

1 **UNDERSTANDING THE FACTORS INFLUENCING RILL EROSION ON ROADCUTS**
2 **IN THE SOUTH EASTERN REGION OF SOUTH AFRICA**

3
4 Khoboso E. Seutloali* and Heinz R. Beckedahl

5
6 School of Agricultural, Earth and Environmental Sciences, Discipline of Geography, University
7 of KwaZulu-Natal, P/Bag X01, Scottsville, Pietermaritzburg 3209, South Africa

8
9 **Abstract**

10 Erosion on roadcuts is a concern due to potential to cause environmental degradation
11 which has significant economic costs. It is therefore critical to understand the relationship
12 between roadcut characteristics and soil erosion for designing roadcuts that are less
13 vulnerable to erosion and to help road rehabilitation works. This study investigated the
14 characteristics (i.e. gradient, length, percentage of vegetation cover **and soil texture**) of
15 degraded (i.e. with rills) and non-degraded roadcuts (i.e. without rills) and explored the
16 relationship of the roadcut characteristics with the dimensions (widths and depths) of the
17 rills. Degraded roadcuts were steep (52.21°), long (10.70 m), and had a low percentage of
18 vegetation cover (24.12) when compared to non-degraded roadcuts which had a gradient of
19 28.24° , length of 6.38 m and 91.7% of vegetation cover. Moreover, the gradient and
20 percentage of vegetation cover of the roadcut significantly determine the rill dimensions.
21 The widths and depths of the rills increase with the increase in slope gradient and decrease
22 with an increase in percentage of the vegetation cover. Moreover, the widths and depths of
23 the rills decreased downslope of the roadcuts. Based on these results, re-vegetation of
24 roadcuts as well as construction of gentle gradients could minimise rill erosion and hence
25 the negative onsite and offsite effects.

26
27 *Keywords:* rill erosion; slope gradient, slope length; vegetation cover; roadcuts.

28
29
30 *Corresponding author. Email: kseutloali@yahoo.com

31 1 Introduction

32

33 Soil erosion is regarded as one of the most critical environmental problems worldwide (E.g.
34 Meadows, 2003; Le Roux et al., 2007; Le Roux et al., 2008; Schönbrodt-Stitt et al., 2013; Ma et
35 al., 2014; Wei et al., 2007). It mainly occurs in the form of sheet, rill and/or gully erosion
36 (Morgan, 2005; Le Roux et al., 2008). Amongst the three forms, rill erosion remains the main
37 cause for concern since it is a precursor of gully erosion. Rill erosion mainly occurs as a result of
38 concentrated overland flow of water leading to the development of small well-defined channels
39 (Haile and Fetene, 2012). These channels act as sediment sources and transport passages leading
40 to soil loss (Wirtz et al., 2012). Although soil erosion is a natural process, it has been accelerated
41 by the human impact on the landscape due to agriculture, grazing, mining, and fire (García-
42 Orenes et al., 2009; Giménez-Morera et al., 2010; Leh et al., 2013; Lieskovský and Kenderessy,
43 2012; Mandal and Sharda, 2013; Zhao et al., 2013; Ziadat and Taimeh, 2013). Roads, railways
44 and other infrastructures also result in soil degradation and changes in the landforms (Cao et al.,
45 2013; Cerdà, 2007; Cheng et al., 2013; Jimenez et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2013; Villarreal et al.,
46 2014).

47

48 The study of soil erosion, particularly in South Africa, has however been limited to agricultural
49 and pastoral land and research to investigate road-related soil erosion is scarce, despite much
50 literature having been produced on combating soil erosion *per se*. Roads result in the permanent
51 alteration of the geomorphic and hydrological settings of the landscape leading to increased soil
52 erosion (Ramos-Scharron and Macdonald, 2007). Previous studies have shown that roads result
53 in the creation of roadcuts that contribute to runoff and high sediment production that cause
54 extreme land degradation (E.g. Arnáez et al., 2004; Megahan et al., 2001; Xu et al., 2009).
55 Arnáez et al. (2004) recorded a significant generation of runoff and sediment from roadcuts in
56 the Iberian Range, Spain and this was attributed to the steep gradients and low vegetation cover.
57 Megahan et al. (2001) evaluated the effects of slope gradient, slope length, slope aspect, rainfall
58 erosivity and ground cover density on erosion on the roadcuts in Idaho, USA. The results of
59 multiple regression analysis demonstrated that the slope gradient was the most significant of all
60 site variables in affecting erosion on the roadcuts. Moreover, Xu et al. (2009) evaluated the

61 effects of rainfall and slope length on runoff and soil loss on the Qinghai-Tibet highway side-
62 slopes in China and found that rainfall intensity correlated with sediment concentration and soil
63 loss, while soil loss decreased with increasing slope length. In summary, these studies highlight
64 that slope properties (viz. slope gradient and length, vegetation cover and soil properties,
65 particularly soil texture) of the roadcuts are critical in determining the degree of soil erosion
66 along these areas. However, to the best of our knowledge, no study has investigated why certain
67 roadcuts are eroded while others are not and none has explored the relationship between the
68 roadcut slope characteristics and the dimensions of the rills. Moreover, most of the studies of
69 erosion on roadcuts have been conducted outside southern Africa.

70

71 Construction of roads in South Africa, has resulted in the creation of roadcuts, some of which
72 have developed extensive rills and fluting (or incipient gullies). Soil erosion on roadcuts is
73 significant since soil loss can reach magnitudes of 247.6 t/ha/yr (Megahan et al., 2001).
74 Moreover, roadcuts have been regarded as the main source of erosion than other parts of the road
75 system since they account for 70 to 90% of soil loss (Grace III, 2000). The off-site loss of
76 sediment material may lead to river and reservoir siltation where sediment is deposited (Cerdà,
77 2007; Zhao et al., 2013). This can exacerbate water management problems particularly in a semi-
78 arid region such as South Africa, where water scarcity is frequent (Marker and Sidorchuk, 2003).
79 Moreover, erosion on roadcuts may cause roadside slope instability (De Ona et al., 2009; Osorio
80 and De Ona, 2006). At present, large volume of soil is lost annually through water erosion in
81 South Africa. It is estimated that South Africa losses approximately 400 million tons of soil per
82 year, of which roadcut erosion is also a major contributor (Dlamini et al., 2011). The economic
83 costs associated with the negative impacts of erosion are significant. For instance, it is estimated
84 that soil erosion costs approximately \$ 200 million (US dollars) annually including the off-site
85 costs of purification of silted dam water in South Africa (Le Roux et al., 2008). Additionally,
86 slope instability could create excessive maintenance costs (Robichaud et al., 2001) and in
87 extreme cases requires re-grading or reconstruction of the site (Persyn et al., 2005). In the light
88 of the above, understanding the relationship between the characteristics of roadcuts and the rill
89 erosion can be important for sustainable future road construction and soil erosion control. The
90 present study therefore aims to assess the characteristics (gradient, length, and vegetation cover)

91 of degraded and non-degraded roadcuts **to understand why rills are present on some roadcuts but**
92 **not others and to** investigate the relationship between the characteristics of the roadcuts and the
93 dimensions (width and depth) of the rills in the south eastern region of South Africa.

94

95 **2 Materials and methods**

96

97 **2.1 Site description**

98 The roadcuts used in this study are located in the south eastern part of South Africa within the
99 KwaZulu-Natal (KZN) Province and the former Transkei region of the Eastern Cape Province
100 (Fig.1). In this study, roadcuts are defined as roadslopes that result from excavation of high
101 areas. The study area is characterised by high level of erosion (Hoffman and Todd, 2000; Le
102 Roux et al., 2007) and road construction has provided roadcuts that could exacerbate the
103 problem. The terrain of the area is undulating; consists of a series of dissected steps that rise
104 from a relatively flat coastal plain in the east of South Africa, to the Drakensberg mountains
105 which reach over 3000 meters above sea level and form the western boundary of the region
106 (Beckedahl, 1996).

107

108 **Figure 1.** The location of the studied roadcuts in the south eastern region of South Africa

109

110 KZN has a subtropical climate characterised by high humidity, temperatures and rainfall (900-
111 1200 mm) (Fairbanks and Benn, 2000). Summers are warm and wet while winters are cool and
112 dry. The climate changes gradually from the coast to the westerly plateau. On the other hand, the
113 greater part of the Transkei is characterised by a sub-humid warm climate with summer
114 dominant rainfall (Jeschke et al., 1990). Annual rainfall varies between 500 mm and 1400 mm,
115 with mean temperatures of 20° (Madikizela, 2000). This region has among the highest values of
116 rainfall erosivity index (EI_{30}) ($\sim 300 \text{ MJ mm ha}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) in southern Africa (Beckedahl,
117 1996).The EI_{30} shows the potential ability for rainfall to cause soil erosion (da Silva, 2004). It is
118 the product of the total storm kinetic energy and the maximum 30 minutes rainfall intensity (Le
119 Roux et al., 2008). The biomes of KZN and Transkei range from coastal tropical forest along the
120 coast and inland along the riverine gorges, to temperate transitional forest and scrub to grassveld.

121 Geology of the study area consists mainly of sandstones and mudstones of Beaufort and Ecca
122 groups (Beckedahl, 1996). The geology has minor exposures of the Natal Group sandstones. The
123 soil types vary from podzolic and duplex soils of the midlands and coastal belt (Beckedahl,
124 1996).

125

126 **2.2 Field data collection**

127

128 **2.2.1 Identification of roadcuts**

129 Roadcuts of interest were identified by first traversing main and regional roads in the south
130 eastern region of South Africa on Google Earth. Following the above procedure, field inspection
131 was conducted on identified sites, to assess the actual condition of the roadcuts. Roadcuts were
132 then numbered and random samples selected using random number tables, to get actual sizes for
133 detailed investigation. The roadcuts were then categorised into degraded and non-degraded. For
134 the purpose of this study, the degraded were those with the presence of either rills or flutes
135 whereas non degraded roadcuts were those with no apparent rilling. This resulted in twenty nine
136 degraded and twenty non-degraded roadcuts. The degraded roadcuts were further classified into
137 three erosion categories based on the mean percentage cover of rills per square meter plots
138 established on the roadcuts: (1) slight: less than 25% (2) moderate: between 25% and 50%; (3)
139 extensive: between 50% and 75%; and (4) very extensive: above 75%. The selected roadcuts did
140 not receive any form of treatment after construction (e.g. hydroseeding etc.) and were
141 characterised by **natural** herbaceous vegetation cover. Additionally, the selected roadcuts were
142 located along roads that were constructed at the same period to minimise the effects of the
143 roadcuts age on erosion. **Moreover, these roadcuts were chosen because precipitation across the**
144 **study region did not vary significantly, hence it was assumed that the selected roadcuts received**
145 **approximately the same amount of rainfall.**

146

147 **2.2.2 Measurement of the characteristics of roadcuts**

148 The gradient, length, percentage of vegetation cover **and soil texture (i.e. percentage of sand, silt**
149 **and clay content)** were measured on the degraded and non-degraded roadcuts identified in the
150 south eastern region of South Africa. Slope profile measurements were done along three cross-

151 profile transects on each roadcut by using an abney level, ranging rod and a measuring tape.
152 Transects were established from the top to the bottom of the roadcuts, with the first transect
153 running along the maximum slope length. The next two transects were located on both sides of
154 the first transect and halfway to the end of the roadcut width (Fig. 2). Slope profiles were
155 measured by recording a series of measured lengths along a transect and corresponding series of
156 measured angles. The slope gradient for each road-cut was calculated as the average of averages
157 for each transect. **The maximum lengths of the roadcuts were then considered as overall lengths**
158 **of the roadcuts.**

159
160 **Figure 2.** Schematic representation of slope angle and length measurements on the roadcuts
161

162 Percentage of vegetation cover was measured by demarcating transects made of 1 m long and 4
163 m wide plots which were then numbered. Random samples were selected from the numbered
164 plots using random number tables, to get actual sizes for detailed investigation. This resulted in
165 selection of more than 70 percent of the plots on each roadcut, of which the number of plots on
166 each roadcut was determined by the surface area. In each plot, a 4 m string attached to two metal
167 pins was placed at 0.5 m **length** of a plot. Vegetation cover was calculated as the total vegetated
168 distance of the string to the total length of the string, and recorded as a percentage (Kercher et
169 al., 2003). Total percentage of vegetation cover for the entire roadcut was then calculated as the
170 mean of all plots percentage covers (Bochet and García-Fayos, 2004).

171
172 **Soil samples obtained from the rill complex of the roadcuts were placed in labelled sample bags.**
173 **All sample bags were stored in dry conditions until they are transported to the laboratory for**
174 **determination of the soil texture (i.e. percentage sand, silt, and clay content). Soil texture was**
175 **determined by the pipette/hydrometer method for the fraction of particles with a diameter less**
176 **than 2 μm (clay fraction) by sieving for particles between 200 and 2000 μm (coarse sand), and**
177 **between 20 and 200 μm (fine sand), while the fraction between 2 and 20 μm (silt) was obtained**
178 **by difference (Mesquita et al., 2005).**

179 180 **2.2.3 The measurement of rill dimensions**

181 Measurements of rill dimensions were made from 4 m² plots located upslope, midslope and
182 downslope of the roadcuts (Fig. 3). The widths and depths of the rill were measured using a
183 measuring tape and a 30 cm ruler respectively, at regular intervals (i.e. 0.01 m) along the sinuous
184 length of the rill and the averages calculated (Hagmann, 1996; Sidle et al., 2004).

185
186 **Figure 3.** Schematic representation of rill survey plots on the roadcuts
187

188 **2.3 Field data analysis**

189 Statistical analysis was performed using Statistical package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version
190 21 software. The Kolmogorov – Smirnof test was used to test data normality. A test of
191 proportions was employed to determine whether there were significant differences between slope
192 characteristics of the degraded and non-degraded roadcuts. One-way analysis of variance
193 (ANOVA) at 95% confidence levels ($P < 0.05$) was used to determine whether there were
194 significant differences between slope characteristics of the slightly, moderately, extensively and
195 very extensively degraded roadcuts. Pearson correlation was used to evaluate whether there were
196 any associations between slope characteristics (gradient, length, percentage of vegetation cover
197 and soil texture) and rill dimensions. Similarly, one way ANOVA ($P < 0.05$) with a Turkey's
198 HSD post hoc test was used to determine if there were any significant differences of rill
199 dimensions upslope, midslope and downslope of the roadcuts.

200

201 **3 Results**

202

203 **3.1 Characteristics of the roadcuts**

204 The slope characteristics of the roadcuts are presented in Table 1. Results show that these
205 characteristics ranged widely for the roadcuts. It can be observed that the mean slope gradient of
206 the degraded roadcuts was higher (52.51°) than that of the non-degraded roadcuts (28.24°).
207 Similarly, the mean length of degraded roadcuts was higher (10.70 m) when compared to that of
208 the non-degraded roadcuts (6.38 m). The vegetation cover for degraded roadcuts was low, with a
209 mean percentage of 24.12 while non-degraded roadcuts had higher mean percentage of
210 vegetation cover of 91.71. The mean sand content of degraded roadcuts was 66% while the non-
211 degraded had a mean of 39.5%. Additionally, mean silt contents of 22% and 20.4% were

212 observed for degraded and non-degraded roadcuts, respectively. Moreover, the mean clay
213 content for degraded roadcuts was 8.7% while the non-degraded roadcuts had a percentage of
214 39.1.

215

216 **Table 1.** Descriptive statistics for slope characteristics

217

218 The results in Fig. 4 show the significant differences of slope gradient, length, percentage of the
219 vegetation cover, **percentage of sand, silt and clay content** between non-degraded (ND) and
220 degraded (D) roadcuts. It can be observed that the slope gradient and length of degraded roadcuts
221 are significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher than for non-degraded roadcuts. Moreover, vegetation cover
222 for degraded roadcuts is significantly lower than that for non-degraded roadcuts. **The percentage**
223 **of clay content was higher for degraded roadcuts than that of the non-degraded roadcuts, while**
224 **the percentage silt and clay contents were not significantly different.**

225

226 **Figure 4.** Proportions of slope gradient, length, vegetation cover, sand, silt and clay for non-
227 degraded (ND) and degraded (D) roadcuts. **Bars represent proportions of different roadcut**
228 **characteristics, and whiskers represent 95% confidence intervals.**

229

230 On the other hand, the results of ANOVA with post hoc test, showed that there are no significant
231 differences ($p > 0.05$) amongst the site variables (slope length, gradient, percentage of the
232 vegetation cover, sand, silt and clay) of the slightly, moderately and extensively degraded
233 roadcuts.

234

235 **3.2 Rill dimensions**

236

237 The results show that the characteristics of the roadcuts significantly determine rill dimensions
238 (Table 2). Significant moderate positive correlations of gradient with both rill width and depth
239 were observed, while percentage of the vegetation cover had a strong significant negative
240 correlation with rill depth and width. The rill width and depth, however, were not significantly
241 influenced by the roadcut length.

242

243 **Table 2.** Significant ($p < 0.05$) relationships between slope characteristics and rill width as well
244 as depth from Pearson correlation results

245
246 The mean values for rill dimensions at different roadcut slope positions (upslope, midslope and
247 downslope) are shown in Table 3.

248
249 **Table 3.** Mean rill width and depth values for different slope positions on roadcuts under study

250
251 The rill dimensions were significantly different at different plot positions (Table 4), with values
252 decreasing downslope. The results showed that the rill dimensions had highly significant
253 differences between the upslope and downslope positions.

254
255 **Table 4.** The results of ANOVA using a Turkey's HSD post hoc test for rill dimensions (width
256 and depth) and different slope positions (upslope, midslope and downslope) at 95% confidence
257 level ($P < 0.05$)

258 259 **4 Discussions**

260
261 This study aimed at evaluating the characteristics of the degraded and non-degraded roadcuts as
262 well as assessing the relationship between the rill dimensions and the roadcut characteristics.

263 264 **4.1 The characteristics of roadcuts**

265 The results of this study have shown that the characteristics of the degraded roadcuts were
266 significantly different from those of the non-degraded. For instance, it was noted that degraded
267 roadcuts were characterised by high slope gradients and lengths, low vegetation cover and lower
268 clay content percentage when compared to the non-degraded roadcuts. These results are in
269 comparable with previous studies which indicated that these conditions increase the vulnerability
270 of roadcuts to erosion (Arnáez et al., 2004; Bochet and García-Fayos, 2004; Flanagan et al.,
271 2002). This is true because literature shows that an increase in slope gradient reduces the
272 infiltration rate hence increasing runoff (Arnáez et al., 2004; Manyatsi and Ntshangase, 2008;

273 Megahan et al., 2001). A study by Arnáez et al. (2004) in the Iberian Range, Spain has
274 demonstrated a significant positive relationship ($r = 0.76$; $p = 0.004$) between roadcuts slope
275 gradient and runoff which could result in a substantial increase in the formation of rills (Fox and
276 Bryan, 2000). Formation of rills results from the increased scouring capacity of concentrated
277 runoff (Haile and Fetene, 2012). Similarly, Jordan and Martinez-Zavala (2008) recorded a total
278 soil loss of 106 g m^{-2} and 17 g m^{-2} from roadcut and side-cast fills respectively in southern Spain.
279 The highest erosion rate was observed on the roadcuts due to steep slopes.

280

281 Also, the results of this study have also demonstrated that the degraded roadcuts had longer slope
282 lengths when compared to the non-degraded. To some extent, this observation is valid because
283 longer lengths have the ability to increase runoff velocity, resulting in both increased soil particle
284 detachment and transport efficiency downslope as compared to shorter slope lengths. For
285 instance, a study by Chaplot and Le Bissonnais (2003) has indicated that slopes associated with
286 long lengths have the ability to increase runoff velocity as well as quantity thereby influencing
287 rill development. Furthermore, the study by Kinnell (2000) has shown that an increase in slope
288 length increases erosion by water, particularly when slope gradients exceed 10%. However, these
289 findings are in contrast with other studies. For instance, Megahan et al. (2001) concluded that
290 slope length alone or in interaction with other variables has no detectable effects on roadcut
291 erosion. Similarly, Luce and Black (1999) found that roadcut slope length is insignificant in
292 determining erosion by water. Although the findings from the above two studies illustrate that
293 slope length as having an insignificant effect on runoff and rill erosion development, this may be
294 due to other soil erosion contributing factors that do not favor rill development. For instance,
295 areas associated with clay soil properties are bound to have less rill development despite having
296 long slope lengths when compared to those that are characterized by sandy soils.

297

298 The mean percentage of vegetation cover (predominantly herbaceous) for non-degraded roadcuts
299 was high (91.7%) when compared to degraded roadcuts (24.12), hence limited soil erosion was
300 noted. This observation stands because vegetation cover has been found to stabilise and protect
301 slopes against erosion since the roots hold soil particles together (Bochet and García-Fayos,
302 2004; Mohammad and Adam, 2010). Also, this can be explained by the ability of vegetation

303 cover to moderate and dissipate the energy exerted by water (Lal, 2001; Ande et al., 2009). In
304 fact, vegetation intercepts rainfall, increases infiltration of water, intercepts runoff, and stabilizes
305 the soil with roots (Bochet and García-Fayos, 2004; Loch, 2000). The results of this study are
306 supported by the work of Cerdan et al. (2002) who observed that the occurrence of rill erosion
307 on fields was directly a function of vegetation cover. Similarly, Arnáez et al. (2004) found a
308 negative correlation ($r = 0.60$, $p = 0.05$) between vegetation cover and runoff. According to
309 Laker (2004), vegetation cover (i.e. herbaceous plants) protect the soil because of their high
310 basal cover, dense and very fine root systems that bind the soil.

311
312 The higher percentage of clay content for non-degraded roadcuts could be an indication of the
313 role of clay in reducing soil erosion. An increase in clay content of the soil has been associated
314 with the increase in the aggregate stability thereby decreasing soil erodibility (Dlamini et al.,
315 2011). Haile and Fetene (2012) indicated that fine textured soils such as clays are not readily
316 detached because of the strong cohesive forces that keep them aggregated. Yılmaz et al. (2008)
317 also observed a higher susceptibility of soil to erosion where the content of clay was low.

318

319 **4.2 The relationship between slope characteristics and rill dimensions**

320 The roadcut embankment slope characteristics were assessed for their correlation with the rill
321 dimensions. The results indicate that vegetation cover was the foremost significant variable in
322 determining rill dimensions on the roadcuts, while slope length and silt content had no
323 significant effect. A strong negative correlation between vegetation cover and rill dimensions
324 suggests that an increase in vegetation cover reduces the cross sections of the rills. Vegetation
325 cover in a rill catchment reduces runoff and sediment yield through rainfall interception,
326 infiltration and resistance to flow (Woo et al., 1997). A significant positive correlation of slope
327 gradient and rill dimensions indicate that an increase in slope gradient increases the volume of
328 rills and hence the volume of soil loss (Berger et al., 2010). However, a moderate correlation of
329 slope gradient and rill dimensions suggests that rill configuration is complex than merely slope
330 gradient dependent. Similarly, a moderate negative correlation between clay content and rill
331 dimensions implies that an increase in clay content of the soil could reduce the sizes of the rills

332 on roadcuts. This finding is similar to the study of Marquisee (2010) who found a negative
333 correlation between clay content and the percentage cover and number of gully channels.

334
335 The dimensions of rills that extended continuously from the top to the bottom of the roadcuts
336 changed significantly downslope. Previous research indicated that significant changes in rill
337 dimensions are determined by soil detachment and deposition along the length of the rill
338 (Bennett et al., 2000; Lei and Nearing, 1998). In this study, a decrease in rill depth downslope
339 suggests that a progressive increase in sediment load downslope decreases detachment rate (Lei
340 and Nearing, 1998). However, this was significant between upslope and downslope position, and
341 between midslope and downslope positions. This suggests that detachment is active between
342 upslope and midslope, while downslope positions are efficient in transporting the eroded
343 sediment. The results are comparable with other studies available in the literature (Bennett et al.,
344 2000; Cochrane and Flanagan, 1997; Lei et al., 2001; Merten et al., 2001). Cochrane and
345 Flanagan (1997) found that detachment decreases with the introduction of sediment at the top of
346 the rill. Additionally, Bennett et al. (2000) observed that bed degradation was high in the upslope
347 section of the channel while Merten et al. (2001) reported a decrease in detachment with an
348 increase with sediment load along the channel length due to the suspended and bed load that
349 reduced the detachment capacity. In this study, a decrease in rill width downslope implies that
350 the scouring of the rill side walls decreased as a result of the limited scouring capacity of flow
351 due to increase in the sediment load downslope (Bewket and Sterk, 2003). In addition, Lei et al.
352 (2001) indicated that sediment load decreases the detachment rates particularly on slopes greater
353 than 15°. However, the findings of this study are in contrast with the study by Okoba and Sterk
354 (2006) who observed a consistent increase in rill width and depth downslope and attributed this
355 to cumulative runoff volume and velocity along the slope.

356

357 **5 Conclusion**

358

359 This study aimed to assess the characteristics (gradient, length, and vegetation cover) of
360 degraded and non-degraded roadcuts and investigate the relationship between the characteristics
361 of the roadcuts and the dimensions (width and depth) of the rills in the south eastern region of

362 South Africa. Degraded roadcuts were steeper, longer and had a lower percentage of vegetation
363 cover when compared to non-degraded roadcuts. The results have shown that the widths and
364 depths of the rills increase with an increase in slope gradient and a decrease in percentage of
365 vegetation cover. Hence, low gradient and establishment of vegetation on roadcuts is
366 recommended. Overall, while this study has contributed to the understanding of the relationship
367 between the characteristics of the roadcuts and rill erosion, explicit investigations are required
368 that would help maximise the quality of observations. Future research should focus on the
369 measurement of the actual soil loss from the rills and the contribution of bulldozer teeth
370 impressions on roadcuts, on the development of rills. Additionally, repeated observations should
371 be made for an accurate description of rill evolution and to determine any significant change in
372 the rill cross-sections. The results of this study can help road construction planners, engineers
373 and site constructors to design roadcuts that are less vulnerable to erosion. Additionally, they
374 could help Transport Department and road maintenance agencies in planning for roadcut
375 embankment rehabilitation work.

376

377 **Author contribution**

378 This study was conducted with the input from co-author (H.R. Beckedahl) while the bulk of the
379 design and analysis were conducted by the main author (K. E. Seutloali).

380

381 **Acknowledgements**

382 The authors thank the University of KwaZulu-Natal for funding this research. Our gratitude goes
383 to Timothy Dube, Lucky Nkomo and Fadzai Pwiti for their support during data collection phase.

384

385 **References**

386

387 Ande, O., Alaga, Y., and Oluwatosin, G.: Soil erosion prediction using MMF model on highly dissected
388 hilly terrain of Ekiti environs in southwestern Nigeria, *International Journal of Physical Sciences*, 4, 53-57,
389 2009.

390 Arnáez, J., Larrea, V., and Ortigosa, L.: Surface runoff and soil erosion on unpaved forest roads from
391 rainfall simulation tests in northeastern Spain, *Catena*, 57, 1-14, 2004.

392 Beckedahl, H.: Subsurface soil erosion phenomena in Transkei and southern KwaZulu-Natal, South
393 Africa, Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Discipline of Geography, University of Natal,
394 Pietermaritzburg, 1996.

395 Bennett, S., Casali, J., Robinson, K., and Kadavy, K.: Characteristics of actively eroding ephemeral gullies
396 in an experimental channel, *Transactions of the ASAE*, 43, 641-649, 2000.

397 Berger, C., Schulze, M., Rieke-Zapp, D., and Schlunegger, F.: Rill development and soil erosion: a
398 laboratory study of slope and rainfall intensity, *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 35, 1456-1467,
399 2010.

400 Bewket, W., and Sterk, G.: Assessment of soil erosion in cultivated fields using a survey methodology for
401 rills in the Chemoga watershed, Ethiopia, *Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.*, 97, 81-93, 2003.

402 Bochet, E., and García-Fayos, P.: Factors controlling vegetation establishment and water erosion on
403 motorway slopes in Valencia, Spain, *Restor. Ecol.*, 12, 166-174, 2004.

404 Cao, L., Zhang, K., Dai, H., and Liang, Y.: Modeling interrill erosion on unpaved roads in the Loess Plateau
405 of China, *Land Degradation & Development*, doi: 10.1002/ldr.2253, doi: 10.1002/ldr.2253, 2013.

406 Cerdà, A.: Soil water erosion on road embankments in eastern Spain, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 378, 151-155,
407 2007.

408 Cerdan, O., Le Bissonnais, Y., Couturier, A., Bourennane, H., and Souchère, V.: Rill erosion on cultivated
409 hillslopes during two extreme rainfall events in Normandy, France, *Soil and Tillage Research*, 67, 99-108,
410 2002.

411 Chaplot, V. A., and Le Bissonnais, Y.: Runoff features for interrill erosion at different rainfall intensities,
412 slope lengths, and gradients in an agricultural loessial hillslope, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 67, 844-851, 2003.

413 Cheng, B., Lv, Y., Zhan, Y., Su, D., and Cao, S.: Constructing China's roads as works of art: a case study of
414 "esthetic greenway" construction in the Shennongjia region of China, *Land Degradation & Development*,
415 1-7, 10.1002/ldr.2210, 2013.

416 Cochrane, T., and Flanagan, D.: Detachment in a simulated rill, *Transactions of the ASAE*, 40, 111-119,
417 1997.

418 da Silva, A. M.: Rainfall erosivity map for Brazil, *Catena*, 57, 251-259, 2004.

419 De Ona, J., Osorio, F., and Garcia, P. A.: Assessing the Effects of Using Compost - Sludge Mixtures to
420 Reduce Erosion in Road Embankments, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 164, 1257-1265, 2009.

421 Dlamini, P., Orchard, C., Jewitt, G., Lorentz, S., Titshall, L., and Chaplot, V.: Controlling factors of sheet
422 erosion under degraded grasslands in the sloping lands of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, *Agric. Water*
423 *Manage.*, 98, 1711-1718, 2011.

424 Fairbanks, D. H., and Benn, G. A.: Identifying regional landscapes for conservation planning: a case study
425 from KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, *Landscape Urban Plann.*, 50, 237-257, 2000.

426 Flanagan, D., Chaudhari, K., and Norton, L.: Polyacrylamide soil amendment effects on runoff and
427 sediment yield on steep slopes: Part I. Natural rainfall conditions, *Transactions of the ASAE*, 45, 1339-
428 1351, 2002.

429 Fox, D. M., and Bryan, R. B.: The relationship of soil loss by interrill erosion to slope gradient, *Catena*, 38,
430 211-222, 2000.

431 García-Orenes, F., Cerdà, A., Mataix-Solera, J., Guerrero, C., Bodí, M., Arcenegui, V., Zornoza, R., and
432 Sempere, J.: Effects of agricultural management on surface soil properties and soil–water losses in
433 eastern Spain, *Soil and Tillage Research*, 106, 117-123, 2009.

434 Giménez-Morera, A., Sinoga, J., and Cerdà, A.: The impact of cotton geotextiles on soil and water losses
435 from Mediterranean rainfed agricultural land, *Land Degradation & Development*, 21, 210-217, 2010.

436 Grace III, J.: Forest road sideslopes and soil conservation techniques, *Journal of soil and water
437 conservation*, 55, 96-101, 2000.

438 Hagmann, J.: Mechanical soil conservation with contour ridges: Cure for, or cause of, rill erosion?, *Land
439 degradation & development*, 7, 145-160, 1996.

440 Haile, G., and Fetene, M.: Assessment of soil erosion hazard in Kilie catchment, East Shoa, Ethiopia, *Land
441 degradation & development*, 23, 293 - 306, 2012.

442 Hoffman, M. T., and Todd, S.: A National Review of Land Degradation in South Africa: The Influence of
443 Biophysical and Socio-economic Factors, *Journal of Southern African Studies*, 26, 733 - 758, 2000.

444 Jeschke, N., Nelson, P. E., and Marasas, W.: *Fusarium* species isolated from soil samples collected at
445 different altitudes in the Transkei, southern Africa, *Mycologia*, 82, 727-733, 1990.

446 Jimenez, M., Ruiz-Capillas, P., Mola, I., Pérez-Corona, E., Casado, M., and Balaguer, L.: Soil development
447 at the roadside: a case study of a novel ecosystem, *Land Degradation & Development*, 24, 564-574,
448 2013.

449 Jordan, A., and Martinez-Zavala, L.: Soil loss and runoff rates on unpaved forest roads in southern Spain
450 after simulated rainfall, *For. Ecol. Manage.*, 255, 913-919, 2008.

451 Kercher, S. M., Frieswyk, C. B., and Zedler, J. B.: Effects of sampling teams and estimation methods on
452 the assessment of plant cover, *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 14, 899-906, 2003.

453 Kinnell, P.: The effect of slope length on sediment concentrations associated with side-slope erosion,
454 *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 64, 1004-1008, 2000.

455 Laker, M. C.: *South Africa's Soil Resources and Sustainable Development.*, Pretoria, 2004.

456 Lal, R.: Soil Degradation by Erosion, *Land Degradation Development*, 12, 519 - 539, 2001.

457 Le Roux, J. J., Newby, T. S., and Sumner, P. D.: Monitoring Soil Erosion in South Africa at a Regional Scale:
458 Review and Recommendations, *South African Journal of Science*, 103, 329 - 335, 2007.

459 Le Roux, J. J., Morgenthal, T. L., Malherbe, J., Pretorius, D. J., and Sumner, P. D.: Water Erosion
460 Prediction at a National Scale for South Africa, *Water SA*, 34, 305 - 314, 2008.

461 Lee, J. W., Park, C. M., and Rhee, H.: Revegetation of decomposed granite roadcuts in Korea: developing
462 digger, evaluating cost effectiveness, and determining dimensions of drilling holes, revegetation species,
463 and mulching treatment, *Land Degradation & Development*, 24, 591-604, 2013.

464 Leh, M., Bajwa, S., and Chaubey, I.: Impact of land use change on erosion risk: an integrated remote
465 sensing, geographic information system and modeling methodology, *Land Degradation & Development*,
466 24, 409-421, 2013.

467 Lei, T., and Nearing, M. A.: Rill Erosion and Morphological Evolution: A Simulation Model, *Water
468 Resources Research* 34, 3157 - 3168, 1998.

469 Lei, T., Zhang, Q., Zhao, J., and Tang, Z.: A laboratory study of sediment transport capacity in the
470 dynamic process of rill erosion, *Transactions of the ASAE*, 44, 1537-1542, 2001.

471 Lieskovský, J., and Kenderessy, P.: Modelling the effect of vegetation cover and different tillage practices
472 on soil erosion in vineyards: a case study in Vráble (Slovakia) using watem/sedem, *Land Degradation &
473 Development*, 25, 288-296, 2012.

474 Loch, R.: Effects of vegetation cover on runoff and erosion under simulated rain and overland flow on a
475 rehabilitated site on the Meandu Mine, Tarong, Queensland, *Soil Research*, 38, 299-312, 2000.

476 Luce , C. H., and Black, T. A.: Sediment production from forest roads in western Oregon, *Water
477 Resources Research* 35, 2561-2570, 1999.

478 Ma, X., He, Y., Xu, J., van Noordwijk, M., and Lu, X.: Spatial and temporal variation in rainfall erosivity in a
479 Himalayan watershed, *Catena*, 121, 248-259, 2014.

480 Madikizela, P. N. T.: Spatial and Temporal Aspects of Soil Erosion in Mt Ayliff and Mt Frere, Eastern Cape
481 Province, South Africa, Unpublished Masters Thesis, Discipline of Geography, University of Natal,
482 Pietermaritzburg, 2000.

483 Mandal, D., and Sharda, V.: Appraisal of soil erosion risk in the eastern Himalayan region of India for soil
484 conservation planning, *Land Degradation & Development*, 24, 430-437, 2013.

485 Manyatsi, A. M., and Ntshangase, N.: Mapping of soil erosion using remotely sensed data in Zombodze
486 South, Swaziland, *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 33, 800-806, 2008.

487 Marker, M., and Sidorchuk, A.: Assessment of Gully Erosion Process Dynamics for Water Resources
488 Management in a Semiarid Catchment of Swaziland (Southern Africa), *Proceedings of symposium HS01*
489 "Erosion Prediction in Ungauged Basins: Integrating Methods and Techniques" Sapporo, 2003, 188-198,
490 2003.

491 Marquisee, J. A.: Factors Influencing Gully Development on Roadcuts in Southeastern Ohio, Master of
492 Arts, Department of Geography, Ohio University, 2010.

493 Meadows, M. E.: Soil Erosion in the Swartland, Western Cape Province, South Africa: Implications of Past
494 and Present Policy Practice, *Environmental Science and Policy*, 6, 17 - 28, 2003.

495 Megahan, W. F., Wilson, M., and Monsen, S. B.: Sediment production from granitic cutslopes on forest
496 roads in Idaho, USA, *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 26, 153-163, 2001.

497 Merten, G., Nearing, M., and Borges, A.: Effect of sediment load on soil detachment and deposition in
498 rills, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 65, 861-868, 2001.

499 Mesquita, M., Gonçalves, M., Gonçalves, A., and Neves, M.: Effect of electrolyte concentration on
500 sodium adsorption: Application of competitive extended Freundlich isotherms, *Arid Land Res. Manage.*,
501 19, 161-172, 2005.

502 Mohammad, A. G., and Adam, M. A.: The impact of vegetative cover type on runoff and soil erosion
503 under different land uses, *Catena*, 81, 97-103, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2010.01.008>, 2010.

504 Morgan, R. P. C.: *Soil Erosion and Conservation*, Blackwell Publishing, United Kingdom, 2005.

505 Okoba, B. O., and Sterk, G.: Quantification of visual soil erosion indicators in Gikuuri catchment in the
506 central highlands of Kenya, *Geoderma*, 134, 34-47, 2006.

507 Osorio, F., and De Ona, J.: Using Compost from Urban Solid Waste to Prevent Erosion in Road
508 Embankments, *Journal of Environmental Science and Health* 41, 2311 - 2327, 2006.

509 Persyn, R. A., Glanville, T. D., Richard, T. L., Laflen, J. M., and Dixon, P. M.: Environmental Effects of
510 Applying Composted Organics to New Highway Embankments: Part I I I. Rill Erosion, *American Society of*
511 *Agricultural Engineers*, 48, 1765 - 1772, 2005.

512 Ramos-Scharron, C. E., and Macdonald, L. H.: Runoff and Suspended Sediment Yields from Unpaved
513 Road Segment, St John, US Virgin Islands, *Hydrological Processes*, 21, 35 - 50, 2007.

514 Robichaud, P., McCool, D., Pannkuk, C., Brown, R., and Mutch, P.: Trap efficiency of silt fences used in
515 hillslope erosion studies, *Proceedings of the International Symposium, Soil Erosion Research for the*
516 *21st Century*, Honolulu, 2001, 541-543,

517 Schönbrodt-Stitt, S., Bosch, A., Behrens, T., Hartmann, H., Shi, X., and Scholten, T.: Approximation and
518 spatial regionalization of rainfall erosivity based on sparse data in a mountainous catchment of the
519 Yangtze River in Central China, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 20, 6917-6933, 2013.

520 Sidle, R. C., Sasaki, S., Otsuki, M., Noguchi, S., and Rahim Nik, A.: Sediment pathways in a tropical forest:
521 effects of logging roads and skid trails, *Hydrological Processes*, 18, 703-720, 2004.

522 Villarreal, M. L., Webb, R. H., Norman, L. M., Psillas, J. L., Rosenberg, A. S., Carmichael, S., Petrakis, R. E.,
523 and Sparks, P. E.: Modeling landscape-scale erosion potential related to vehicle disturbances along the
524 USA–Mexico border, *Land Degradation & Development*, doi:10.1002/ldr.2317.

525 Wei, W., Chen, L., Fu, B., Huang, Z., Wu, D., and Gui, L.: The effect of land uses and rainfall regimes on
526 runoff and soil erosion in the semi-arid loess hilly area, China, *Journal of hydrology*, 335, 247-258, 2007.
527 Wirtz, S., Seeger, M., and Ries, J.: Field experiments for understanding and quantification of rill erosion
528 processes, *Catena*, 91, 21-34, 2012.
529 Woo, M., Fang, G., and diCenzo, P. D.: The Role of Vegetation in the Retardation of Rill Erosion, *Catena*,
530 29, 145 - 159, 1997.
531 Xu, L., Liu, W., Kong, Y., Zhang, K., Yu, B., and Chen, J.: Runoff and Water Erosion on Road Side- Slopes:
532 Effects of Rainfall Characteristics and Slope Length, *Transportation Research*, 14, 497 - 501, 2009.
533 Yılmaz, M., Yılmaz, F., Karagul, R., and Altun, L.: Changes in erodibility indices and some soil properties
534 according to parent materials and land use regimes in erfelek dam creek watershed (Sinop, Turkey),
535 *Fresenius Environ. Bull.*, 17, 49-58, 2008.
536 Zhao, G., Mu, X., Wen, Z., Wang, F., and Gao, P.: Soil erosion, conservation, and eco-environment
537 changes in the loess plateau of china, *Land Degradation & Development*, 24, 499-510, 2013.
538 Ziadat, F., and Taimeh, A.: Effect of rainfall intensity, slope, land use and antecedent soil moisture on soil
539 erosion in an arid environment, *Land Degradation & Development*, 24, 582-590, 2013.

540

541 **Tables**

542

543 **Table 1:** Descriptive statistics for slope characteristics

	Degraded roadcuts				Non-degraded roadcuts			
	min	max	mean	StdDv	min	max	mean	StdDv
Slope characteristics								
Gradient (°)	24.5	78.3	52.5	13.1	13.2	42.9	28.2	9.5
Length (m)	5.1	20.0	10.7	4.0	5.7	14	6.4	3.3
Veg. cover (%)	0.0	45.5	24.1	24.5	50.4	100	91.7	14.0
Sand (%)	44	78	66	9.73	6	84	39.5	26.4
Silt (%)	8	47	22	11.4	2	60	20.4	16.1
Clay (%)	6	12	8.7	1.9	8	70	39.1	22

544

545

546

547

548 **Table 2:** Significant ($p < 0.05$) relationships between site variables and rill width as well as depth
 549 from Pearson correlation results

		Width	Depth
Slope length	Pearson correlation	0.210	0.221
	Significance	0.190	0.110
Slope gradient	Pearson correlation	0.371	0.339
	Significance	0.018*	0.033*
Vegetation cover (%)	Pearson correlation	-0.621	-0.637
	Significance	0.000*	0.000*
Sand (%)	Pearson correlation	0.37	0.41
	Significance	0.05*	0.03*
Clay (%)	Pearson correlation	-0.50	-0.46
	Significance	0.04*	0.01*
Silt (%)	Pearson correlation	-0.23	-0.28
	Significance	0.23	0.13

550 Note: * Correlation is significant at 0.05 level.

551

552 **Table 3:** Mean rill width and depth values for different slope positions on roadcut embankments
 553 under study

Slope position	Width (m)	Depth (m)
Upslope	0.14	0.079
Midslope	0.11	0.064
Downslope	0.08	0.045

554

555 **Table 4:** The results of ANOVA using a Turkey's HSD post hoc test for rill dimensions (width
 556 and depth) and different slope positions (upslope, midslope and downslope) at 95% confidence
 557 level ($P < 0.05$)

Slope position	Rill width	Rill depth
US vs MS	0.149ns	0.104ns
US vs DS	0.000	0.000
MS vs DS	0.024	0.041

558 Note: US = Upslope; MS = Midslope; DS = Downslope; ns= non-significant

559

560