Solid Earth Discuss., 4, C565–C568, 2012 www.solid-earth-discuss.net/4/C565/2012/ © Author(s) 2012. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



## Interactive comment on "Dynamics of interplate domain in subduction zones: influence of rheological parameters and subducting plate age" by D. Arcay

D. Arcay

diane.arcay@gm.univ-montp2.fr

Received and published: 12 October 2012

I thank Referee 2 for her very constructive comments. I agree that results were initially badly illustrated by the choice of figures. I follow the clever suggestions made by Referee 2 and build a plot of the modelled  $z_{BDT}$  obtained as a function of  $z_{dec}$  in simulations of old subducting lithosphere subduction (new figure, with label 5). It is then much easier to illustrate the respective influence of each rheological parameters on  $z_{BDT}$  and  $z_{dec}$ . I recognise that former Figure 9 was awkward. I replace it by the same type of plot as mentioned just above, where  $z_{BDT}$  is presented versus  $z_{dec}$  for both young and old subducting plates. This highlights the influence of lithosphere

C565

ageing at trench. Initially, Figure 9 should have illustrated the range of modelled  $z_{BDT}$  and  $z_{dec}$  and the associated interval of interplate stresses. The latter, not depicted anymore, is now mentioned in the text. The final figure, asked by Referee 1, is a supplementary way to better communicate the paper results. As asked by Referee 2, I add the results in  $z_{BDT}$  and  $z_{dec}$  modelled 12 Myr after subduction initiation in Table 1.

Regarding minor comments, all the suggestions made by Referee 2 are taken into account in the revised manuscript:  $\gamma_c$  is replaced by  $\gamma$  when necessary; typos are corrected and I carefully re-read the text and made it more concise. I agree that the submitted manuscript was rich in mistakes in English writting... and apologise for it. I tried to correct the use of "besides" and "also".

Regarding the erroneous refence to Billen and Hirth, 2007, I confused in fact this paper with the work by Billen and Hirth, 2005 (GRL). I correct it on the revised manuscript.

## Caption of Figure 1:

Brittle-ductile transition depth,  $z_{BDT}$ , versus interplate decoupling depth,  $z_{dec}$ , modelled after 12 Myr of convergence, for an old subducting lithosphere (simulations S6, S10, S10LG, S12, S13, S13HG, S13f14, and S14 in Table ??). Both depths are equal along the thick black line. The influence of rheological parameters on  $z_{BDT}$  is highlighted in red, while the effect of rheological parameters on  $z_{dec}$  is displayed in green.

## Caption of Figure 2:

BDT versus interplate decoupling modelled for young and old incoming lithospheres. The subducting lithosphere ageing at trench yields simultaneously a BDT deepening and a shallowing of  $z_{dec}$  (dashed arrows).




Fig. 1.

C567




Fig. 2.