizontal scanning directly above the summit from a fixed observation point 2.0 km away (horizontal distance) from the summit. Only cloud-free periods with scans clearing the plume on both sides are reported.
Figure 4. CO₂ vs. SO₂ and H₂ vs. SO₂ scatter plots from three days of sampling of the Turrialba mixed plume, directly south of the 2010 vent. (a) 17:52 to 18:58, 24 March 2013, UTC. (b) 20:24 to 21:57, 25 March 2013, UTC. (c) 22:05, 25 March 2013 to 02:14, 26 March 2013, UTC. (d) 00:10 to 02:14, 26 March 2013, UTC. Regression lines are shown in red and corresponding parameters are displayed on the lower right corner of each plot.
**Figure 5.** Scatter plots showing column amounts of each volcanic gas species vs. SO$_2$. Upper four scatter plots show data acquired on 26 March 2013 sampling of the Turrialba mixed plume, directly south of the 2010 vent using a IR lamp as source and a 35 m path length. (a) CO, (b) CO$_2$, (c) H$_2$O, (d) HCl. Lower three scatter plots show data acquired on 25 March 2013 sampling the 2012 vent using incandescence from the vent as the IR source and a 350 m path length. (e) CO$_2$, (f) HCl, (g) H$_2$O. The y-axis offsets seen in (b) and (e) and (c) and (g) represent the atmospheric background column amounts of CO$_2$ and H$_2$O respectively. Dashed lines show prediction bands at 95% confidence level.
Figure 6. Time series showing the observed evolution of gas emissions in term of S / CO₂ ratio and SO₂ flux from 1998 to 2013 using data from Vaselli et al. (2010), Campion et al. (2012) and this study. Important events such as vent opening during phreatic eruptions are noted.
Figure 7. (a) Schematic cross section representation of the summit area of Turrialba at the time of writing. The figure is drawn after the style of Fig. 7 in (Campion et al., 2012) (reproduced as inset) showing the progression of the “Hot dry zone” since the opening of the 2012 vent. The figure also represents the 2012 vent linked at a slightly deeper level than the 2010 vent as to explain the difference in gas ratios (see text). (b) Photograph from the Turrialba webcam taken on 21 May 2013 showing the most recent ash eruption in which both vents erupted simultaneously.